Int. j. adv. multidisc. res. stud. 2025; 5(6):1779-1784

Received: 07-11-2025
Accepted: 17-12-2025

International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies

ISSN: 2583-049X

AI-Driven Transformation of High-Resolution X-ray Diffraction: Data Infrastructures, Reproducibility, and Educational Implications

Konstantinos T Kotsis
Lab of Physics Education and Teaching, Department of Primary Education, University of Ioannina, Greece

Corresponding Author: **Konstantinos T Kotsis**

Abstract
The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into experimental physics is reshaping the infrastructures, methodologies, and educational practices that support scientific inquiry. High-resolution X-ray diffraction (HRXRD) provides a compelling case study, as its intricate geometries, multidimensional parameter spaces, and large data volumes make it both a driver and beneficiary of AI-based innovation. This paper examines the transformations emerging from AI-enabled HRXRD across three interconnected domains. First, we analyze how standardized, FAIR-compliant data infrastructures—including metadata frameworks, interoperability standards, and real-time streaming systems—enable the effective deployment of AI algorithms during experiments. Second, we address the challenges that algorithmic decision-making poses for reproducibility and transparency, emphasizing the need for systematic logging, model sharing, and explainable AI (XAI) approaches to maintain scientific rigor. Third, we explore the educational opportunities arising from these developments, ranging from virtual laboratories and remote experimentation to the cultivation of data-intensive and critical AI literacy. Taking together, these dimensions outline a pathway toward a new experimental culture in which human expertise and algorithmic intelligence interact transparently and reproducibly. The paper argues that aligning AI integration with open data practices and pedagogical innovation can strengthen both the epistemology and the teaching of contemporary experimental physics.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, High-Resolution X-ray Diffraction, Explainable AI, Physics Education, Pedagogical Innovation, Experimental Methodology

1. Introduction

The rapid integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into experimental physics is revolutionizing not only the technical execution of experiments but also the infrastructures, epistemologies, and educational practices that support scientific research. This transformation is most apparent in high-resolution X-ray diffraction (HRXRD), a technique that examines crystalline structure with sub-nanometer precision and serves as a fundamental method for materials characterization in condensed matter physics, chemistry, and engineering [1, 2]. Contemporary HRXRD experiments, especially at synchrotron and free-electron laser facilities, produce extensive, intricate, and dynamic datasets necessitating advanced control systems, adaptive acquisition techniques, and sophisticated computational analysis [3-5]. These advancements have prompted a transition from conventional, manually conducted trials to hybrid human–AI workflows, wherein decision-making is shared between human researchers and algorithmic agents.

This paper examines three interconnected domains: i) The data infrastructures necessary for facilitating AI-driven experiments, encompassing data management, metadata standards, and interoperability frameworks; ii) The issues of reproducibility and transparency posed by algorithmic decision-making in intricate experimental settings; iii) The pedagogical and epistemic changes that arise from the incorporation of AI into experimental practices, especially for the training of future physicists.

These topics are intricately connected. Artificial intelligence techniques depend on substantial amounts of well-organized, high-caliber data to train, function, and generalize proficiently. The implementation of AI alters the types of data collected and the methods of documentation, analysis, and dissemination. These modifications prompt novel methodological inquiries regarding the representation of experiments, the documentation of decisions, and the verification of results by external parties. Concurrently, they redefine the competencies and conceptual paradigms necessary for experimental physicists. Students and researchers must now cultivate hybrid competences that encompass physics, data science, and algorithmic reasoning, while maintaining the capacity to critically evaluate automated systems.

HRXRD serves as a particularly insightful case study for analyzing these transitions. The methodology encompasses multidimensional parameter spaces (e.g., angular rotations, energy modulation, environmental factors), produces extensive reciprocal-space datasets, and relies on meticulous alignment and modeling techniques that are progressively automated via machine learning, reinforcement learning, and Bayesian optimization [6-8]. These attributes render HRXRD both a catalyst and a recipient of advancements in AI-enabled infrastructures. Adaptive scanning algorithms necessitate real-time data streaming systems and metadata protocols that can document algorithmic judgments. Likewise, physics-informed neural networks necessitate standardized data formats and access to publicly available, high-quality diffraction datasets to develop robust models [9, 10].

Aside from infrastructure, the incorporation of AI in HRXRD prompts essential epistemological inquiries. Experimental procedures that were formerly transparent and reproducible due to manual definition are now partially governed by algorithmic policies that may be obscure, adaptable, and context dependent [4]. These issues arise from the standards of experimental documentation and verification, requiring novel frameworks for explainability, algorithmic logging, and transparent model sharing. Moreover, these alterations have significant ramifications for physics education. As experimental practice advances, curriculum and training methodologies must also adapt, transitioning beyond solely procedural instruction to encompass computational, interpretive, and critical AI literacy [11, 12].

This paper analyzes these transformations comprehensively. Section 2 examines the data infrastructures that support AI in HRXRD, emphasizing standardization, metadata, and interoperability. Section 3 examines the issues of repeatability and transparency, highlighting the importance of algorithmic logging and explainable AI methodologies. Section 4 elaborates on the educational prospects presented by these advancements, addressing novel learning settings and conceptual engagement. Section 5 provides a synthesis and future perspectives, delineating strategies for harmonizing AI integration with scientific rigor and physics education.

2. Data Infrastructures for AI-Enabled HRXRD

The effective incorporation of artificial intelligence (AI) into HRXRD fundamentally relies on the existence of resilient, standardized, and interoperable data infrastructures. In contrast to conventional experimental processes, which often include local data storage, manual processing, and documentation via laboratory notebooks, AI methodologies necessitate organized access to substantial quantities of high-quality data and uniform metadata to facilitate model training, deployment, and validation. This transition is not solely technological; it signifies a metamorphosis in the conceptualization, curation, and dissemination of experimental data within the scientific community [4, 10].

Contemporary HRXRD experiments at synchrotron and free-electron laser facilities provide gigabytes of multidimensional data in a single measurement session. These datasets generally consist of detector pictures, motor locations, beamline configurations, environmental parameters, and time stamps, frequently obtained with millisecond precision during adaptive scans. AI systems, regardless of their purpose—alignment, acquisition optimization, or analysis—rely on the capacity to retrieve, correlate, and process information instantaneously. In response to these needs, numerous large-scale institutions have commenced the implementation of data management architectures based on the FAIR principles, which guarantee that data are Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, and Reusable [13]. FAIR-compliant infrastructures facilitate algorithmic access (e.g., via APIs) and human interpretability, promoting an uninterrupted transition between data collecting, AI-driven decision-making, and post-experiment analysis.

The creation of metadata standards is a crucial infrastructural component. Metadata supplies the contextual information required to interpret unprocessed detector images: beam energy, goniometer angles, detector geometry, ambient parameters, and algorithmic conditions. Historically, metadata in HRXRD was saved in unique, facility-specific forms, frequently embedded within log files or proprietary control system outputs. This fragmentation is a significant obstacle to AI integration, since models trained on data from one beamline may fail to generalize due to discrepancies in naming conventions, units, or coordinate systems. Initiatives like the NeXus data format have arisen to tackle this problem by establishing standardized hierarchical frameworks for experimental metadata, hence enhancing interoperability among facilities [14]. NeXus is based on HDF5 and offers clearly defined classes and properties for characterizing beamline components, experimental geometries, and detector configurations. This standardization is essential for training machine learning models that depend on uniform inputs and for the open dissemination of datasets throughout the research community.

An additional infrastructural advancement is the creation of real-time streaming and processing systems, which allow AI algorithms to function during experiments instead of retrospectively. Institutions like as the European XFEL and synchrotrons like ESRF and APS have established high-throughput data pipelines that transmit detector data to processing clusters or cloud environments in real-time as it is acquired [15]. These pipelines frequently incorporate message-passing architectures and containerized processing stages, enabling AI models to receive real-time data, make judgments (e.g., regarding scanning paths), and relay those decisions back to the control system in a closed-loop operation. This real-time infrastructure converts the experiment into a cyber-physical system, whereby data, algorithms, and instrumentation engage in continuous interaction. To ensure the robust functioning of such systems, data integrity and metadata completeness must be assured at every level.

The educational ramifications of these infrastructure advancements are substantial. Conventional physics programs frequently see data management as ancillary to substantive experimental activity, emphasizing physical concepts and measuring methodologies instead. In AI-enabled experimental environments, comprehending data formats, metadata standards, and streaming architectures is crucial scientific knowledge. Instructing students in FAIR data practices and consistent metadata is essential for the proper utilization of AI and for guaranteeing the reproducibility and durability of experimental data. Students must acquire the ability to navigate organized data formats, comprehend the digital representation of experimental states, and recognize the significance of metadata in connecting physical measurements to computational operations. Incorporating these subjects into laboratory courses can connect experimental physics with data science, promoting the interdisciplinary knowledge essential for contemporary research [12, 11].

Moreover, standardized data infrastructures can facilitate equitable access to sophisticated experimental data. By providing HRXRD datasets in compatible formats, universities can facilitate the creation of collaborative AI models, educational platforms, and remote learning environments. Students at universities lacking synchrotron access can nonetheless engage with genuine, large-scale diffraction data, construct analytic pipelines, training AI models, or investigating adaptive acquisition tactics virtually. This signifies a transition from exclusive beamline teaching to dispersed, data-driven learning, consistent with wider trends in open science and remote education.

In conclusion, data infrastructures constitute the foundation of AI integration in HRXRD, facilitating the successful operation of algorithmic approaches, enhancing reproducibility, and broadening educational opportunities. Standardization, interoperability, and FAIR principles are fundamental scientific and educational issues, not mere technological considerations. As AI increasingly infiltrates experimental physics, the development of infrastructure literacy will be a crucial element of both research and teaching.

3. Reproducibility and Transparency in AI-Driven Experiments

Reproducibility has historically been a fundamental tenet of scientific methodology, guaranteeing that experimental findings can be independently validated and further developed by other scholars. In conventional HRXRD protocols, reproducibility was ensured by meticulously documenting experimental parameters, including beam energy, goniometer angles, detector configurations, and scanning parameters, usually noted in laboratory notebooks or facility log files. Although this process may be arduous, it rendered experimental decisions visible and reproducible, allowing others to reproduce both data gathering and analytical methods.

The incorporation of artificial intelligence (AI) into HRXRD introduces additional dimensions of algorithmic decision-making that radically transform the reproducibility framework. Adaptive alignment protocols, Bayesian optimization techniques for scanning, reinforcement learning agents, and neural network-driven analytical pipelines all execute context-specific, data-informed decisions during trials [8, 16, 5]. These decisions are frequently non-deterministic, shaped by model initialization, stochastic exploration approaches, or the specific sequence of observed data points. Consequently, two ostensibly similar experiments may pursue disparate scanning courses, provide distinct intermediate results, or reach varying structural interpretations, despite being done under identical physical conditions.

This fluctuation does not indicate unreliability; instead, it shows the adaptive and exploratory characteristics of AI-driven experimental methodologies. Nonetheless, it contests conventional concepts of reproducibility that presuppose static experimental techniques and predictable data processing frameworks. To tackle this difficulty, researchers and institutions must implement novel methods of algorithmic transparency and documentation. All decisions executed by an AI system, including alignment adjustments, trajectory selections, model modifications, or data choices, must be documented in machine-readable metadata in conjunction with experimental parameters [4]. This encompasses both the outputs of algorithms and the internal states and hyperparameters that affect their behavior, including neural network weights, exploration rates in reinforcement learning, and prior distributions in Bayesian models, among others. By maintaining a comprehensive "algorithmic trace," subsequent researchers can reconstruct the decision-making process, so assuring that experiments are interpretable and verifiable.

A crucial aspect is the application of explainable AI (XAI) techniques to render algorithmic behavior comprehensible to human researchers. Numerous machine learning and deep learning models function as "black boxes," transforming inputs into outputs via intricate internal representations that lack direct interpretability. In experimental settings, such opacity might erode scientific trust, as researchers may struggle to justify the selection of a particular scanning trajectory or the inference of a given structural model. XAI methodologies, including saliency mapping, feature attribution, surrogate modeling, and uncertainty quantification, elucidate the rationale behind algorithmic judgments, enabling human operators to evaluate their plausibility and intervene when required [10, 17]. Integrating XAI into experimental workflows enhances scientific rigor and educational objectives, equipping students and researchers with tools to critically analyze algorithmic systems instead of passively accepting their findings.

Reproducibility possesses both a communal and institutional aspect. In the age of AI-driven experimentation, reproducibility necessitates the sharing of not only raw data but also models, training datasets, and processing pipelines. This methodology corresponds with overarching trends in open science, wherein reproducibility relies on access to code, models, and metadata as significantly as on physical parameters [13]. For HRXRD, this necessitates that researchers provide not only experimental NeXus files or HDF5 datasets but also the versions of AI models employed, the datasets utilized for training, and the algorithmic parameters that dictated their functionality. Only by doing so can colleagues replicate both the experimental methodology and the algorithmic behavior that influenced data collection and analysis.

These advances necessitate a substantial transformation in the instruction and application of repeatability within education. Conventional laboratory training frequently prioritizes meticulous documentation of physical characteristics; nevertheless, students must now additionally acquire skills in documenting, versioning, and disseminating algorithmic outputs. This include employing technologies for code version control, metadata annotation, and containerization to guarantee the reproducibility and re-execution of AI pipelines. Furthermore, students must cultivate critical interpretative abilities, learning to analyze the reasons behind AI system behaviors, the impact of stochasticity on experimental outcomes, and the methods for quantifying and conveying uncertainty. Incorporating these methods into physics courses will foster a generation of researchers capable of upholding scientific standards in hybrid human–AI experimental settings.

4. Educational Opportunities and Pedagogical Transformation

The incorporation of artificial intelligence (AI) into HRXRD workflows not only revolutionizes scientific methodology but also reshapes the educational framework of experimental physics. As experimental infrastructures evolve to be more digitized, automated, and data-intensive, students and researchers must develop new knowledge and abilities that surpass conventional laboratory training. This transition signifies a fundamental transformation in physics education, wherein comprehension of measurement's physical principles is now inextricably linked to proficiency in computational, data-oriented, and algorithmic methodologies [12, 18-21].

Traditionally, laboratory training in crystallography and diffraction techniques prioritize manual instrument operation, procedural compliance, and the interpretation of diffraction patterns within established theoretical frameworks. These activities are necessary; nonetheless, they are insufficient on their own. AI-driven experimental settings require students to cultivate interdisciplinary proficiency in physics, data science, and software engineering. Comprehending how reinforcement learning enhances a scanning trajectory necessitates knowledge of reciprocal space and instrumental geometry, as well as a grasp of exploration–exploitation trade-offs and reward functions [16]. Interpreting the outputs of a physics-informed neural network for structural modification necessitates comprehension of both the foundational crystallographic model and the inductive biases inherent in the neural design [10].

These enhanced competencies require curricular innovation across several levels of physics education. Undergraduate laboratory modules may integrate data-intensive, simulation-driven learning environments that replicate the intricacies of contemporary diffraction investigations. Students can investigate experimental alignment, adaptive scanning, and AI-assisted analysis with free HRXRD datasets, eliminating the necessity for access to extensive facilities. These experiences can be systematically developed to progressively familiarize students with structured metadata, FAIR data principles, and algorithmic decision-making [13]. At the graduate and postdoctoral levels, training may focus on research-oriented integration, wherein students actively engage in the design and execution of AI-driven experiments, interacting with real-time data streaming, algorithm development, and explainability frameworks [20].

The creation of virtual laboratories and remote experimental platforms utilizing standardized data infrastructures is a particularly interesting option. These settings enable learners to engage with genuine experimental data and partake in live experiments via remote interfaces. Beamlines featuring real-time streaming architecture can provide APIs that allow students to develop AI-driven control techniques or concurrently examine incoming diffraction patterns during on-site experimentation. These methods enhance educational accessibility, allowing institutions lacking local HRXRD facilities to involve students in advanced experimental research [15]. They promote worldwide collaboration and multidisciplinary education, enabling students from varied backgrounds to engage with common datasets and computational difficulties.

In addition to technical skills, the incorporation of AI in experimental physics also transforms epistemological perspectives. Students must learn to critically engage with algorithmic systems by questioning the decision-making processes of AI models, comprehending sources of uncertainty, and acknowledging the limitations of automation. This variant of critical AI literacy corresponds with overarching educational objectives in computational science, highlighting transparency, interpretability, and ethical contemplation [17]. Incorporating this literacy into physics curricula guarantees that future researchers become active interpreters and co-designers of AI-driven experiments rather than mere consumers of algorithmic tools. Pedagogical methodologies grounded in modeling theory and computational thinking can facilitate this transition by positioning AI as both an instrument for investigation and a subject of investigation in its own right [11, 12, 21].

A significant educational potential exists in interdisciplinary collaboration. AI-enhanced experimental settings unite physicists, computer scientists, engineers, and data experts. Educating students to speak across disciplinary boundaries is essential for productive collaboration in contemporary research environments. This encompasses acquiring common vocabulary, comprehending methodological distinctions, and fostering collaborative problem-solving abilities. Educational initiatives that promote multidisciplinary project collaboration, such as combined physics-computer science capstone projects or research-oriented summer schools at synchrotron facilities, can equip students to manage and lead intricate, data-centric experimental teams.

The pedagogical shift induced by AI integration in HRXRD is both technological and conceptual. Physics education must progress from merely conveying basic principles to fostering hybrid, critical, and collaborative skills. By integrating data infrastructures, AI methodologies, and explainability frameworks into educational practices, institutions can equip the forthcoming generation of physicists to excel in a domain where experimentation, computation, and interpretation are fundamentally interconnected [22].

5. Synthesis and Future Directions

The incorporation of artificial intelligence (AI) into HRXRD is instigating a significant change in the conception, execution, and instruction of investigations. This transition encompasses three interrelated elements. The establishment of standardized, FAIR-compliant data infrastructures facilitates the effective use of AI methodologies by guaranteeing that experimental data and metadata are findable, interoperable, and reusable in many contexts [13]. The emergence of algorithmic decision-making in adaptive alignment, acquisition, and analysis presents novel problems and potential for reproducibility and transparency, requiring systematic algorithmic logging, model sharing, and explainable AI (XAI) methodologies [4, 10, 17]. Third, these infrastructural and methodological alterations resonate throughout the educational sphere, necessitating physics education to evolve beyond conventional procedural training to foster hybrid, data-intensive, and critically reflective skills [12, 11].

Collectively, these advancements indicate a paradigm shift in experimental physics. Conventional HRXRD methodologies, characterized by human researchers formulating static experimental protocols and doing offline data analysis, are transitioning into cyber-physical systems that provide dynamic interaction between human expertise and algorithmic intelligence. This transition does not undermine the function of human research; instead, it recontextualizes it. Human experimentalists are progressively tasked with devising intelligent procedures, analyzing algorithmic behavior, and maintaining scientific integrity in complicated, adaptive, and large-scale systems. These positions necessitate expertise in crystallography and materials science, as well as proficiency in computational reasoning, data infrastructure comprehension, and ethical considerations about AI utilization [10, 17].

From an infrastructural standpoint, future trajectories indicate the confluence of data management and artificial intelligence systems. This encompasses the extensive utilization of standardized metadata formats like NeXus [14], the implementation of real-time streaming architectures facilitating closed-loop control [15], and the creation of communal, open model repositories for the exchange and benchmarking of trained AI agents for alignment, scanning, or analysis. Such advancements would facilitate not only reproducibility but also collaborative enhancement of models, similar to how shared crystallographic databases have historically propelled structural science forward. Simultaneously, advancements in physics-informed machine learning offer enhanced integration between physical models and AI systems, facilitating more interpretable and generalizable algorithmic behavior [9, 10].

The field must persist in enhancing measures for algorithmic transparency and accountability. This entails setting community standards for documenting algorithmic states, disseminating code and model weights with data, and creating user interfaces that reveal algorithmic reasoning to experimentalists in real time. As experiments get more autonomous, such methods will be crucial for preserving trust, interpretability, and scientific integrity. Collaboration among physicists, computer scientists, and data engineers will be essential for the development of these frameworks.

The future of education resides in the seamless integration of AI and data infrastructures into physics curricula. This entails creating laboratory modules that involve students with authentic experimental data, instructing on FAIR data practices from the outset, and including explainability and ethical contemplation into computational education [12, 11]. Virtual laboratories, remote beamline interfaces, and open data platforms can democratize access to advanced experimental environments, enabling students and educators globally to engage in genuine, AI-enhanced research experiences. Educational programs must prioritize the development of critical AI literacy, encompassing the capacity to utilize AI tools as well as to analyze, interpret, and enhance them.

The integration of AI, data infrastructures, and physics education may foster a novel experimental culture defined by transparency, flexibility, and interdisciplinary collaboration. In this culture, scientific knowledge arises from the interplay of humans, instruments, and algorithms, facilitated by transparent data infrastructures and guided by pedagogical approaches that prioritize critical engagement. Realizing this ambition necessitates ongoing collaboration among research institutions, educational entities, and scientific communities, coupled with investments in infrastructure, policy frameworks for data dissemination, and new pedagogical design.

HRXRD exemplifies this change due to its intrinsic complexity, elevated data rates, and significance in materials research. The tendencies outlined below extend beyond diffraction, permeating other experimental fields, including spectroscopy, microscopy, and others. By concurrently addressing infrastructural, methodological, and instructional aspects, the physics community may leverage the capabilities of AI while maintaining the fundamental principles of reproducibility, transparency, and rigorous scientific investigation that characterize the field.

6. References

1. Alexandropoulos NG, Kotsis KT. Use of kinematical diffraction in X-ray topography. J Appl Crystallogr. 1985; 18(6):509-512. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889885010792
2. Kotsis KT, Alexandropoulos NG. Diffraction pattern near the Bragg angle for an asymmetrically cut crystal. J Appl Crystallogr. 1986; 19(6):473-476. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889886088921
3. Alexandropoulos NG, Kotsis KT. On the shape of Umweg peaks. Phys Status Solidi A. 1993; 140(2):303-309. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/pssa.2211400202
4. Noack MM, Zwart PH, Ushizima DM, Fukuto M, Yager KG, Elbert KC, *et al*. Gaussian processes for autonomous data acquisition at large-scale synchrotron and neutron facilities. Nat Rev Phys. 2021; 3(10):685-697. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/s42254-021-00345-y
5. Uryu H, Kohara S, Kashihara K, Yoshida H, Takeuchi S, Shinohara Y, *et al*. Deep learning enables rapid identification of a new quasicrystal from multiphase powder diffraction patterns. Adv Sci. 2023; 11(5):2304546. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202304546
6. Alexandropoulos NG, Juretschke HJ, Kotsis KT. Observed rocking curves fine structure in the Aufhellung site of Renninger scan peaks. Acta Crystallogr A. 1992; 48(4):490-494. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1107/S0108767392000254
7. Ito S, Ueno G, Yamamoto M. DeepCentering: Fully automated crystal centering using deep learning for macromolecular crystallography. J Synchrotron Radiat. 2019; 26(4):1361-1366. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1107/S160057751900434X
8. McDannald A, Frontzek M, Savici AT, Doucet M, Rodriguez EE, Meuse K, *et al*. On-the-fly autonomous control of neutron diffraction via physics-informed Bayesian active learning. Appl Phys Rev. 2022; 9(2):21408. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0082956
9. Raissi M, Perdikaris P, Karniadakis GE. Physics-informed neural networks: A deep learning framework for solving forward and inverse problems involving nonlinear partial differential equations. J Comput Phys. 2019; 378:686-707. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2018.10.045
10. Karniadakis GE, Kevrekidis IG, Lu L, Perdikaris P, Wang S, Yang L. Physics-informed machine learning.

Nat Rev Phys. 2021; 3:422-440. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/s42254-021-00314-5
11. Hestenes D. Modeling theory for math and science education. In: Lesh R, Galbraith PL, Haines CR, Hurford A, editors. Modeling students' mathematical modeling competencies. Springer, 2010, 13-41. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-0561-1_3
12. Redish EF, Kuo E. Language of physics, language of math: Disciplinary culture and dynamic epistemology. Sci Educ. 2015; 24:561-590. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11191-015-9749-7
13. Wilkinson MD, Dumontier M, Aalbersberg IJJ, Appleton G, Axton M, Baak A, *et al*. The FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and stewardship. Sci Data. 2016; 3:160018. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2016.18
14. Könnecke M, Akeroyd FA, Bernstein HJ, Brewster AS, Campbell SI, Clausen B, *et al*. The NeXus data format. J Appl Crystallogr. 2015; 48(1):301-305. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600576714027575
15. Fangohr H, Beg M, Bondar V, Boukhelef D, Brockhauser S, Danilevski C, *et al*. Data analysis support in Karabo at European XFEL. In: Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Accelerator and Large Experimental Control Systems (ICALEPCS'17). JACoW, 2018, 245-252. Doi: https://doi.org/10.18429/JACoW-ICALEPCS2017-TUCPA01
16. Häse F, Roch LM, Aspuru-Guzik A. Next-generation experimentation with self-driving laboratories. Trends Chem. 2020; 1(3):282-291. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trechm.2019.02.007
17. Molnar C. Interpretable machine learning: A guide for making black box models explainable. 2nd ed. Leanpub, 2022. Available from: https://christophm.github.io/interpretable-ml-book/
18. Kotsis KT. Integrating artificial intelligence in science education: Benefits and challenges. Int J Educ Innov. 2024; 6(3):39-49. Doi: https://doi.org/10.69685/ICAS1772
19. Kotsis KT. Artificial intelligence and the future of physics curriculum in higher education: Ethics and institutional challenges. Int J Multidiscip Compr Res. 2025a; 4(5):12-16. Doi: https://doi.org/10.54660/IJMCR.2025.4.5.12-16
20. Kotsis KT. Integrating artificial intelligence into higher education curricula: Challenges and opportunities for science-based programs. Int J Artif Intell Eng Transform. 2025b; 6(1):4-12. Doi: https://doi.org/10.54660/IJAIET.2025.6.1.04-12
21. Kotsis KT. Making the invisible visible: A pedagogical framework for teaching crystallography through modeling and representation. Eur J Contemp Educ E-Learn. 2025c; 3(4):1-5. Doi: https://doi.org/10.59324/ejceel.2025.3(4).xx
22. Kotsis KT. Artificial intelligence as a catalyst for changes in university-level science education. EIKI J Eff Teach Methods. 2025d; 3(3):99-109. Doi: https://doi.org/10.59652/jetm.v3i3.618