Int. j. adv. multidisc. res. stud. 2026; 6(2):630-632

Received: 03-02-2026
Accepted: 13-03-2026

International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies

ISSN: 2583-049X

Eating Patterns and Energy Restriction in Type 2 Diabetes: Comparing Intermittent Fasting and Daily Calorie Reduction

Hairya Ajaykumar Lakhani
M.B.B.S, Smt. B. K. Shah Medical Institute and Research Centre, Vadodara, India

Corresponding Author: **Hairya Ajaykumar Lakhani**

Abstract
Lifestyle modification remains a cornerstone of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) management, yet long-term adherence to dietary interventions is often difficult to achieve. Continuous caloric restriction (CCR) has traditionally been recommended to promote weight loss and improve glycemic control, but alternative strategies such as intermittent fasting (IF) have gained increasing attention. IF focuses on cycling between periods of eating and fasting, rather than maintaining a constant daily calorie deficit. This mini-review summarizes emerging evidence comparing IF and CCR in individuals with T2DM, with emphasis on metabolic outcomes, feasibility, and clinical considerations. Current data suggest that IF produces glycemic and weight outcomes comparable to CCR, with potential advantages in insulin sensitivity and patient adherence. While not suitable for all patients, IF may represent a flexible and clinically relevant dietary option when individualized and appropriately monitored.

Keywords: Type 2 Diabetes, Continuous Caloric Restriction (CCR), Intermittent Fasting (IF)

Introduction

The prevalence of type 2 diabetes continues to rise globally, placing increasing emphasis on effective and sustainable lifestyle interventions. Dietary modification plays a central role in diabetes management, influencing body weight, insulin sensitivity, and long-term cardiometabolic risk [1]. For decades, continuous caloric restriction - defined as a consistent reduction in daily energy intake - has been the dominant nutritional approach recommended in clinical practice [2].

In recent years, however, interest has shifted toward dietary patterns that modify the timing of food intake rather than focusing exclusively on calorie counting. Intermittent fasting encompasses a range of eating patterns that alternate between periods of normal intake and voluntary fasting. This approach challenges traditional assumptions about meal frequency and has prompted growing investigation into its metabolic effects in individuals with T2DM [3].

Conceptual Differences Between Dietary Strategies

Continuous caloric restriction aims to create a steady negative energy balance, typically achieved by reducing portion sizes or overall daily intake. When adhered to, CCR can lead to gradual weight loss and modest improvements in glycemic control. However, maintaining consistent calorie restriction over time can be challenging, often leading to reduced adherence and weight regain [4].

Intermittent fasting introduces structured periods of reduced or absent caloric intake, allowing metabolic processes to fluctuate between fed and fasting states. Common IF approaches include time-restricted eating, alternate-day fasting, and the 5:2 pattern. Rather than focusing on constant restriction, IF emphasizes eating windows, which may simplify dietary decision-making for some individuals [5].

Biological Rationale and Metabolic Effects

During prolonged fasting periods, the body transitions from glucose-dependent energy utilization toward increased fat oxidation. This shift is accompanied by reductions in circulating insulin levels and improvements in insulin sensitivity. Fasting intervals may also reduce hepatic glucose output and promote metabolic flexibility [6, 7].

In contrast, CCR maintains continuous energy restriction without necessarily triggering the same metabolic switching. While

weight loss itself improves insulin sensitivity, IF may exert additional effects through reductions in fasting insulin, modulation of inflammatory pathways, and improved cellular stress responses. These mechanisms provide a biological rationale for the observed metabolic benefits seen in some IF studies [8].

Evidence From Clinical Studies

Clinical trials and meta-analyses evaluating IF in T2DM suggest that IF produces reductions in body weight, fasting glucose, and HbA1c that are broadly comparable to those achieved with CCR. Several studies report similar or modestly improved insulin sensitivity in IF groups, particularly in short- to medium-term interventions [9].

Importantly, patient-reported outcomes indicate that some individuals find IF easier to maintain than daily caloric restriction, potentially due to reduced emphasis on continuous dietary restraint. However, long-term data remain limited, and metabolic benefits may diminish once fasting patterns are discontinued [10].

Overall, current evidence does not clearly establish superiority of IF over CCR but supports IF as a viable alternative strategy in appropriately selected patients.

Safety and Practical Considerations

Intermittent fasting is not universally appropriate. Patients treated with insulin or insulin secretagogues require careful monitoring due to increased risk of hypoglycemia during fasting periods. Meal quality during eating windows remains critical, as fasting alone does not guarantee nutritional adequacy or metabolic benefit [11].

Older adults, individuals with low body weight, and those with multiple comorbidities should approach IF cautiously. Clinical supervision and individualized adjustment of medications are essential when implementing fasting-based strategies in patients with T2DM [12].

Implications for Primary Care Practice

From a primary care perspective, IF offers an alternative framework for dietary counseling that may resonate with patients who struggle with continuous calorie counting. By shifting focus from “how much” to “when” to eat, IF may enhance engagement and adherence for certain individuals [13].

Shared decision-making is key. Rather than promoting a single dietary model, clinicians can present IF and CCR as evidence-based options, tailoring recommendations to patient preferences, metabolic risk, and treatment regimens [14].

Conclusion

Both intermittent fasting and continuous caloric restriction represent effective dietary strategies for the management of type 2 diabetes, demonstrating broadly comparable benefits for weight reduction and glycemic control in the short to medium term. While continuous caloric restriction remains a well-established and widely applicable approach, intermittent fasting may offer practical advantages for selected patients, particularly in terms of dietary flexibility, metabolic adaptation, and adherence. Importantly, the safety and effectiveness of fasting-based strategies depend on careful patient selection, appropriate medication adjustment, and ongoing clinical monitoring. As the evidence base continues to expand, dietary management of type 2 diabetes should move beyond rigid prescriptions toward individualized, sustainable approaches that align with patient preferences, metabolic risk profiles, and real-world feasibility. Future long-term and interventional studies will be essential to clarify the durability of benefits and to identify which patients are most likely to benefit from specific dietary patterns.

References

1. Galaviz KI, Narayan KMV, Lobelo F, Weber MB. Lifestyle and the Prevention of Type 2 Diabetes: A Status Report. Am J Lifestyle Med [Internet], Nov 24, 2015; 12(1):4-20. [Cited 2026 Jan 22]. Available from: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6125024/
2. Espinola OP, Tobias DK, Manson JE. The Role of Lifestyle Interventions for the Prevention and Treatment of Type 2 Diabetes: A Narrative Review. Am J Lifestyle Med [Internet], Sept 4, 2025. [Cited 2026 Jan 22];15598276251372427. Available from: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12411468/
3. Soliman GA. Intermittent fasting and time-restricted eating role in dietary interventions and precision nutrition. Front Public Health [Internet], Oct 28, 2022; 10:1017254. [Cited 2026 Jan 22]. Available from: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9650338/
4. O’Leary J, Georgeaux-Healy C, Serpell L. The impact of continuous calorie restriction and fasting on cognition in adults without eating disorders. Nutr Rev [Internet], Jan 23, 2024; 83(1):146-159. [Cited 2026 Jan 22]. Available from: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11632361/
5. Parr EB, Devlin BL, Hawley JA. Perspective: Time-Restricted Eating-Integrating the What with the When. Adv Nutr [Internet], Feb 16, 2022; 13(3):699-711. [Cited 2026 Jan 22]. Available from: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9156382/
6. Anton SD, Moehl K, Donahoo WT, Marosi K, Lee S, Mainous AG, *et al*. Flipping the Metabolic Switch: Understanding and Applying Health Benefits of Fasting. Obes Silver Spring Md [Internet], Feb 2018; 26(2):254-268. [Cited 2026 Jan 22]. Available from: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5783752/
7. Lakhani HA, Biswas D, Kuruvila M, Chava MS, Raj K, Varghese JT, *et al*. Intermittent fasting versus continuous caloric restriction for glycemic control and weight loss in type 2 diabetes: A traditional review. Prim Care Diabetes, June 2025; 19(3):203-213.
8. Siles-Guerrero V, Romero-Márquez JM, García-Pérez RN, Novo-Rodríguez C, Guardia-Baena JM, Hayón-Ponce M, *et al*. Is Fasting Superior to Continuous Caloric Restriction for Weight Loss and Metabolic Outcomes in Obese Adults? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Clinical Trials. Nutrients [Internet], Oct 18, 2024; 16(20):3533. [Cited 2026 Jan 22]. Available from: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11510157/
9. Borgundvaag E, Mak J, Kramer CK. Metabolic Impact of Intermittent Fasting in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Interventional Studies. J Clin Endocrinol Metab, Mar 8, 2021; 106(3):902-911.
10. Zhang Q, Zhang C, Wang H, Ma Z, Liu D, Guan X, *et al*. Intermittent Fasting versus Continuous Calorie Restriction: Which Is Better for Weight Loss? Nutrients

[Internet], Apr 24, 2022; 14(9):1781. [Cited 2026 Jan 22]. Available from: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9099935/
11. Shyamala R, Bhatia V, Mohanasundari SK. Effect of intermittent fasting on diabetic patients-A narrative review. J Fam Med Prim Care [Internet], Sept 2025; 14(9):3637-3641. [Cited 2026 Jan 22]. Available from: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12517626/
12. Doucet J, Gourdy P, Meyer L, Benabdelmoumene N, Bourdel-Marchasson I. Management of Glucose-Lowering Therapy in Older Adults with Type 2 Diabetes: Challenges and Opportunities. Clin Interv Aging [Internet], Oct 9, 2023; 18:1687-1703. [Cited 2026 Jan 22]. Available from: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10573466/
13. Sanuade OA, Carroll AJ, Watson R, Ye J, Hill JL, Chipman J, *et al*. Contextual factors and implementation strategies for a multi-level community-based sodium reduction intervention in Chicago's South Side: a qualitative study. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act [Internet], July 6, 2025; 22:93. [Cited 2026 Jan 22]. Available from: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12232636/
14. Montori VM, Ruissen MM, Hargraves IG, Brito JP, Kunneman M. Shared decision-making as a method of care. BMJ Evid-Based Med [Internet], Aug 2023; 28(4):213-217. [Cited 2026 Jan 22]. Available from: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10423463/