Int. j. adv. multidisc. res. stud. 2026; 6(5):617-621

Received: 27-07-2026
Accepted: 07-09-2026

International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies

ISSN: 2583-049X

Artificial Intelligence in Logistics: A Conceptual Framework for Intelligent and Adaptive Logistics Systems

Bui Thi Kim Uyen
Faculty of International Trade, College of Foreign Economic Relations (Cofer), Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

DOI: https://doi.org/10.62225/2583049X.2026.6.5.6893
Corresponding Author: **Bui Thi Kim Uyen**

Abstract
Artificial intelligence (AI) is rapidly transforming logistics operations, moving beyond isolated automation tools toward becoming a central intelligence that shapes planning, execution, and control across logistics networks. However, much of the existing literature treats AI as a discrete technological add-on applied to specific logistics functions such as forecasting or routing, rather than as an integrated capability that redefines logistics management as a whole. This paper adopts a conceptual approach to examine how AI reshapes logistics systems into intelligent and adaptive networks. We propose a conceptual framework in which AI functions as an embedded cognitive layer that senses operational conditions, learns from data, and continuously adjusts decisions across transportation, warehousing, and last-mile delivery activities. The framework links data infrastructure, AI-driven decision-making, and physical logistics operations, emphasizing adaptability and continuous learning as defining features of intelligent logistics systems. By focusing on the systemic role of AI rather than on specific tools or vendors, the study offers a higher-level understanding of how AI enables logistics networks to respond proactively to demand volatility, disruption, and operational uncertainty. The framework provides a theoretical foundation for understanding how AI-enabled logistics can support efficiency, resilience, and sustainability over the long term. This conceptual contribution is intended to guide future empirical research, case-based investigation, and practical implementation of AI-embedded logistics systems.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Logistics Management, Intelligent Systems, Route Optimization, Warehouse Automation, Conceptual Framework

1. Introduction

Logistics management is concerned with the efficient flow and storage of goods, information, and resources from origin to point of consumption. Traditional logistics research emphasizes cost minimization, service-level optimization, and coordination among transportation, warehousing, and inventory functions, typically relying on static planning models and rule-based decision rules (Mentzer *et al*., 2001) [1]. Although these approaches have supported decades of operational efficiency, growing demand volatility, e-commerce-driven fulfillment pressure, and recurring global disruptions have exposed the limits of conventional logistics planning (Chopra & Meindl, 2016) [2].

In response, AI has attracted increasing attention in logistics research and practice. Existing studies show that AI can improve demand forecasting, optimize delivery routes, and support real-time decision-making under uncertainty (Min, 2010) [6]. Yet most research still treats AI as a supporting tool bolted onto individual logistics functions rather than as an integrated intelligence reshaping logistics management as a whole. As a result, the systemic role of AI within logistics remains insufficiently conceptualized (Toorajipour *et al*., 2021) [7].

Recent work in management and operations research suggests AI should be understood as an embedded capability for learning, reasoning, and adaptation, not merely a computational tool (Davenport & Ronanki, 2018) [9]. Applied to logistics, this perspective implies that AI can transform how networks sense disruptions, coordinate across nodes, and respond to change. Yet logistics theory has not fully incorporated this intelligence-centered view into coherent conceptual frameworks.

Resilience and adaptability have become critical dimensions of logistics performance, particularly considering supply disruptions and volatile customer demand (Christopher & Peck, 2004) [3]; (Sheffi & Rice, 2005) [4]. Despite this, the conceptual link between AI-enabled intelligence and adaptive logistics capability remains fragmented, and few frameworks explain how learning-oriented decision-making becomes embedded across logistics processes.

This paper adopts a purely conceptual approach to examine the convergence of AI and logistics management. Drawing on systems-based perspectives and criteria for theoretical contribution (Whetten, 1989) [16], we propose a framework that positions AI as the core intelligence embedded within logistics systems, reconceptualizing logistics networks as intelligent, adaptive systems capable of continuous learning, thereby providing a foundation for future empirical and applied research on AI-enabled logistics.

2. Background

Logistics management has long been recognized as a core operational discipline concerned with coordinating transportation, warehousing, inventory, and information flows to meet customer requirements at minimum cost (Mentzer *et al.*, 2001) [1]. Traditional logistics models are largely built on deterministic route planning, periodic inventory review, and network optimization techniques designed to minimize cost and improve service levels (Chopra & Meindl, 2016) [2]. While effective under stable demand and supply conditions, these approaches face growing difficulty as logistics networks operate under greater complexity, tighter delivery windows, and higher uncertainty.

Despite their operational value, traditional logistics systems have been criticized for limited responsiveness to disruption. Conventional planning tools depend heavily on historical patterns and predefined rules, making them reactive rather than adaptive (Christopher & Peck, 2004) [3]. These shortcomings have become more visible amid global disruptions and demand shocks, where logistics networks require rapid sensing and response capabilities beyond traditional planning models (Sheffi & Rice, 2005) [4].

Digitalization has introduced new sources of visibility into logistics operations. Technologies such as IoT sensors, telematics, and cloud-based platforms have enhanced tracking and connectivity across transportation and warehousing networks (Ben-Daya *et al.*, 2019) [14]; (Büyüközkan & Göçer, 2018) [5]. However, digitalization alone does not guarantee intelligent decision-making; without an embedded reasoning layer, digital logistics systems remain data-rich but insight-poor.

AI has emerged as a promising approach for addressing complex, uncertainty-laden logistics decisions. Early studies demonstrate the potential of AI techniques such as machine learning and heuristic optimization in demand forecasting, vehicle routing, and warehouse scheduling (Min, 2010) [6]; (Carbonneau *et al.*, 2008) [12]. More recent systematic reviews confirm the expanding role of AI across supply chain and logistics functions (Pournader *et al.*, 2021) [8]; (Toorajipour *et al.*, 2021) [7]. Nevertheless, much of this literature remains function-specific rather than addressing AI's conceptual integration into logistics management as a coherent system.

Beyond specific applications, AI is increasingly viewed as embedded organizational intelligence capable of learning, reasoning, and adapting decision processes (Davenport & Ronanki, 2018) [9]. Applied to logistics networks with many interacting nodes — carriers, warehouses, distribution centers, last-mile fleets — this view suggests intelligence should be distributed across the system rather than isolated in specific tools (Ivanov *et al.*, 2019) [10]. The concept of automated and intelligent logistics, encompassing robotics, autonomous vehicles, and algorithmic decision support, has gained traction, with automation and AI increasingly reshaping human roles and operational workflows in logistics systems (Klumpp, 2018) [11].

Adaptability and resilience are now recognized as critical logistics performance dimensions extending beyond cost and speed. From a systems-thinking perspective, logistics operates as a complex socio-technical system involving people, processes, vehicles, and technologies (Flynn *et al.*, 2010) [15], meaning isolated optimization of individual components is insufficient; intelligence must be embedded across the system to enable coordination and adaptive response. Conceptual frameworks play an important role in advancing theory by organizing constructs and their relationships (Whetten, 1989) [16]. In AI-enabled logistics, the scarcity of integrative frameworks limits both theoretical progress and practical guidance for organizations adopting AI at scale.

3. Literature Review

Foundational logistics research emphasizes coordination and efficiency across transportation, warehousing, and inventory management functions, generally defining logistics as the process of planning and controlling the flow of goods and related information to meet customer requirements (Mentzer *et al.*, 2001) [1]. Classical logistics theory relies on deterministic planning and network optimization to minimize cost and improve delivery performance (Chopra & Meindl, 2016) [2]. While influential, these foundations provide limited guidance on how logistics networks adapt to dynamic and uncertain operating conditions.

Several scholars point to structural limitations of traditional logistics planning. Reliance on historical data and static rules constrains responsiveness in volatile markets (Christopher & Peck, 2004) [3], a limitation that becomes especially apparent during large-scale disruptions where predefined routing and inventory plans fail to accommodate sudden shocks (Sheffi & Rice, 2005) [4]. This has led researchers to call increasingly for adaptive, learning-based logistics models.

Digital transformation has expanded connectivity and visibility across logistics networks. Research on digital supply chains highlights how IoT, telematics, and cloud platforms improve tracking, information sharing, and operational transparency (Büyüközkan & Göçer, 2018) [5]; (Ben-Daya *et al.*, 2019) [14]. However, digital visibility alone does not translate into intelligent decision-making, as many digitally enabled logistics operations still depend on manual judgment and fixed decision rules.

AI has been widely applied to discrete logistics functions. Early machine-learning-based forecasting studies demonstrate improved demand prediction accuracy relative to traditional statistical methods (Carbonneau *et al.*, 2008) [12], while broader reviews confirm AI's effectiveness in transportation planning, warehouse operations, and inventory optimization (Min, 2010) [6]. Systematic literature reviews further synthesize the growing body of research applying AI across supply chain and logistics domains (Toorajipour *et al.*, 2021) [7]; (Pournader *et al.*, 2021) [8]. Yet these contributions largely adopt an application-oriented lens, with limited conceptual integration of AI as a system-level capability.

Beyond functional applications, AI is increasingly theorized as organizational intelligence — a capability that augments human cognition and enables adaptive, data-driven decision-making (Davenport & Ronanki, 2018) [9]. Case-based research on AI adoption in operations and supply chain management similarly finds that AI reshapes managerial processes beyond simple task automation (Helo & Hao, 2022) [17]. This intelligence-centered perspective offers a useful lens for reconceptualizing logistics management.

The notion of automated and intelligent logistics networks has gained particular attention in transportation and warehousing contexts, where robotics, autonomous vehicles, and algorithmic dispatching are reshaping human–machine collaboration (Klumpp, 2018) [11]; (Dash *et al*., 2019) [18]. Related research on last-mile delivery documents a growing range of AI-supported delivery concepts, from dynamic routing to micro-fulfillment and autonomous delivery robots, aimed at improving speed and cost-efficiency in the final delivery stage (Boysen *et al*., 2021) [13]. While these studies illustrate AI's expanding operational footprint, they generally lack a unifying framework explaining how intelligence is embedded across logistics layers, from data acquisition to physical execution.

Resilience and adaptability are increasingly recognized as essential logistics performance dimensions. Resilience-oriented research emphasizes flexibility, redundancy, and rapid response as key to managing disruption (Christopher & Peck, 2004) [3]; (Sheffi & Rice, 2005) [4], yet the specific mechanisms through which AI supports such adaptability remain conceptually underexplored. Systems-thinking perspectives further argue that logistics networks are complex socio-technical systems in which isolated component optimization is insufficient (Flynn *et al*., 2010) [15], reinforcing the need to embed intelligence at the system level.

Conceptual frameworks are central to theory development, organizing fragmented findings and guiding future empirical inquiry (Whetten, 1989) [16]. In the context of AI and logistics, the shortage of integrative conceptual work limits both theoretical progress and practitioner understanding of how AI reshapes logistics as an integrated system of decision-making, coordination, and physical execution (Min, 2010) [6]; (Pournader *et al*., 2021) [8].

4. Analysis and Discussion

The proposed framework reframes logistics management from a flow-coordination mechanism into an intelligent system capable of perception, learning, and adaptive execution. Classical logistics theory emphasizes network design, cost minimization, and service-level planning (Mentzer *et al*., 2001) [1]; (Chopra & Meindl, 2016) [2]. By embedding AI as a core intelligence layer, logistics is conceptually transformed into a system that continuously interprets operational signals — demand shifts, traffic conditions, inventory levels, disruption events — and adjusts decisions accordingly, aligning with emerging views of intelligent and automated logistics networks (Klumpp, 2018) [11].

A key analytical distinction in this study is between AI as a discrete tool and AI as embedded intelligence. Much prior research examines AI applications within isolated logistics functions such as forecasting or routing (Min, 2010) [6]; (Carbonneau *et al*., 2008) [12]. In contrast, the proposed framework positions AI as structurally embedded across strategic network design, tactical planning, and operational execution, including transportation routing, warehouse automation, and last-mile delivery. This repositioning carries theoretical significance, framing AI as an integral component of logistics systems rather than an external support mechanism layered onto existing processes.

Decision-making is the central mechanism through which AI reshapes logistics operations. Traditional logistics decisions — route plans, replenishment schedules, staffing levels — are typically periodic and rule-based, relying on historical demand patterns (Chopra & Meindl, 2016) [2]. The framework suggests AI enables a shift toward continuous, learning-based decision-making, where routes, inventory allocations, and delivery schedules are dynamically updated as new data arrives. This aligns with organizational intelligence perspectives that emphasize adaptive, data-driven managerial processes (Davenport & Ronanki, 2018) [9]; (Helo & Hao, 2022) [17].

Continuous learning emerges as a defining feature of intelligent logistics systems. Resilience research highlights learning as critical to responding effectively to disruption (Christopher & Peck, 2004) [3]; (Sheffi & Rice, 2005) [4]. The proposed framework extends this view by positioning AI as the mechanism through which learning becomes institutionalized in logistics operations — for instance, forecasting models that recalibrate with incoming sales data, or routing algorithms that adjust to real-time traffic and delivery-failure patterns rather than relying on static assumptions.

Applied to specific logistics functions, the framework helps explain several observed patterns in practice. In demand forecasting, machine-learning models process a wider range of signals — promotions, weather, social trends — than conventional statistical methods, improving forecast accuracy and reducing stock-outs and overstock (Carbonneau *et al*., 2008) [12]. In transportation and route optimization, AI-based algorithms dynamically re-route vehicles in response to traffic, weather, and delivery constraints, reducing fuel consumption and delivery time compared with static route plans (Min, 2010) [6]. In warehousing, AI-enabled robotics and predictive maintenance systems coordinate picking, sorting, and equipment servicing with minimal human intervention, improving throughput and reducing downtime (Dash *et al*., 2019) [18]; (Klumpp, 2018) [11]. In last-mile delivery, AI supports dynamic dispatching, delivery-window prediction, and increasingly autonomous delivery concepts, addressing one of the costliest and least efficient segments of the logistics chain (Boysen *et al*., 2021) [13].

From a systems-thinking perspective, logistics involves complex interactions among carriers, warehouses, distribution centers, and last-mile fleets (Flynn *et al*., 2010) [15]. The framework highlights that embedding AI at the system level, rather than within isolated nodes, enhances coordination across these actors, distributing intelligence across the network to enable synchronized, coherent decision-making rather than fragmented, node-level optimization.

Adaptability is increasingly recognized as a core dimension of logistics performance. Traditional models struggle under uncertainty due to reliance on static planning assumptions (Christopher & Peck, 2004) [3]. The framework suggests AI-enhanced learning and reasoning capabilities provide the foundation for proactive rather than reactive adaptation, continuously interpreting changes in demand, capacity, and network conditions to adjust logistics decisions before disruptions escalate.

The framework also offers insight into logistics resilience. Resilience literature emphasizes the ability to withstand, recover from, and adapt to disruption (Sheffi & Rice, 2005) [4]. By embedding AI-driven learning within logistics decision-making, the framework conceptualizes resilience as an emergent property of intelligent logistics systems rather than a separately managed capability, shifting resilience from a reactive response to a continuously cultivated system attribute.

Theoretically, this study extends logistics and supply chain theory toward an intelligence-centered paradigm. While prior research acknowledges AI's operational benefits in specific logistics functions (Toorajipour *et al*., 2021) [7]; (Pournader *et al*., 2021) [8], it often lacks integrative conceptualization. By organizing key constructs and their relationships, the proposed framework advances theory development consistent with criteria for meaningful conceptual contributions (Whetten, 1989) [16].

As a purely conceptual study, the framework abstracts away from specific technologies, carriers, and organizational contexts. This abstraction enhances generalizability but also introduces boundary conditions: the effectiveness of AI-embedded logistics depends on data availability, infrastructure readiness, and organizational and regulatory factors, considerations highlighted in digital logistics research (Büyüközkan & Göçer, 2018) [5]; (Ben-Daya *et al*., 2019) [14]. These considerations point toward important directions for contextualized future research, including empirical validation across different logistics sectors — e-commerce fulfillment, cold-chain logistics, cross-border freight — and geographic contexts such as emerging-market logistics networks.

5. Conclusion and Future Works

This study examined the convergence of artificial intelligence and logistics management from a purely conceptual perspective. By positioning AI as embedded intelligence within logistics systems, the proposed framework advances existing thinking beyond tool-based applications toward intelligent and adaptive logistics networks. Consistent with foundational logistics theory (Mentzer *et al*., 2001) [1]; (Chopra & Meindl, 2016) [2], the framework offers a coherent structure to guide future empirical and applied investigation.

The primary contribution of this study lies in reframing logistics as an intelligent system rather than a set of discrete, AI-supported functions. While prior research documents AI's operational benefits in forecasting, routing, and warehouse automation (Min, 2010) [6]; (Toorajipour *et al*., 2021) [7], this paper extends the literature by clarifying AI's systemic role in learning and adaptation across the logistics network. Future research may empirically validate this framework across diverse logistics contexts, including e-commerce fulfillment networks, cold-chain and perishable-goods logistics, and cross-border freight operations, where adaptability requirements and disruption risks differ substantially.

This paper concludes that AI should be understood as embedded intelligence rather than an auxiliary technology layered onto existing logistics processes. This perspective aligns with organizational intelligence research emphasizing learning and reasoning capabilities in operational decision-making (Davenport & Ronanki, 2018) [9]; (Helo & Hao, 2022) [17]. Future work may explore how varying levels of AI maturity, from basic analytics to fully autonomous decision-making, influence logistics adaptability and performance outcomes.

The proposed framework also complements resilience research by conceptualizing intelligent logistics systems as continuously adapting rather than periodically re-planned (Christopher & Peck, 2004) [3]; (Sheffi & Rice, 2005) [4]. Future studies may examine how AI-enabled learning mechanisms contribute to long-term logistics resilience, particularly in the context of climate-related disruptions, geopolitical shocks, and rapidly shifting consumer demand patterns.

By emphasizing AI-driven decision-making, this study highlights a shift from static planning toward dynamic, continuous coordination in logistics management. Traditional decision frameworks (Chopra & Meindl, 2016) [2] are extended through learning-based intelligence, and future research may investigate questions of decision autonomy, human oversight, and governance as AI assumes a larger role in logistics decision-making (Klumpp, 2018) [11].

In conclusion, this study provides a conceptual foundation for understanding how AI reshapes logistics management into an intelligent and adaptive system. By embedding AI as core intelligence across planning, coordination, and execution, the proposed framework offers a platform for future research exploring empirical validation, practical implementation, and theoretical refinement of AI-enabled logistics (Pournader *et al*., 2021) [8]. Logistics networks operate across diverse regulatory, infrastructural, and cultural contexts; future research may explore how such contextual factors shape the effectiveness of AI-embedded logistics frameworks in different regions and industries (Ben-Daya *et al*., 2019) [14].

6. References

1. Mentzer JT, DeWitt W, Keebler JS, Min S, Nix NW, Smith CD, *et al*. Defining supply chain management. Journal of Business Logistics. 2001; 22(2):1-25.
2. Chopra S, Meindl P. Supply Chain Management: Strategy, Planning, and Operation (6th ed.). Pearson, 2016.
3. Christopher M, Peck H. Building the resilient supply chain. International Journal of Logistics Management. 2004; 15(2):1-14.
4. Sheffi Y, Rice JB. A supply chain view of the resilient enterprise. MIT Sloan Management Review. 2005; 47(1):41-48.
5. Büyüközkan G, Göçer F. Digital supply chain: Literature review and a proposed framework for future research. Computers in Industry. 2018; 97:157-177.
6. Min H. Artificial intelligence in supply chain management: Theory and applications. International Journal of Logistics: Research and Applications. 2010; 13(1):13-39.
7. Toorajipour R, Sohrabpour V, Nazarpour A, Oghazi P, Fischl M. Artificial intelligence in supply chain management: A systematic literature review. Journal of Business Research. 2021; 122:502-517.
8. Pournader M, Ghaderi H, Hassanzadegan A, Fahimnia B. Artificial intelligence applications in supply chain management. International Journal of Production

Economics. 2021; 241:108250.
9. Davenport TH, Ronanki R. Artificial intelligence for the real world. Harvard Business Review. 2018; 96(1):108-116.
10. Ivanov D, Dolgui A, Sokolov B. The impact of digital technology and Industry 4.0 on the ripple effect and supply chain risk analytics. International Journal of Production Research. 2019; 57(3):829-846.
11. Klumpp M. Automation and artificial intelligence in business logistics systems: Human reactions and collaboration requirements. International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications. 2018; 21(3):224-242.
12. Carbonneau R, Laframboise K, Vahidov R. Application of machine learning techniques for supply chain demand forecasting. European Journal of Operational Research. 2008; 184(3):1140-1154.
13. Boysen N, Fedtke S, Schwerdfeger S. Last-mile delivery concepts: A survey from an operational research perspective. OR Spectrum. 2021; 43(1):1-58.
14. Ben-Daya M, Hassini E, Bahroun Z. Internet of things and supply chain management: A literature review. International Journal of Production Research. 2019; 57(15-16):4719-4742.
15. Flynn BB, Huo B, Zhao X. The impact of supply chain integration on performance: A contingency and configuration approach. Journal of Operations Management. 2010; 28(1):58-71.
16. Whetten DA. What constitutes a theoretical contribution? Academy of Management Review. 1989; 14(4):490-495.
17. Helo P, Hao Y. Artificial intelligence in operations management and supply chain management: An exploratory case study. Production Planning & Control. 2022; 33(16):1573-1590.
18. Dash R, McMurtrey M, Rebman C, Kar UK. Application of artificial intelligence in automation of supply chain management. Journal of Strategic Innovation and Sustainability. 2019; 14(3):43-53.