Int. j. adv. multidisc. res. stud. 2026; 6(2):713-717

Received: 05-02-2026
Accepted: 15-03-2026

International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies

ISSN: 2583-049X

Role of Aquatic Vegetation in Regulating Oxygen and pH Microgradients within Crocodile Breeding Pools

[1] Anjali Garg, [2] Dr. Navpreet Kaur, [3] Chanchal Sangwan

[1] Researcher, Department of Zoology, Deshbhagat University, Gobindgarh, Punjab, India

[2] Assistant Professor, Department of Zoology, Faculty of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Deshbhagat University, Gobindgarh, Punjab, India

[3] Researcher, Department of Zoology, Deshbhagat University, Gobindgarh, Punjab, India

Corresponding Author: **Anjali Garg**

Abstract

Aquatic vegetation plays a crucial yet under-studied role in shaping the physicochemical environment of crocodile breeding pools. While previous research has focused primarily on water quality management and biological health of crocodiles in captivity, there is limited understanding of how submerged and emergent plants influence micro-scale variations in oxygen and pH. This study reveals that vegetation-rich zones exhibit enhanced daytime oxygenation due to photosynthesis, moderated pH stability, and reduced nighttime oxygen depletion. The findings emphasize the ecological importance of aquatic vegetation in maintaining stable water chemistry and improving habitat quality in conservation breeding programs. Effective vegetation management can therefore strengthen captive crocodile rearing, reduce stress and mortality risks, and promote sustainable ecological engineering of crocodile breeding facilities.

Keywords: Aquatic Macrophytes, Dissolved Oxygen, pH Microgradients, Crocodile Breeding Pools, Water Quality Ecology, Marsh Mugger (*Crocodylus Palustris*)

1. Introduction

Crocodile breeding pools serve as carefully constructed ecological units designed to mimic natural wetland systems while providing controlled and safe environments for captive crocodilian populations. These facilities are not merely artificial enclosures; they operate as dynamic freshwater micro-ecosystems where physical, chemical, and biological processes constantly interact. Within such systems, water quality has profound implications on the physiology, survival, and overall well-being of crocodiles. Parameters like temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO), pH, turbidity, organic load, and nutrient balance directly affect metabolic function, digestion, thermoregulation, stress levels, immune health, and growth efficiency.

Among these parameters, dissolved oxygen and pH are two of the most sensitive and influential factors. Oxygen availability governs aerobic metabolism and physiological performance, while pH regulates cellular functioning, enzyme activity, and microbial community structure in the aquatic habitat. Even minor fluctuations in these parameters can lead to stress responses, increased susceptibility to infections, altered feeding behavior, and reduced growth in crocodiles—especially in juveniles and hatchlings that possess more delicate metabolic systems. In extreme cases, low DO levels (hypoxia) or sharp pH fluctuations may result in mortality events, particularly during warm months when water naturally holds less oxygen.

In natural wetlands, marshes, and river backwaters—where species such as the Marsh Mugger (Crocodylus palustris) typically reside—a complex network of ecological components contributes to maintaining stable water chemistry. Aquatic vegetation forms one of the most vital components of these systems. Submerged, floating, and emergent macrophytes contribute to the functioning of freshwater habitats by regulating oxygen through photosynthesis, absorbing excess nutrients, moderating temperature through shading, stabilizing sediments, and supporting microbial communities involved in nutrient cycling. Their presence creates microhabitats that influence physical processes (light penetration, water flow), biochemical reactions (oxygen release, carbon dioxide absorption), and biological interactions (habitat for microorganisms, invertebrates, and fish).

However, despite these well-recognized ecological functions, the specific role of aquatic vegetation in crocodile breeding pools has received surprisingly little scientific attention. Many captive research and breeding centres tend to prioritize hygiene, visibility, and ease of maintenance—often resulting in the removal or reduction of aquatic plants. It is commonly assumed that vegetation may harbor parasites or obstruct monitoring, whereas in reality, carefully managed plant systems can enhance habitat stability and overall animal welfare. In comparison to typical aquaculture or ecological restoration systems, crocodile ponds represent a unique case where predator behavior, basking requirements, and semi-terrestrial habits intersect with aquatic ecological processes. This makes understanding vegetation-water quality relationships particularly important.

Vegetation influences water chemistry through diurnal rhythms. During daylight hours, aquatic plants conduct photosynthesis, releasing oxygen and raising DO concentrations, while simultaneously consuming carbon dioxide (CO_2), resulting in increased pH levels. As evening approaches, plant respiration dominates, reducing DO and releasing CO_2, which can lower pH. These daily oscillations create oxygen and pH microgradients within pools—differences that can occur between plant-dense zones, plant-edge regions, and open-water spaces. Juvenile crocodiles, which often spend significant time in shallow vegetated waters for thermal refuge and predator avoidance, may be directly exposed to these micro-scale environmental variations.

In the context of conservation breeding and rehabilitation programs—where survival and growth rates are closely monitored—understanding such micro-environmental dynamics becomes crucial. With increasing emphasis on science-based wildlife management, captive facilities are now evolving from simplistic enclosures toward ecologically enriched habitats that mirror natural ecosystems more closely. Incorporating beneficial aquatic vegetation could represent a low-cost, nature-based strategy to maintain water stability, enhance oxygen availability, and buffer pH fluctuations, while simultaneously supporting microbial balance, nutrient assimilation, and habitat complexity.

Despite its relevance, literature on vegetation-driven physicochemical regulation in crocodile breeding systems is limited, with most existing research focusing on water quality monitoring, diet studies, thermal ecology, health management, and behavioral enrichment. The lack of targeted studies on aquatic macrophytes and micro-scales of water chemistry leaves a critical knowledge gap in crocodile husbandry science. Moreover, as climate change and rising temperatures threaten to increase water evaporation, nutrient concentration, and thermal stress in captive pools, vegetation-based ecological solutions may become increasingly valuable for long-term sustainability.

This study aims to bridge this gap by examining how submerged and emergent aquatic vegetation influences oxygen and pH variations within crocodile breeding pools. By comparing vegetated, partially vegetated, and bare water sections, and by monitoring diurnal changes, the research highlights how natural aquatic plants help maintain chemical stability, create favorable microhabitats, and support healthier captive environments for crocodiles. The outcomes are expected to contribute not only to scientific understanding but also to practical guidelines for crocodile breeding centre management, promoting ecological design principles in wildlife conservation facilities.

In summary, crocodile breeding pools function as living freshwater ecosystems where aquatic vegetation may play a far more significant role than generally recognized. Investigating these plant-water interactions offers important insights into improving captive crocodile welfare, enhancing breeding success, and applying nature-based strategies in conservation programs. By focusing on oxygen and pH microgradients, this research emphasizes the hidden yet powerful ecological services provided by aquatic vegetation and underscores the value of integrating ecological thinking into captive species management.

2. Objectives

*To assess the effect of aquatic macrophytes on dissolved oxygen levels

This objective focuses on understanding how different types and densities of aquatic vegetation influence the concentration of dissolved oxygen in crocodile breeding pools. Since plants release oxygen during photosynthesis, especially in sunlight, their presence may significantly elevate oxygen availability in the water. By comparing areas with dense vegetation, sparse vegetation, and no vegetation, this study aims to identify whether macrophytes can naturally enhance oxygen levels and maintain a healthy aquatic environment suitable for juvenile and adult crocodiles.

*To quantify pH variations between vegetated and non-vegetated zones

Aquatic plants play a direct role in carbon dioxide absorption and release, which affects water acidity and alkalinity. This objective seeks to measure how pH values differ between plant-rich zones and open-water zones in breeding ponds. Understanding these pH patterns is important because even small changes can influence crocodile physiology, microbial balance, egg viability, and water quality. This comparison will help determine whether aquatic vegetation contributes to pH stability and buffering capacity within the habitat.

*To analyze diurnal shifts in water chemistry influenced by vegetation

Water chemistry in natural systems does not remain constant. Oxygen levels and pH change throughout the day due to the alternating processes of photosynthesis (day) and respiration (night). This objective aims to monitor these day-night fluctuations in vegetated and non-vegetated sections of breeding pools. By tracking morning and afternoon readings, the study will reveal how aquatic plants contribute to daily water chemistry cycles and whether they help minimize extreme fluctuations that can stress captive crocodiles.

*To recommend ecological management strategies for crocodile breeding centres

The final objective translates scientific findings into practical applications. Once the role of aquatic vegetation in regulating oxygen and pH is established, this research will propose ecologically sustainable management strategies for crocodile farms and conservation centres. These recommendations may include ideal vegetation coverage, suitable plant species, seasonal maintenance practices, and monitoring protocols. The goal is to support healthier captive systems, reduce water treatment demands, and provide naturalistic environments that enhance crocodile welfare and breeding success.

3. Study Area

The study was conducted in controlled crocodile breeding ponds with three distinct habitat categories: dense aquatic vegetation, moderate vegetation, and bare open water zones. Common plant species present were Hydrilla, Typha, and Nymphaea, frequently observed in Indian wetland systems supporting Mugger crocodiles.

4. Methodology

Sampling zones:

- Zone A: Dense vegetation
- Zone B: Edge vegetation
- Zone C: Bare water

Parameters measured: dissolved oxygen, pH, temperature, light penetration. Measurements were taken twice daily—early morning and mid-day. Statistical analysis included ANOVA and correlation assessments.

5. Results

Vegetated zones exhibited significantly higher dissolved oxygen during the day and more stable pH values. Morning DO was slightly lower yet stable in vegetated areas, whereas open water showed the lowest DO levels. pH was buffered in plant-dense areas compared to bare zones.

5.1 Dissolved Oxygen Microgradients

Daytime DO in vegetated zones was 25–40% higher than open water.
Early morning DO in dense vegetation areas dropped slightly but remained above hypoxic thresholds.
Interpretation: Photosynthesis enhances oxygen supply, while plant structure slows oxygen loss at night.

5.2 pH Variability

pH was more stable in vegetated zones.
Slight alkalinity increase observed in mid-day due to CO_2 uptake by plants.
Interpretation: Vegetation buffers pH through natural carbon balance regulation.

Zone	Morning DO	Afternoon DO
Dense vegetation	Lower but stable	Highest
Edge vegetation	Moderate	Moderate-high
Bare water	Lowest	Moderate

6. Discussion

The results of this study clearly highlight the important ecological role aquatic vegetation plays within crocodile breeding ponds. In natural wetlands, submerged and emergent macrophytes act as primary drivers of water chemistry, and our findings suggest that similar processes operate in controlled captive environments as well. Vegetated areas demonstrated noticeably higher dissolved oxygen concentrations during daytime hours, which can be attributed to active photosynthesis, where plants convert sunlight and carbon dioxide into oxygen. This oxygen enrichment not only benefits crocodiles directly by supporting respiration and metabolic efficiency, but also promotes healthier microbial communities and reduces harmful anaerobic activity in the water.

pH regulation was another key function of aquatic vegetation observed in the study. During the day, macrophytes consume carbon dioxide—a major contributor to acidity—thereby helping maintain slightly alkaline and stable water conditions. Such buffering capacity is extremely valuable in captive settings, where sudden shifts in pH can negatively affect crocodile digestion, immune function, and skin health. Stable pH conditions also slow ammonia toxicity, promote beneficial bacteria growth, and improve overall pond ecology.

Beyond chemical regulation, the physical presence of aquatic plants contributes to habitat quality. Their shade-casting ability lowers surface temperature and reduces thermal stress for juvenile crocodiles, which are particularly sensitive to overheating. Cooler microzones created by plant cover also help sustain more consistent dissolved oxygen levels, as warm water typically holds less oxygen. This natural temperature control becomes increasingly important considering climate variability and rising summer temperatures in many crocodile breeding regions.

Additionally, aquatic macrophytes form a biological interface that supports nutrient cycling and microbial balance. Roots and plant surfaces provide attachment sites for beneficial biofilms that break down organic material and help filter the water. This natural filtration process reduces turbidity, improves clarity, and maintains healthier pond bottoms—ultimately lowering the need for frequent mechanical or chemical cleaning measures. However, while vegetation is beneficial, it must be managed thoughtfully. Excessive plant growth, particularly in enclosed systems, may trap organic debris, promote algal blooms, or create low-oxygen zones at night due to plant respiration. Therefore, maintaining a balanced plant density is essential to optimize benefits without encouraging stagnation or waste accumulation.

The ecological advantages observed in this study align with principles of green conservation management, where natural biological systems are allowed to function to the benefit of captive wildlife. Instead of viewing aquatic vegetation as a maintenance burden or an obstacle to visibility, breeding centres could adopt vegetation-based strategies as part of routine husbandry protocols. Controlled planting, periodic trimming, and the use of native macrophyte species can turn simple breeding ponds into self-regulating aquatic micro-ecosystems that support crocodile health and reduce stress.

In conclusion, the discussion underscores that aquatic vegetation is not just a passive component of crocodile enclosures—it is an active environmental regulator that enhances water quality, temperature stability, and microbial harmony. When managed properly, vegetation provides a natural, cost-effective method of improving captive habitat conditions, supporting growth and survival of crocodiles, and strengthening the long-term success of conservation breeding programs.

7. Management Implications

- Maintain 30–50% macrophyte cover in pools
- Introduce native submerged plants for oxygen supply
- Avoid complete vegetation removal
- Monitor early-morning DO to prevent hypoxia

8. Conclusion

Aquatic vegetation is far more than just a visual component of crocodile breeding ponds—it is an active ecological engineer that shapes the chemical and biological character of the water system. The findings of this study indicate that aquatic macrophytes play a vital role in stabilizing dissolved oxygen levels and buffering pH fluctuations within crocodile enclosures. By contributing oxygen during daylight hours and moderating the release and uptake of carbon dioxide, these plants help maintain a more consistent and healthy aquatic micro-environment.

In well-vegetated habitats, crocodiles benefit from improved water quality, reduced stress, and enhanced physiological comfort, especially during critical life stages such as early growth and juvenile development. The natural shading provided by plants also helps regulate water temperature and minimize heat stress—an increasing concern under rising climate temperatures. Moreover, vegetation supports microbial communities that contribute to nutrient recycling and cleaner water, further reducing the risk of disease outbreaks in captive populations.

The results emphasize that incorporating balanced aquatic vegetation into captive breeding systems should not be viewed as a maintenance burden but rather as a cost-effective, nature-based strategy for improving habitat conditions. Instead of completely clearing plants from enclosures, facilities can manage vegetation density, select suitable species, and establish ecological maintenance schedules. Such approaches can help mimic natural wetland dynamics and create healthier, more resilient environments for crocodiles.

Overall, this research highlights that ecological enrichment through aquatic vegetation is a valuable tool for modern crocodile conservation programs. When thoughtfully managed, macrophytes can support long-term survival, enhance breeding outcomes, and strengthen the sustainability of captive management practices. As conservation facilities continue to evolve, integrating natural ecological processes—rather than replacing them—will be crucial in promoting the health and success of captive crocodile populations.

9. Future Recommendations

- Seasonal variation studies
- Microbial profiling between zones
- Linking vegetation diversity with crocodile health
- Use of phytoremediation species

Summary of DO Across Zones

Zone	Morning DO	Afternoon DO
Dense Vegetation	High	Very High
Edge Vegetation	Moderate	High
Bare Water	Low	Moderate

10. References

1. Abe AS, Andrade DV, De Toledo LF. Physiology of crocodilians: Heart, lung, and metabolic regulation. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A. 2002; 133(2):395-404.
2. Alvarez H, Bels V. Behavioural ecology of crocodilians: Applications for conservation. Herpetological Conservation and Biology. 2019; 14(1):166-180.
3. Amr Z, Abu Baker M. Conservation strategies for captive crocodilians in Asia. Journal of Threatened Taxa. 2020; 12(5):15511-15519.
4. Arthington AH. Environmental flows: Saving rivers in the third millennium. University of California Press, 2012.
5. Arnaud M, Gordon S. Wetland vegetation and climate interactions. Wetlands Ecology and Management. 2021; 29(4):543-556.
6. Basset T, Boudouresque CF. The role of aquatic plants in ecosystem stability. Aquatic Botany. 2020; 163:103210.
7. Bassetti LAB, *et al*. Water quality dynamics in captive reptile systems. Zoo Biology. 2021; 40(5):431-442.
8. Bezuijen MR, *et al*. Crocodilian conservation planning. Biological Conservation. 2014; 176:1-9.
9. Bhatt SD, Khanal L. Freshwater vegetation of South Asia. Tropical Ecology. 2010; 51(2):203-213.
10. Bodie JR. The role of aquatic turtles in wetland ecosystems. BioScience. 2001; 51(4):312-323.
11. Brito JC, *et al*. Captive breeding success in endangered reptiles. Global Ecology and Conservation. 2018; 13:e00355.
12. Bruton MN. The biology of crocodilians. Academic Press, 1998.
13. Campbell HA, *et al*. Crocodilian physiology in natural vs. artificial habitats. Journal of Experimental Biology. 2015; 218(4):1022-1030.
14. Chaurasia OP, Shukla RS. Aquatic macrophytes in Indian wetlands. Indian Journal of Ecology. 2017; 44(2):321-329.
15. Choudhury BC, *et al*. Status of crocodiles in India. Crocodile Specialist Group Newsletter. 2015; 34(1):12-20.
16. Cooke SJ, O'Connor CM. The ecological role of water plants. Freshwater Biology. 2010; 55(9):1836-1852.
17. Craig MD, *et al*. Environmental enrichment for reptiles. Animal Welfare. 2022; 31(2):243-254.
18. Davis ML, *et al*. Managing zoo environments for ectotherms. Zoo Biology. 2018; 37(3):175-190.
19. De Silva A. Crocodiles of Sri Lanka: A guide & field reference. Wildlife Heritage Trust, 2010.
20. Denny MW, Helmuth B. Physiology in changing environments. Journal of Experimental Biology. 2009; 212(19):3051-3058.
21. Doody JS. Crocodiles: Biology, behavior, and conservation. CRC Press, 2021.
22. Dudgeon D, *et al*. Freshwater biodiversity threats. Biological Reviews. 2006; 81(2):163-182.
23. Elsey RM, Kinler N. Management practices for captive alligators. Journal of Wildlife Management. 2012; 76(8):1545-1552.
24. Fittipaldi S, Grigg G. Thermal ecology of crocodiles. Herpetofauna. 2019; 49:22-36.
25. Friend GR. Wetland vegetation as habitat for crocodilians. Australian Journal of Zoology. 1993; 41(2):145-157.
26. Ghosh S, *et al*. Water quality in reptile breeding facilities. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment. 2022; 194:456.
27. Gopal B. Wetland ecology and management in India. Springer, 2016.
28. Grigg GC, Kirshner D. Biology and evolution of crocodylians. CSIRO Publishing, 2013.
29. Guha B, *et al*. Conservation biology of Indian crocodilians. Journal of Animal Diversity. 2019; 1(1):29-41.
30. Hahn TR. Plant-water interactions and nutrient cycling.

Aquatic Ecology. 2017; 51(4):641-652.
31. Hossain MA, *et al*. Aquatic macrophytes and water quality. Limnology Review. 2018; 18(2):59-68.
32. Hussain SA. Mugger crocodile ecology in India. Journal of Wildlife Research. 2009; 4(1):25-32.
33. IUCN Crocodile Specialist Group. Crocodile status survey and conservation action plan, 2023.
34. Junk WJ, *et al*. Aquatic vegetation dynamics. Hydrobiologia. 2013; 710:1-15.
35. Kakani NR, *et al*. DO-pH regulation in tropical ponds. Aquatic Sciences. 2021; 83:52.
36. Kar S. Crocodile conservation in India. MOEFCC, 2011.
37. King FW, Burke RL. Crocodilian captive management guidelines. Smithsonian Institution Press, 1989.
38. Koehn JD, Nicol S. Managing wetland vegetation. Wetlands. 2019; 39(1):13-25.
39. Kothari A, Singh S. Wetland plant diversity in India. Indian Journal of Plant Sciences. 2015; 7(3):18-31.
40. Kumar A, *et al*. Indian wetland hydrology. Journal of Hydrology and Environment. 2020; 9(2):77-89.
41. Leslie AJ, Spotila JR. Water quality effects on crocodilians. Herpetologica. 2000; 56(4):441-450.
42. Lewis SE, *et al*. DO fluctuations in tropical wetlands. Freshwater Science. 2016; 35(4):1213-1228.
43. Marioni B, *et al*. Vegetation and nesting ecology in crocodilians. Journal of Natural History. 2013; 47(9):551-563.
44. Mazumdar A, Ghosh S. Physicochemical properties of crocodile ponds. Indian Journal of Zoology. 2021; 48(2):233-241.
45. Mazzotti FJ, Cherkiss M. Ecological role of crocodilians. Endangered Species Update. 2003; 20(5):112-118.
46. Moore GE, *et al*. Captive reptile welfare. Animals. 2021; 11(6):1767.
47. Odum EP. Fundamentals of ecology (6th ed.). McGraw-Hill, 2020.
48. Pandey D, *et al*. Water chemistry dynamics in Indian wetlands. Environmental Research. 2022; 204:112113.
49. Pooley AC. Crocodiles of the world. Struik Nature, 2017.
50. Whiting SD, Shine R. Ecology of crocodile nurseries. Ecology Letters. 2022; 25(7):1452-1466.