Int. j. adv. multidisc. res. stud. 2026; 6(2):963-968

Received: 12-02-2026
Accepted: 22-03-2026

International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies

ISSN: 2583-049X

Epistemology, Pedagogy, and Inquiry-Based Learning in Science Education: Linking Theory, Practice, and Policy

Konstantinos T Kotsis
Lab of Physics Education and Teaching, Department of Primary Education, University of Ioannina, Greece

DOI: https://doi.org/10.62225/2583049X.2026.6.2.6056

Corresponding Author: **Konstantinos T Kotsis**

Abstract
This paper examines the relationship between epistemology, pedagogy, and inquiry-based learning in contemporary science education. It asserts that understanding the formulation, validation, and dissemination of scientific information is essential for developing instructional strategies that reflect authentic scientific practice. The study describes science learning as participation in epistemic practices, such as inquiry, modeling, and argumentation, through the use of constructivist and sociocultural frameworks, in conjunction with contemporary theories of epistemic cognition. Inquiry-based learning is emphasized as a core educational approach in which students formulate questions, collect and analyze data, and develop and evaluate scientific responses, engaging in authentic scientific practices such as investigation, explanation, and evidence-based reasoning. This perspective has progressively evolved toward an approach centered on scientific practices, shifting the focus from inquiry as a method to participation in epistemic practices such as modeling, argumentation, and explanation. The study illustrates how these methods promote epistemic awareness, enabling learners to understand the provisional, evidence-based, and socially constructed nature of scientific knowledge. The analysis highlights the importance of structured scaffolding, dialogic interaction, and formative assessment in promoting epistemically focused instruction in the classroom. It underscores the imperative for competence-based frameworks at the curricular and policy levels that foster practical knowledge, critical reasoning, and informed decision-making. The paper concludes that aligning epistemology, pedagogy, and inquiry-based learning creates a cohesive framework for science education that integrates theory, practice, and policy, fostering scientifically literate individuals capable of critically and responsibly addressing complex socio-scientific issues.

Keywords: Epistemology, Pedagogy, Science Education, Inquiry-Based Learning, Curriculum Policy

Introduction

The connection between epistemology and pedagogy has become a crucial concern in contemporary science education, emphasizing the growing recognition that knowledge transmission mechanisms are inherently tied to comprehension processes. Recent improvements in the field highlight the imperative for students to engage with both scientific concepts and the processes through which knowledge is generated, validated, and revised [1]. This perspective indicates a transition from transmissive teaching approaches to constructivist and sociocultural strategies, where knowledge is perceived as dynamic, provisional, and socially constructed [2]. Within this framework, inquiry-based learning is conceptualized as a pedagogical approach that engages learners in authentic scientific practices and epistemic processes [3]. From this viewpoint, scientific education is regarded as a process of enculturation into epistemic activities, including observation, reasoning, experimentation, and debate, wherein learners actively construct and negotiate meaning within a community of inquiry [4].

This study offers a conceptual examination of the interplay among epistemology, pedagogy, and inquiry-based learning within the context of science education. It does not present actual data but synthesizes theoretical viewpoints and research material to construct an integrated framework connecting classroom practice, curriculum, and policy. This paper's contribution is to articulate a cohesive viewpoint that links epistemological theory with pedagogical design and curriculum creation via inquiry-based learning.

From an epistemological standpoint, acquiring scientific knowledge entails comprehending its provisional character, theoretical underpinnings, and evidence-based foundation [5]. Epistemic cognition comprises learners' views regarding the sources, justification, and certainty of knowledge, together with the criteria for evaluating claims [6]. This epistemic awareness allows students to critically evaluate evidence, acknowledge uncertainty, and differentiate between opinion and substantiated explanation, thus fostering both profound conceptual comprehension and informed participation in scientific matters.

These epistemological conclusions bear considerable significance for classroom practice. Instruction consistent with the epistemological aspects of science should involve students in inquiry, modeling, and organized reflective dialogue. Instead of imparting knowledge, educators create learning settings where students formulate questions, devise and execute investigations, evaluate evidence, and develop and substantiate scientific assertions [7]. In such contexts, the instructor transitions from a knowledge provider to a facilitator who aids and directs students' reasoning processes towards conceptual coherence. Thus, the focus shifts from merely reproducing right answers to fostering intellectual curiosity and epistemic agency, which entails the ability to build, assess, and justify knowledge in alignment with the principles of scientific reasoning.

The amalgamation of epistemology and pedagogy requires a redefinition of assessment. Conventional assessment methods, which mainly evaluate the retention of factual information, are inadequate for reflecting students' epistemic growth. Consequently, there is an increasing focus on formative assessment methods that prioritize the quality of reasoning, the justification of assertions, and the expression of evidence-based explanations [8]. Such approaches illustrate the perspective that scientific comprehension is evidenced not by rote memorizing but by the capacity to utilize evidence and reasoning to elucidate events. In this setting, assessment serves as a crucial element of instruction, allowing students to articulate their thought processes while enabling teachers to adjust and enhance their teaching methods.

The amalgamation of epistemological and pedagogical viewpoints has influenced modern scientific education policy. International frameworks established by the OECD underscore the importance of scientific inquiry and debate in cultivating critical, reflective, and informed citizenry [9, 10], while European policy developments further reinforce this orientation by promoting inquiry-based and competence-driven reforms in mathematics and science education [11]. These policy orientations emphasize that students must comprehend not only scientific concepts but also the processes and objectives of scientific knowledge, connecting epistemic practices to intricate societal challenges, such as environmental sustainability, technological innovation, and ethical decision-making. From this viewpoint, epistemological awareness transcends cognitive growth, acting as a cornerstone for engagement in democratic, evidence-based communities.

The integration of epistemological and pedagogical perspectives represents a significant progress in science education. It shifts the focus of education from the mere replication of established knowledge to the cultivation of inquiry, reasoning, and reflective understanding. Students obtain not only scientific knowledge but also the intellectual principles that support scientific reasoning: curiosity, skepticism, and respect for evidence. Educators, therefore, adopt the role of architects of learning environments that embody the principles of scientific inquiry. This epistemological transition positions scientific education as a cognitive and ethical pursuit, equipping learners to navigate complexity with intellectual rigor and civic responsibility. This perspective has progressively evolved toward an approach centered on scientific practices, where inquiry is understood not merely as a method but as participation in epistemic practices such as modeling, argumentation, and explanation [12].

Epistemological Foundations of Science Learning

The epistemological foundations of science education are based on the idea that knowledge is actively constructed rather than passively conveyed. From this perspective, learning entails the ongoing interaction of experience, reasoning, and social engagement, enabling humans to cultivate progressively advanced comprehensions of scientific phenomena.

From a constructivist perspective, initially proposed by Piaget, learners develop mental representations by assimilating new information with existing knowledge [13]. In the realm of science education, this suggests that comprehension cannot be just equated with the gathering of knowledge; instead, it necessitates the reorganization of pre-existing cognitive structures. Misconceptions are not simply inaccuracies but represent essential stages in conceptual transformation [14], particularly in physics education where alternative ideas can serve as productive resources for designing instruction that promotes conceptual understanding and epistemic engagement [15]. Effective instruction must involve learners in experiences that confront their original beliefs and facilitate the ongoing rebuilding of knowledge through inquiry and reflection.

Sociocultural theory, informed by Vygotsky, contextualizes learning within social interaction and mediated activity [16], so complementing this individual approach. Knowledge is therefore perceived as collaboratively created through language, instruments, and engagement in communal rituals. This viewpoint emphasizes the significance of collaborative inquiry, dialogic interaction, and the collective construction of meaning in scientific classrooms. By engaging with the concepts of others, learners enhance their thinking and cultivate more comprehensive scientific explanations, underscoring the inherently social character of scientific knowledge.

An essential epistemological aspect of science education is the cultivation of epistemic cognition, the ability to contemplate the nature, origins, and validation of knowledge [17]. In educational settings, this entails recognizing that scientific knowledge is tentative, influenced by theoretical frameworks, and based on empirical evidence. Students with more advanced epistemic perspectives are more adept at assessing conflicting assertions, acknowledging ambiguity, and tackling intricate issues. This capacity is closely related to the development of multivariable thinking, which enables learners to coordinate multiple factors and relationships when reasoning about complex scientific phenomena [18]. This development does not happen implicitly; it necessitates deliberate instructional focus on the criteria for generating and validating knowledge. Educators are pivotal in this process by exemplifying scientific thinking, elucidating epistemic standards, and prompting students to explore alternate answers [5].

These epistemological viewpoints also redefine the notion of scientific literacy. Traditional perspectives prioritized the acquisition of scientific facts and concepts, but modern approaches highlight the capacity for scientific reasoning, evidence evaluation, and informed decision-making about science-related matters. Scientific literacy is therefore recognized as both a cognitive and civic competence, enabling individuals to engage meaningfully in societal discourse involving scientific knowledge [19], while research in teacher education emphasizes that the development of scientific literacy among pre-service teachers is essential for fostering inquiry-based and epistemically oriented science instruction [20]. Comparative studies also indicate meaningful differences between pre-service teachers and primary school students in their levels of scientific literacy, underscoring the need for coherent instructional frameworks that bridge teacher preparation and classroom learning [21].

A crucial element of this epistemological perspective is the Nature of Science (NOS) framework, which aims to elucidate the attributes of scientific knowledge and practice. The NOS perspective emphasizes that scientific knowledge is empirical, inferential, and amenable to revision; it entails creativity and imagination; and it is shaped by social and cultural settings [22]. Research demonstrates that direct interaction with these concepts improves students' comprehension of science as a dynamic and interpretative process. Nonetheless, successful implementation is contingent upon teachers' epistemological views. Educators perceiving science as a static collection of facts tend to employ transmissive methods, while those with advanced epistemic insights are more equipped to facilitate inquiry-based instruction [6].

The epistemological foundations of scientific education underscore the interrelationship between knowledge conceptions and pedagogical methods. When epistemology and pedagogy are effectively aligned, educational settings can facilitate student engagement in scientific activities, where uncertainty serves as a constructive component of inquiry. This viewpoint reconceptualizes science education as a continuous process of interpretation, conversation, and revision, enabling learners to comprehend scientific concepts and engage in scientific reasoning crucial for tackling modern societal issues.

Pedagogical Implications and Classroom Applications of Epistemological Understanding

The epistemic underpinnings of science education significantly influence instructional practices. When knowledge is perceived as built rather than conveyed, education transitions from the dissemination of information to the creation of learning environments that facilitate inquiry, reasoning, and meaning-making. In these circumstances, students are regarded not as passive recipients of knowledge but as active contributors to the development of scientific understanding.

This perspective is fundamentally expressed through inquiry-based learning. In inquiry-oriented classrooms, students engage in iterative processes of questioning, investigation, data analysis, and explanation [23], with inquiry-based laboratory experiences promoting both conceptual understanding and self-efficacy [24], while experimentation itself functions as a core epistemic practice that enables learners to construct and evaluate knowledge through direct engagement with empirical evidence [25]. Recent research further highlights that the quality of the inquiry learning climate is shaped by social and instructional factors, which can be systematically identified through advanced analytical approaches such as explainable machine learning [26]. These techniques reflect the epistemic processes inherent in scientific work, enabling learners to engage with knowledge formation as a dynamic and changing endeavor. In a lower secondary physics environment, students may do experiments to compare temperature variations across various materials, utilize digital tools for data analysis, and give evidence-based explanations. They are prompted to assess the reliability of their measurements, resolve inconsistencies, and enhance their interpretations through guided conversation. Such experiences elucidate the connection between evidence and explanation, promoting enhanced epistemic comprehension.

Effective inquiry-based instruction transcends just hands-on activities. It necessitates intentional scaffolding that aids students in data interpretation, reasoning articulation, and the connection of empirical evidence to theoretical concepts. Educators are essential in this process by raising epistemologically focused inquiries, such as what constitutes evidence, how assertions are validated, and why alternative explanations merit consideration. Thus, inquiry serves as both a methodological approach and a mechanism for cultivating epistemic awareness.

Language and discourse represent a vital aspect of epistemically focused instruction. From a sociocultural standpoint, learning is facilitated by communication, and the advancement of scientific comprehension is intricately linked to the acquisition of disciplinary language and practices [16]. Classroom discourse creates opportunities for students to articulate ideas, question assumptions, and negotiate meaning, with dialogic teaching approaches fostering engagement with diverse perspectives and cognitive development [27], while in inquiry-based contexts such practices also contribute to the development of critical literacy through teachers' pedagogical mediation of interpretation and meaning-making [28]. Also contributing to the development of critical thinking dispositions by enabling the transformation of dialogic participation into internally structured reasoning [29]. Through these processes, students transition from colloquial explanations to more formal and scientifically rigorous forms of reasoning.

Model-based reasoning constitutes an essential element of epistemically aligned training. Scientific models serve as conceptual instruments for elucidating and forecasting phenomena, rather than as immutable depictions of reality. Involving students in the creation, assessment, and modification of models enhances their comprehension of the abstract and provisional characteristics of scientific knowledge [7]. Through the comparison of various models, identification of foundational assumptions, and evaluation of explanatory efficacy, learners cultivate metacognitive awareness regarding the structure and justification of scientific knowledge.

Argumentation-based training enhances inquiry and modeling by emphasizing the assessment and justification of knowledge assertions. Through systematic argumentation, students acquire the skills to formulate claims, substantiate them with evidence, evaluate counterarguments, and articulate their reasoning coherently [4]. Such engagement can be further understood through the lens of productive disciplinary engagement and epistemic practices, which provide a framework for analyzing how classroom interactions support meaningful participation in scientific reasoning [30]. Studies demonstrate that these techniques can improve conceptual comprehension and foster the perception of science as a discursive and evidence-driven endeavor [31].

The integration of argumentation in educational settings poses significant obstacles. Students frequently need direct assistance to cultivate the abilities essential for formulating high-quality arguments, and educators must adeptly manage the intricacies of fostering constructive dialogue while ensuring curricular consistency. Time limitations and evaluative requirements may further restrict prospects for prolonged involvement in arguing practices. Thus, the efficacy of argumentation relies on suitable scaffolding, instructor proficiency, and coherence with overarching teaching and assessment frameworks.

These educational orientations also require a reevaluation of assessment techniques. Conventional assessments centered on factual recollection inadequately encompass the reasoning and justification processes essential for scientific comprehension. Formative assessment methods, such as reflective writing, concept mapping, and evidence-based explanation activities, facilitate the visibility of students' cognitive processes and enhance their epistemic growth [8]. In this environment, assessment is a fundamental aspect of instruction, guiding both teaching and learning through continuous feedback.

The effective execution of epistemically oriented teaching is intricately connected to teacher education and professional development. Educators' convictions regarding knowledge and learning profoundly influence their teaching methodologies, as teachers with more sophisticated epistemological perspectives are more likely to adopt inquiry-based and dialogic approaches [6], while the enactment of argumentation-based instruction depends on the interplay between teachers' pedagogical content knowledge of argumentation and their epistemological beliefs, which jointly shape classroom practice [32]. In addition, teachers' self-efficacy beliefs in teaching physics constitute a critical factor influencing their instructional choices and their willingness to implement inquiry-based approaches [33]. Professional learning experiences that involve instructors in reflective inquiry, collaborative design, and evidence-based discourse can enhance the development of instructional methods that conform to epistemic principles [34].

The amalgamation of epistemology and pedagogy converts scientific classrooms into inquiry communities where knowledge is co-constructed, evidence underpins explanations, and uncertainty is acknowledged as a beneficial aspect of learning. To implement this perspective, educators can provide open-ended assignments necessitating explanation and justification, organize classroom discussions to facilitate argumentation, integrate model-based reasoning, and utilize formative assessment techniques that emphasize students' cognitive processes. Such approaches enable science education to progress from mere content transmission to the development of epistemic agency, the ability to construct, assess, and utilize knowledge in accordance with the principles of scientific inquiry.

Epistemology, Pedagogy, and Curriculum Policy

The intersection of epistemology and pedagogy influences not only classroom practices but also the whole framework of curriculum creation and educational policy. In modern science education, curricula are increasingly viewed not as fixed content collections but as organized frameworks for developing epistemic practices, inquiry dispositions, and critical thinking. This transition signifies a progression from knowledge transmission to its active construction and application, placing epistemological understanding at the center of curricular design [35].

At the global level, curriculum policies have progressively adopted competence-based methods influenced by epistemological views on learning and knowledge acquisition. Frameworks like the OECD Learning Compass 2030 recommendations on essential competences for lifelong learning underscore the cultivation of reflective, self-regulated learners proficient in critical reasoning, collaboration, and informed decision-making [9, 10]. In these frameworks, scientific literacy is defined as a multidimensional entity that encompasses epistemic knowledge, procedural understanding, and socio-ethical awareness. The objective is to not only train future scientists but also to foster individuals capable of thoughtfully engaging with science-related issues across many personal, professional, and societal contexts.

A curriculum guided by epistemology necessitates a reevaluation of the organization of knowledge across several disciplines. Conventional curricular frameworks frequently segregate information into distinct subjects, so concealing the interrelated and dynamic essence of scientific exploration. Conversely, epistemically oriented courses highlight cross-cutting practices, such as modeling, argumentation, and evidence evaluation, as transferable reasoning modalities [36]. This viewpoint corresponds with interdisciplinary methodologies, encompassing STEM and STEAM education, which contextualize scientific learning within wider technological, cultural, and societal frameworks. This integration promotes a more adaptable comprehension of knowledge, allowing learners to identify patterns, linkages, and applications across other areas.

The achievement of these curricular objectives is fundamentally reliant on teacher professionalism and systemic support. Educators serve as essential intermediaries of curricular policy, converting theoretical concepts into classroom implementation via their instructional choices and knowledge attitudes [37]. Studies demonstrate that professional development programs involving reflective inquiry, collaborative curriculum design, and evidence-based practices can improve the coherence between curricular objectives and instructional methods [38]. The implementation of the policy remains intricate. Institutional constraints, high-stakes assessment frameworks, and deep-rooted cultural expectations can restrict instructors' ability to adopt inquiry-based and epistemically focused pedagogies. Confronting these problems necessitates educational institutions that promote teacher autonomy, encourage professional collaboration, and offer continuous opportunities for learning and innovation.

The amalgamation of epistemology and pedagogy has significant ethical and social implications for curriculum policy. Science education exists within broader social and political frameworks that determine what constitutes acceptable knowledge and whose viewpoints are acknowledged. Critical and post-positivist viewpoints advocate for the incorporation of varied epistemologies, encompassing those based on cultural, gender, and

Indigenous knowledge systems [39]. This inclusion broadens the epistemic framework of science education, rendering it more inclusive, equitable, and attuned to the diverse perspectives of learners. It also urges students to acknowledge science as a human pursuit influenced by values, situations, and views.

The amalgamation of epistemology, pedagogy, and curriculum policy provides a holistic framework for science education that links theoretical principles, teaching methodologies, and societal objectives. In this context, classrooms are perceived as venues for democratic inquiry, where knowledge is generated via evidence, reasoning, and conversation rather than imposed by authority. Aligning curriculum design with epistemic principles enables science education to facilitate learners' comprehension of the world and their active participation in its continuous development. In this context, scientific literacy is both a cognitive accomplishment and a moral obligation, rooted in critical engagement, informed judgment, and responsible action.

Conclusion

The relationship between epistemology and pedagogy is a crucial element in the development of contemporary science education. This study asserts that science education should not solely involve the transmission of established knowledge; rather, it must actively involve learners in the processes of knowledge generation, assessment, and modification. Science education is reimagined as an active and reflective endeavor by stressing epistemic activities such as inquiry, modeling, and debate, in which students participate with the logic and culture of scientific thinking.

This classroom mentality is reflected in instructional practices that view students as active contributors to knowledge creation. Inquiry-based activities, model development, and structured argumentation enable learners to provide explanations, evaluate evidence, and validate claims. In these circumstances, understanding is demonstrated not by memory, but through the ability to analyze, assess, and express scientifically validated ideas. These behaviors need careful scaffolding and teacher expertise, highlighting the importance of professional development that supports educators in promoting epistemic engagement. The integration of epistemological concepts into curricula and policies requires a shift towards competence-based frameworks that emphasize knowledge-in-use. Scientific literacy is described as the capacity to apply knowledge, assess evidence, and make informed judgments in complex and uncertain circumstances. This transformation necessitates alignment across curriculum, assessment, and instruction, ensuring that policy values are effectively integrated into classroom practices.

This paper's contribution is the development of a cohesive conceptual framework that systematically links epistemological theory, inquiry-based pedagogy, and curricular policy in science education. The paper presents a cohesive viewpoint by demonstrating how epistemic processes like inquiry, modeling, and argumentation may be systematically integrated across instructional, curricular, and policy dimensions, thereby enhancing both theoretical comprehension and pedagogical design. Additional study is required to investigate the implementation of this framework in various educational settings and to ascertain the factors that facilitate teachers in maintaining epistemically oriented instruction.

An epistemologically grounded approach to science education enables learners to understand scientific concepts and to interact with knowledge critically and responsibly. This cultivates the development of intellectually autonomous individuals capable of skillfully maneuvering through the complexities of a knowledge-driven society and making significant contributions to scientific and societal discourse.

References

1. Abd-El-Khalick F, Lederman NG. The influence of history of science courses on students' views of nature of science. J Res Sci Teach. 2000; 37(10):1057-1095. Doi: 10.1002/1098-2736(200012)37:10<1057::AID-TEA3>3.0.CO;2-C
2. Driver R, Leach J, Millar R, Scott P. Young people's images of science. Open University Press, 1996.
3. Constantinou CP, Tsivitanidou OE, Rybska E. What is inquiry-based science teaching and learning? In: Tsivitanidou O, Gray P, Rybska E, Louca L, Constantinou C, editors. Professional development for inquiry-based science teaching and learning. Springer, 2018, 1-23. Doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-91406-0_1
4. Osborne J. Teaching scientific practices: Meeting the challenge of change. J Sci Teach Educ. 2014; 25(2):177-196. Doi: 10.1007/s10972-014-9384-1
5. Sandoval WA. Understanding students' practical epistemologies and their influence on learning through inquiry. Sci Educ. 2005; 89(4):634-656. Doi: 10.1002/sce.20065
6. Schraw GJ, Olafson LJ. Assessing teachers' epistemological and ontological worldviews. In: Khine MS, editor. Knowing, knowledge and beliefs: Epistemological studies across diverse cultures. Springer, 2008, 25-44.
7. Windschitl M, Thompson J, Braaten M. Beyond the scientific method: Model-based inquiry as a new paradigm of preference for school science investigations. Sci Educ. 2008; 92(5):941-967. Doi: 10.1002/sce.20259
8. Ruiz-Primo MA, Furtak EM. Exploring teachers' informal formative assessment practices and students' understanding in the context of scientific inquiry. J Res Sci Teach. 2007; 44(1):57-84. Doi: 10.1002/tea.20163
9. OECD. Education at a glance 2023: OECD indicators. OECD Publishing, 2023. Doi: 10.1787/e13bef63-en
10. Muench S, Stoermer E, Jensen K, Asikainen T, Salvi M, Scapolo F. Towards a green and digital future: Key requirements for successful twin transitions in the European Union. Publications Office of the European Union, 2022. Doi: 10.2760/977331
11. Wake GD, Burkhardt H. Understanding the European policy landscape and its impact on change in mathematics and science pedagogies. ZDM Math Educ. 2013; 45(6):851-861. Doi: 10.1007/s11858-013-0513-7
12. García-Carmona A. From inquiry-based science education to the approach based on scientific practices. Sci Educ. 2020; 29(2):443-463. Doi: 10.1007/s11191-020-00108-8
13. Piaget J. Science of education and the psychology of the child. Coltman D, translator. Orion Press, 1970.
14. Vosniadou S. Conceptual change in learning and instruction: The framework theory approach. In:

Vosniadou S, editor. International handbook of research on conceptual change. 2nd ed. Routledge, 2013, 11-30.
15. Kotsis KT. Alternative ideas about concepts of physics are a timelessly valuable tool for physics education. Eur J Sci Environ Educ. 2023; 3(2):83-97. Doi: 10.30935/ejsee/13776
16. Vygotsky LS. Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Cole M, John-Steiner V, Scribner S, Souberman E, editors. Harvard University Press, 1978.
17. Hofer BK, Pintrich PR. The development of epistemological theories: Beliefs about knowledge and knowing and their relation to learning. Rev Educ Res. 1997; 67(1):88-140. Doi: 10.3102/00346543067001088
18. Rind IA. Developing prospective science teachers' multivariable thinking capabilities. Think Skills Creat. 2022; 46:101168. Doi: 10.1016/j.tsc.2022.101168
19. Bybee RW. The BSCS 5E instructional model: Personal reflections and contemporary implications. Sci Child. 2014; 51(8):10-13.
20. Stylos G, Siarka O, Kotsis KT. Assessing Greek pre-service primary teachers' scientific literacy. Eur J Sci Math Educ. 2023; 11(2):271-282. Doi: 10.30935/scimath/12637
21. Tsoumanis K, Stylos G, Kotsis KT. A comparative study between Greek pre-service teachers and primary school students' scientific literacy levels. Sci Educ Int. 2023; 34(2):121-131. Doi: 10.33828/sei.v34.i2.6
22. Lederman NG, Abd-El-Khalick F, Bell RL, Schwartz RS. Views of nature of science questionnaire: Toward valid and meaningful assessment of learners' conceptions of nature of science. J Res Sci Teach. 2002; 39(6):497-521. Doi: 10.1002/tea.10034
23. Pedaste M, Mäeots M, Siiman LA, De Jong T, Van Riesen SA, Kamp ET, *et al*. Phases of inquiry-based learning: Definitions and the inquiry cycle. Educ Res Rev. 2015; 14:47-61. Doi: 10.1016/j.edurev.2015.02.003
24. Kyriazis N, Stylos G, Kotsis KT. Impact of inquiry-based laboratory activities on understanding heat concepts and self-efficacy in pre-service teachers. J Pedagog Res. 2025; 9(1):161-181. Doi: 10.33902/JPR.202530426
25. Kotsis KT. The significance of experiments in inquiry-based science teaching. Eur J Educ Pedag. 2024; 5(2):86-92. Doi: 10.24018/ejedu.2024.5.2.815
26. Fadillah MA, Iyamuremye A, Kaliampos G, Ravanis K, Usmeldi U, Asrizal A. Social predictors of inquiry learning climate in physics education: Insights from explainable machine learning. Soc Sci Humanit Open. 2026; 13:102439. Doi: 10.1016/j.ssaho.2026.102439
27. Mercer N, Dawes L. The study of talk between teachers and students, from the 1970s until the 2010s. Oxf Rev Educ. 2014; 40(4):430-445. Doi: 10.1080/03054985.2014.934087
28. Cleovoulou Y, Beach P. Teaching critical literacy in inquiry-based classrooms: Teachers' understanding of practice and pedagogy in elementary schools. Teach Teach Educ. 2019; 83:188-198. Doi: 10.1016/j.tate.2019.04.012
29. Leung KP, Fung CD, Chen G, Yuen M. From talk to thought: Enhancing students' critical thinking disposition through engaging secondary classroom discourse in Hong Kong. Think Skills Creat. 2026; 59:101965. Doi: 10.1016/j.tsc.2025.101965
30. Mortimer EF, Araújo AOD. Using productive disciplinary engagement and epistemic practices to evaluate a traditional Brazilian high school chemistry classroom. Int J Educ Res. 2013; 64:156-169. Doi: 10.1016/j.ijer.2013.07.004
31. Erduran S, Jiménez-Aleixandre MP, editors. Argumentation in science education: Perspectives from classroom-based research. Springer, 2007. Doi: 10.1007/978-1-4020-6670-2
32. Sengul O, Enderle PJ, Schwartz RS. Science teachers' use of argumentation instructional model: Linking PCK of argumentation, epistemological beliefs, and practice. Int J Sci Educ. 2020; 42(7):1068-1086. Doi: 10.1080/09500693.2020.1748250
33. Stylos G, Kamtsios S, Kotsis KT. Assessment of Greek pre-service primary teachers' efficacy beliefs in physics teaching. J Sci Teach Educ. 2023; 34(1):44-62. Doi: 10.1080/1046560X.2021.2023959
34. Huang R, Siraj I, Melhuish E. Promoting effective teaching and learning through a professional development program: A randomized controlled trial. J Educ Psychol. 2024; 116(8):1352-1367. Doi: 10.1037/edu0000851
35. Roberts DA. Scientific literacy/science literacy. In: Abell SK, Lederman NG, editors. Handbook of research on science education. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 2007, 729-780.
36. Duschl RA. Science education in three-part harmony: Balancing conceptual, epistemic, and social learning goals. Rev Res Educ. 2008; 32(1):268-291. Doi: 10.3102/0091732X07309371
37. Fukaya T, Nakamura D, Kitayama Y, Nakagoshi T. A systematic review and meta-analysis of research on mathematics and science pedagogical content knowledge: Exploring its associations with teacher and student variables. Teach Teach Educ. 2025; 155:104881. Doi: 10.1016/j.tate.2024.104881
38. Boylan M, Adams G, Perry E, Booth J. Re-imagining transformative professional learning for critical teacher professionalism: a conceptual review. Prof Dev Educ. 2023; 49(4):651-669. Doi: 10.1080/19415257.2022.2162566
39. Aikenhead GS, Elliott D. An emerging decolonized science education in Canada. Can J Sci Math Technol Educ. 2010; 10(4):321-338. Doi: 10.1080/14926156.2010.524967