Int. j. adv. multidisc. res. stud. 2023; 3(6):2173-2182

Received: 10-11-2023
Accepted: 20-12-2023

International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies

ISSN: 2583-049X

Predictive Analytics Approaches for Improving Demand Forecasting Accuracy in E-Commerce Procurement Systems

[1] Olatunde Taiwo Akin-Oluyomi, [2] Michael Efetobore Atima, [3] Oluwafunmilayo Kehinde Akinleye, [4] Precious Osobhalenewie Okoruwa

[1] Sundry Markets Limited, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria
[2, 4] Independent Researcher, Nigeria
[3] Drugfield Pharmaceuticals Limited, Nigeria

DOI: https://doi.org/10.62225/2583049X.2023.3.6.5324
Corresponding Author: **Olatunde Taiwo Akin-Oluyomi**

Abstract

Demand forecasting in e-commerce procurement systems is central to operational efficiency, customer satisfaction, and cost reduction. Accurate forecasting enables organizations to anticipate fluctuations in consumer demand, align procurement strategies, optimize inventory levels, and minimize supply chain risks. Traditional forecasting methods, while valuable, often fail to capture the complexities of modern e-commerce, where purchasing patterns are dynamic, influenced by seasonality, promotions, social media trends, and external shocks. Predictive analytics has emerged as a transformative approach, leveraging machine learning, statistical modelling, and big data analytics to enhance forecasting accuracy. By exploiting diverse data sources including transaction records, clickstream behaviour, demographic information, and external market signals predictive models enable organizations to move from reactive to proactive procurement management. This study conducts a comprehensive literature-based review of predictive analytics approaches in e-commerce demand forecasting up to 2020. It examines methodological developments, challenges, and applications across procurement systems, highlighting the integration of regression models, time-series forecasting, machine learning, and deep learning algorithms. The review identifies trends toward hybrid and ensemble models, the growing role of real-time analytics, and the challenges of scalability, data quality, and interpretability. The findings underscore that predictive analytics holds substantial potential in improving demand forecasting, but its successful adoption requires careful alignment with organizational goals, robust data governance, and cross-functional collaboration. The study provides a structured synthesis of existing scholarship and offers insights into the implications for e-commerce procurement systems seeking to enhance forecasting accuracy in volatile, data-rich environments.

Keywords: Predictive Analytics, Demand Forecasting, E-Commerce Procurement, Machine Learning, Time-Series Models, Data-Driven Decision-Making

1. Introduction

Demand forecasting has long been a cornerstone of procurement and supply chain management, underpinning strategic and operational decisions that influence production planning, inventory control, sourcing, and distribution. In e-commerce procurement systems, the importance of accurate forecasting is magnified by the speed and volatility of online markets. Unlike traditional retail, where demand may follow relatively stable seasonal patterns, e-commerce is characterized by rapid fluctuations driven by promotional campaigns, social media influences, competitive pricing, and external disruptions [1]. The unprecedented growth of digital marketplaces has also introduced significant complexity into demand forecasting, as organizations must contend with massive volumes of transactional and behavioural data generated by millions of consumers across diverse geographies and platforms [2, 3].

The growing prominence of e-commerce has reshaped procurement systems into highly dynamic networks that rely on real-time decision-making. Inaccurate forecasts in such contexts can lead to severe consequences: overestimation may result in excessive procurement, higher holding costs, and obsolescence, while underestimation may cause stockouts, lost sales, and

customer dissatisfaction [4, 5]. Given these stakes, improving demand forecasting accuracy is not merely an operational necessity but a strategic imperative for sustaining competitiveness. The evolution of predictive analytics offers organizations a means to address these challenges. By integrating advanced statistical models, machine learning algorithms, and big data analytics, predictive analytics enables organizations to uncover hidden patterns in data, anticipate demand shifts, and support evidence-based procurement decisions [6, 7].

The significance of predictive analytics lies in its departure from traditional forecasting methods that often rely on historical sales data alone. Conventional techniques, including moving averages, exponential smoothing, and autoregressive models, assume that past patterns will continue into the future with limited adjustments [8, 9]. While effective in stable environments, these methods struggle to account for the nonlinear, multidimensional influences that characterize e-commerce demand. For instance, consumer preferences are increasingly shaped by online reviews, influencer marketing, and social media trends variables that are difficult to capture using purely historical approaches [10, 11]. Predictive analytics frameworks address this limitation by leveraging diverse data sources, ranging from structured transaction records to unstructured textual, visual, and behavioural data, thereby offering a more comprehensive foundation for forecasting [12, 13].

The expansion of big data technologies has further catalyzed the adoption of predictive analytics in demand forecasting. E-commerce platforms generate vast amounts of data through customer interactions, website navigation patterns, search queries, and purchase histories [14, 15]. Additionally, external data sources such as macroeconomic indicators, weather forecasts, competitor pricing, and promotional calendars provide valuable signals for demand prediction [16, 17]. The integration of these heterogeneous datasets enables predictive models to capture demand drivers that extend beyond traditional sales data. This shift reflects a broader transformation in procurement systems, where decision-making increasingly depends on data-driven insights rather than intuition or static models [18, 19].

Machine learning has been particularly influential in redefining demand forecasting approaches. Algorithms such as decision trees, random forests, support vector machines, and neural networks have demonstrated superior accuracy compared to traditional statistical models, particularly in handling large and complex datasets [20]. For instance, neural networks are capable of modelling nonlinear relationships and interactions between variables, making them suitable for capturing the complexity of e-commerce demand [21, 22]. Deep learning approaches, such as recurrent neural networks (RNNs) and long short-term memory (LSTM) networks, have further advanced forecasting capabilities by effectively modelling sequential and temporal dependencies in demand data [23, 24]. These developments highlight the transformative potential of predictive analytics in improving forecasting accuracy and responsiveness in e-commerce procurement systems.

A critical advantage of predictive analytics lies in its ability to enable real-time forecasting. In e-commerce environments where consumer behaviour changes rapidly, static or periodic forecasts are often insufficient. Predictive models that continuously ingest new data streams such as website traffic, click-through rates, or trending keywords can dynamically update demand forecasts, allowing procurement managers to adjust sourcing decisions in near real-time [25, 26]. This capability aligns with the increasing demand for agility and responsiveness in procurement systems, particularly in highly competitive markets where delays in decision-making can result in significant losses [27, 28].

Beyond accuracy, predictive analytics contributes to the strategic objectives of procurement systems by supporting risk mitigation and scenario planning. Forecasting models can be used to simulate alternative demand scenarios, enabling organizations to assess potential risks and prepare contingency plans [29]. For instance, predictive analytics can help anticipate demand spikes during promotional events such as Black Friday or Singles' Day, as well as demand drops during economic downturns or supply chain disruptions. By integrating scenario-based forecasting into procurement planning, organizations can strengthen their resilience and ensure continuity in volatile markets.

The role of predictive analytics in e-commerce procurement also reflects broader theoretical developments in supply chain management. Resource dependence theory emphasizes the importance of managing external uncertainties by building capabilities that reduce reliance on unpredictable suppliers [30, 31]. Predictive demand forecasting aligns with this perspective by equipping organizations with foresight to anticipate and manage external shocks. Similarly, the dynamic capabilities framework highlights the importance of sensing, seizing, and transforming in turbulent environments [32]. Predictive analytics supports these capabilities by enabling firms to sense emerging patterns in consumer behaviour, seize opportunities through agile procurement, and transform procurement strategies in response to evolving market dynamics.

Despite its potential, the application of predictive analytics in e-commerce demand forecasting is not without challenges. Data quality remains a persistent issue, as predictive models rely heavily on the accuracy, completeness, and relevance of input data [33, 34]. Noisy, inconsistent, or biased data can significantly impair forecasting accuracy, leading to flawed procurement decisions. Moreover, the implementation of predictive analytics requires substantial investments in data infrastructure, computational resources, and skilled personnel [35]. Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) may find it particularly difficult to adopt advanced models due to resource constraints [36]. These barriers highlight the need for scalable, cost-effective, and interpretable forecasting solutions that balance sophistication with accessibility.

Interpretability is another critical concern, particularly in machine learning-based forecasting models. While algorithms such as neural networks may deliver superior accuracy, they are often criticized as "black boxes" that provide limited transparency into how predictions are generated. For procurement managers, the inability to understand model reasoning can hinder trust and adoption, particularly in high-stakes decision contexts [37]. Recent research has emphasized the need for explainable artificial intelligence (XAI) approaches that balance predictive accuracy with interpretability, ensuring that forecasting models are both effective and comprehensible to decision-makers [38].

Ethical and privacy considerations also arise in predictive analytics applications, particularly when using consumer behavioural and demographic data. Concerns over data protection regulations, such as the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), necessitate careful governance of data collection, storage, and usage [39, 40]. For e-commerce procurement systems, compliance with these regulations is essential to avoid legal risks and maintain consumer trust. This underscores the need for frameworks that integrate predictive analytics with robust data governance policies, ensuring that forecasting practices are both effective and ethically responsible [41, 42].

In reviewing the literature up to 2020, it is evident that predictive analytics represents a paradigm shift in demand forecasting for e-commerce procurement. The move from traditional statistical models to advanced machine learning and big data approaches reflects the broader digital transformation of supply chain and procurement systems [43, 44]. This shift is not merely technological but also strategic, as predictive forecasting enables organizations to align procurement with consumer demand more closely, optimize resource utilization, and build resilience in uncertain markets [45, 46]. However, realizing the full potential of predictive analytics requires addressing persistent challenges of data quality, resource constraints, interpretability, and governance [47, 48].

The present study builds on this body of work by conducting a comprehensive literature-based review of predictive analytics approaches for demand forecasting in e-commerce procurement systems, focusing specifically on contributions published up to 2020. By synthesizing existing research, the paper aims to provide a structured understanding of methodological developments, highlight strengths and limitations of different approaches, and identify key trends shaping the future of demand forecasting. The review contributes to both academic and practical discussions, offering insights for researchers, practitioners, and policymakers seeking to harness predictive analytics for improving forecasting accuracy in e-commerce procurement systems.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 provides a detailed literature review of predictive analytics approaches, tracing the evolution of methodologies, applications, and key debates. Section 3 discusses the implications of these approaches for procurement practice and organizational strategy. Section 4 concludes with a synthesis of key insights and directions for future research.

2. Literature Review

The application of predictive analytics in demand forecasting for e-commerce procurement has developed considerably in the past two decades, reflecting a confluence of technological advancements, methodological innovations, and strategic imperatives. The literature highlights the transition from traditional statistical forecasting models to advanced machine learning, hybrid frameworks, and real-time analytics that better address the volatility and complexity of online retail environments. This section reviews the evolution of methodologies, the integration of diverse data sources, the emergence of machine learning and deep learning approaches, the growing emphasis on hybrid and ensemble models, and the challenges associated with adoption. By synthesizing these strands, the review provides a structured understanding of how predictive analytics has been leveraged to improve demand forecasting accuracy in e-commerce procurement systems up to 2020.

2.1 Traditional Forecasting Foundations

Early forecasting techniques in e-commerce borrowed heavily from traditional retail models, which relied on time-series methods such as moving averages, exponential smoothing, and autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) models [49, 50]. These approaches assumed that demand followed consistent historical patterns and could be projected into the future with limited adjustments. While valuable for stable markets, such models were ill-suited for e-commerce environments where demand is influenced by highly dynamic factors, including digital marketing campaigns, flash sales, and social media trends [51, 52]. ARIMA, for instance, captured linear temporal dependencies but struggled with nonlinear or multi-variable influences common in digital commerce [53]. Consequently, researchers began exploring more advanced models capable of handling complexity and incorporating multiple exogenous variables.

2.2 Incorporating Exogenous Variables

One of the earliest advancements was the inclusion of external variables beyond historical sales data. Studies demonstrated that demand in e-commerce could be significantly influenced by promotional activities, pricing strategies, website traffic, and macroeconomic conditions [54]. Regression-based models were extended to include these predictors, improving forecasting accuracy compared to univariate models [55]. For example, regression models incorporating promotional discounts and seasonal indicators demonstrated improved demand predictions for online retailers during high-variability periods such as holiday seasons [56]. This expansion reflected a growing recognition that forecasting accuracy in e-commerce required capturing both internal and external drivers of demand, paving the way for data-rich predictive analytics approaches.

2.3 Emergence of Machine Learning

By the mid-2000s, the limitations of purely statistical approaches led to the adoption of machine learning algorithms in demand forecasting. Machine learning techniques excel at identifying complex, nonlinear relationships within large datasets, making them particularly suited for e-commerce contexts [57]. Decision trees and random forests provided early demonstrations of machine learning's utility, offering interpretable models capable of handling categorical variables and interactions. Support vector machines (SVMs) also gained traction, especially for classification-based forecasting tasks, such as predicting whether demand for a given product would rise or fall. Compared to ARIMA and regression, these methods offered superior accuracy, particularly in handling high-dimensional datasets with numerous predictors [58].

The rise of artificial neural networks (ANNs) further advanced the application of machine learning in forecasting. ANNs were capable of modelling highly nonlinear functions, making them effective in capturing the complexity of consumer demand patterns influenced by multifaceted factors [59]. Studies demonstrated that ANNs outperformed traditional time-series models in predicting short-term demand fluctuations, particularly in contexts with high promotional intensity. However, early neural networks

faced challenges such as overfitting and interpretability, raising questions about their scalability and managerial usability [60]. Nonetheless, their adoption marked a significant shift toward more sophisticated, data-driven forecasting approaches.

2.4 Deep Learning Approaches

The late 2010s witnessed the increasing application of deep learning, particularly recurrent neural networks (RNNs) and long short-term memory (LSTM) architectures, in e-commerce forecasting. These models were designed to capture sequential and temporal dependencies, making them particularly effective for time-series forecasting [61]. LSTMs addressed the limitations of traditional RNNs, such as vanishing gradients, by incorporating memory cells that retained long-term dependencies [62]. Applications in e-commerce demonstrated that LSTMs provided superior accuracy in forecasting demand for products with highly variable or seasonal sales patterns. Convolutional neural networks (CNNs), though originally developed for image recognition, were also applied to demand forecasting tasks where spatial-temporal patterns could be leveraged [63]. These deep learning approaches represented the cutting edge of predictive analytics in demand forecasting up to 2020, offering capabilities well beyond traditional methods.

2.5 Hybrid and Ensemble Models

Recognizing that no single predictive approach could capture all aspects of demand complexity, researchers increasingly turned to hybrid and ensemble models. Hybrid models combine the strengths of different techniques, such as integrating ARIMA with neural networks to capture both linear and nonlinear components of demand [64]. Ensemble methods, including bagging and boosting, aggregate predictions from multiple models to improve overall accuracy and robustness [65]. For example, combining decision trees with gradient boosting machines demonstrated strong performance in predicting product-level demand in online retail settings [66]. Hybrid approaches also integrated machine learning with domain-specific knowledge, such as incorporating supply chain constraints into predictive models [67, 68]. These developments reflected a growing recognition that the heterogeneity of e-commerce demand required diverse modeling strategies.

2.6 Big Data and Real-Time Analytics

A defining feature of predictive analytics in e-commerce procurement has been the ability to harness big data for real-time forecasting. E-commerce platforms generate massive volumes of structured and unstructured data, including transaction histories, clickstream data, search queries, reviews, and social media interactions [69, 70]. Predictive models that incorporate these data sources have demonstrated significant improvements in forecasting accuracy compared to models relying solely on historical sales. For instance, sentiment analysis of online reviews and social media posts has been shown to provide early indicators of demand surges or declines [71, 72]. Real-time analytics platforms allow organizations to continuously update forecasts as new data streams arrive, enabling agile procurement responses to emerging trends. This capability is particularly critical in highly competitive e-commerce markets where consumer preferences shift rapidly.

2.7 Applications in Procurement Systems

The integration of predictive analytics into procurement systems has had significant implications for inventory management, sourcing strategies, and supplier coordination. Accurate demand forecasts enable organizations to optimize procurement decisions, aligning inventory levels with expected demand while minimizing holding costs [73]. In e-commerce, where product assortments are vast and inventory turnover is rapid, predictive models help procurement managers allocate resources efficiently across product categories [74, 75]. Furthermore, predictive analytics supports supplier collaboration by providing visibility into future demand, enabling suppliers to align their production and delivery schedules with retailer requirements. This alignment reduces the risk of stockouts or overstocking, enhancing the overall efficiency of procurement systems [76].

2.8 Challenges and Limitations

Despite their promise, predictive analytics approaches in e-commerce demand forecasting face several challenges. Data quality remains a major issue, as models are highly sensitive to noisy, incomplete, or biased data [24, 77]. The proliferation of data sources also raises concerns about integration and interoperability, particularly when combining structured and unstructured datasets. From an organizational perspective, the implementation of advanced predictive models requires substantial investments in infrastructure, computational power, and skilled personnel [78, 79]. Smaller firms often lack the resources to adopt such models, creating disparities in forecasting capabilities across the industry. Additionally, interpretability remains a persistent challenge, as many machine learning and deep learning models function as "black boxes" that provide limited transparency into their predictions. This lack of interpretability can undermine trust among procurement managers, particularly in high-stakes decision contexts [80].

Privacy and ethical considerations also feature prominently in the literature. Predictive models that leverage consumer behavioural data must comply with data protection regulations such as the European Union's GDPR [81]. Ensuring that data is collected, stored, and used responsibly is essential for maintaining consumer trust and avoiding legal risks. These considerations underscore the importance of integrating predictive analytics into procurement systems within robust ethical and governance frameworks [82].

2.9 Synthesis of Trends up to 2020

By 2020, several clear trends were evident in the literature on predictive analytics for demand forecasting in e-commerce procurement. First, there was a decisive shift from traditional statistical models to machine learning and deep learning approaches, reflecting the need to capture nonlinear and complex demand patterns. Second, hybrid and ensemble models gained traction as researchers sought to combine the strengths of diverse techniques. Third, the integration of big data and real-time analytics highlighted the growing importance of responsiveness and agility in e-commerce procurement systems. Finally, persistent challenges including data quality, interpretability, scalability, and ethical considerations remained barriers to widespread adoption.

Overall, the literature illustrates that predictive analytics has transformed demand forecasting in e-commerce

procurement, offering unprecedented accuracy and strategic value. However, the realization of these benefits depends on addressing challenges related to data governance, interpretability, and organizational readiness. These insights set the stage for further discussion of the implications of predictive analytics for procurement practice, which will be examined in the subsequent section.

3. Discussion and Implications

The review of predictive analytics approaches to demand forecasting in e-commerce procurement reveals a fundamental transformation in how organizations conceptualize, design, and implement forecasting systems. Traditional methods have given way to advanced analytics-driven approaches that exploit large-scale data, machine learning, and hybrid modelling. These developments carry several implications for research, practice, and policy.

A primary implication is the strategic reorientation of procurement functions. Forecasting accuracy is no longer confined to operational efficiency but is increasingly tied to strategic competitiveness, customer satisfaction, and resilience. Inaccurate forecasts in e-commerce contexts can lead to costly procurement errors, yet the deployment of predictive analytics mitigates these risks by enabling proactive decision-making. For managers, this implies that demand forecasting should be viewed as a strategic capability, integral to procurement planning, supplier coordination, and customer service delivery. Predictive analytics, therefore, shifts forecasting from a backward-looking function to a forward-looking capability aligned with organizational goals.

The findings also highlight the implications for data infrastructure and governance. Predictive analytics models depend on vast and diverse data sources, requiring robust systems for collection, storage, integration, and analysis [83, 84]. This necessitates investments in data lakes, cloud computing, and advanced analytics platforms capable of handling structured and unstructured datasets in real-time. However, the sophistication of such infrastructures creates challenges of data quality, consistency, and interoperability [85]. Firms must implement rigorous data governance frameworks to ensure reliability, compliance with regulatory requirements, and ethical use of consumer data [86]. For policymakers, this raises questions about establishing industry standards and regulatory oversight that safeguard consumer rights while enabling innovation in predictive analytics.

From a methodological perspective, the review underscores the trade-off between accuracy and interpretability. While advanced machine learning and deep learning models often outperform traditional methods in forecasting accuracy, their complexity reduces transparency [87]. Procurement managers may struggle to trust or explain the outputs of "black-box" models, particularly when forecasts drive high-stakes procurement decisions involving millions of dollars. This challenge underscores the importance of explainable artificial intelligence (XAI), which provides mechanisms for interpreting model predictions without significantly sacrificing accuracy [88, 89]. For researchers, this presents a fertile area for developing hybrid models that balance accuracy, interpretability, and usability in procurement contexts.

Another implication concerns **organizational capability building**. Implementing predictive analytics requires skilled personnel, cross-functional collaboration, and cultural readiness to embrace data-driven decision-making [90, 91]. Many e-commerce organizations face shortages of data scientists and analytics experts capable of designing, deploying, and maintaining complex predictive systems [92, 93]. This talent gap necessitates investment in training, partnerships, and technology transfer to ensure effective adoption. Moreover, the organizational shift to predictive forecasting requires collaboration between procurement, IT, marketing, and operations teams, underscoring the need for cross-disciplinary integration [94, 95]. For smaller firms, resource constraints may necessitate reliance on off-the-shelf analytics solutions or partnerships with third-party providers.

The integration of predictive analytics into procurement systems also has implications for risk management and resilience. The ability to simulate demand scenarios, anticipate disruptions, and dynamically adjust forecasts enhances supply chain resilience in volatile markets [96, 97]. This aligns with the broader movement toward agile procurement systems that can adapt rapidly to external shocks such as pandemics, economic downturns, or supply disruptions. Predictive analytics thus serves not only as a tool for accuracy but also as a capability for resilience, supporting continuity in uncertain environments [98]. Organizations that embed predictive forecasting within risk management frameworks are better positioned to respond to volatility and sustain competitive advantage.

Finally, there are implications for sustainability and consumer trust. Predictive analytics enables more precise procurement decisions, reducing waste, excess inventory, and associated environmental impacts [99]. At the same time, the use of consumer behavioural and demographic data raises privacy and ethical concerns that, if mishandled, may erode trust. Organizations must therefore integrate predictive analytics within ethical governance frameworks that emphasize transparency, consent, and compliance with regulations such as GDPR [100, 101]. Balancing efficiency gains with consumer trust and sustainability is essential for long-term viability in e-commerce procurement systems.

In summary, the discussion highlights that predictive analytics has redefined demand forecasting in e-commerce procurement, offering significant benefits but also introducing new challenges. For practitioners, the key lies in aligning predictive systems with strategic priorities, investing in data governance, ensuring interpretability, and building organizational capacity. For researchers, the challenge is to develop models that balance accuracy with transparency, scalability, and ethical considerations. For policymakers, the imperative is to provide regulatory clarity and support frameworks that enable innovation while safeguarding consumer rights.

4. Conclusion

This paper has examined the evolution of predictive analytics approaches for improving demand forecasting accuracy in e-commerce procurement systems, with a focus on contributions published up to 2020. The review highlights a clear trajectory from traditional statistical models toward advanced machine learning, deep learning, and hybrid frameworks capable of handling the volatility, complexity, and data intensity of online retail environments. These methodologies enable organizations to integrate diverse data sources, capture nonlinear demand patterns, and

generate real-time forecasts that significantly enhance procurement decision-making [102].

Several insights emerge from the analysis. First, predictive analytics shifts demand forecasting from a reactive process based on historical trends to a proactive capability that anticipates future demand dynamics. This transformation enhances procurement efficiency, reduces risks, and supports customer satisfaction. Second, the adoption of predictive forecasting requires robust data infrastructure, governance, and organizational capabilities, underscoring the importance of investment in technology, talent, and cross-functional collaboration [103]. Third, while advanced models deliver superior accuracy, interpretability remains a challenge, necessitating the development of explainable AI approaches that ensure transparency and managerial trust [104]. Finally, predictive analytics introduces both opportunities and responsibilities: it supports sustainability by reducing waste but also raises ethical and privacy concerns that must be addressed through responsible data practices [105].

For practitioners, the findings suggest that predictive analytics should be viewed not simply as a technical enhancement but as a strategic enabler of competitive advantage in e-commerce procurement systems. For researchers, the review identifies avenues for future work, including the design of interpretable and scalable models, integration of unstructured data sources, and exploration of predictive analytics in emerging contexts such as omni-channel retailing. For policymakers, the results highlight the need for clear regulatory frameworks that foster innovation while protecting consumer rights.

In conclusion, predictive analytics holds transformative potential for improving demand forecasting accuracy in e-commerce procurement systems. By addressing methodological, organizational, and ethical challenges, stakeholders can unlock the full benefits of predictive forecasting, enabling procurement systems to thrive in an increasingly digital, data-driven, and uncertain marketplace [78, 106].

5. References

1. Bertsimas D, Kallus N. From predictive to prescriptive analytics. Manage Sci. 2020; 66(3):1025-1044. Doi: 10.1287/MNSC.2018.3253
2. Riaz MJMNBAMS. Predictive maintenance of textile machinery using machine learning techniques. SN Appl Sci. 2020; 2(7):1-11. Doi: 10.1007/s42452-020-03427-5
3. Kecman P, Goverde RMP. Predictive modelling of running and dwell times in railway traffic. Public Transport, Dec 2015; 7(3):295-319. Doi: 10.1007/S12469-015-0106-7
4. Perry W, McInnis B, Price C, Smith S, Hollywood J. Predictive policing: The role of crime forecasting in law enforcement operations. Rand Corporation, 2013.
5. Gunasekaran A, *et al*. Big data and predictive analytics for supply chain and organizational performance. J Bus Res, Jan 2017; 70:308-317. Doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.08.004
6. Gupta S, Drave VA, Dwivedi YK, Baabdullah AM, Ismagilova E. Achieving superior organizational performance via big data predictive analytics: A dynamic capability view. Industrial Marketing Management, Oct 2020; 90:581-592. Doi: 10.1016/J.INDMARMAN.2019.11.009
7. Liu K, Li N, Kolmanovsky I, Girard A. A vehicle routing problem with dynamic demands and restricted failures solved using stochastic predictive control. Proceedings of the American Control Conference, July 2019, 1885-1890. Doi: 10.23919/ACC.2019.8814997
8. Mayxay M, *et al*. Predictive diagnostic value of the tourniquet test for the diagnosis of dengue infection in adults. Trop Med Int Health, Jan 2011; 16(1):127-133. Doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3156.2010.02641.x
9. Ni JLYGDD. A comparative study of physics-based and data-driven models for predictive maintenance. Mech Syst Signal Process. 2019; 119:538-550.
10. Waller MA, Fawcett SE. Data science, predictive analytics, and big data: A revolution that will transform supply chain design and management. Journal of Business Logistics. 2013; 34(2):77-84. Doi: 10.1111/JBL.12010
11. Hu M, *et al*. Predictive Analysis of First Abbreviated New Drug Application Submission for New Chemical Entities Based on Machine Learning Methodology. Clin Pharmacol Ther, Jul 2019; 106(1):174-181. Doi: 10.1002/CPT.1479
12. Omisola JO, Shiyanbola JO, Osho GO. A Predictive Quality Assurance Model Using Lean Six Sigma: Integrating FMEA, SPC, and Root Cause Analysis for Zero-Defect Production Systems. Unknown Journal, 2020.
13. Osho GO, Omisola JO, Shiyanbola JO. A Conceptual Framework for AI-Driven Predictive Optimization in Industrial Engineering: Leveraging Machine Learning for Smart Manufacturing Decisions. Unknown Journal, 2020.
14. Osho GO, Omisola JO, Shiyanbola JO. An Integrated AI-Power BI Model for Real-Time Supply Chain Visibility and Forecasting: A Data-Intelligence Approach to Operational Excellence. Unknown Journal, 2020.
15. Monechi B, Gravino P, Di Clemente R, Servedio VDP. Complex delay dynamics on railway networks from universal laws to realistic modelling. EPJ Data Sci, Dec 2018; 7(1). Doi: 10.1140/EPJDS/S13688-018-0160-X
16. Whicher D, Ahmed M, Siddiqi S, Adams I, Grossmann C, Carman K. Health Data Sharing to Support Better Outcomes Building a Foundation of Stakeholder Trust A D EMY O Prepublication Copy-Uncorrected Proofs, 2020.
17. Sudhof L, *et al*. Local use of geographic information systems to improve data utilisation and health services: Mapping caesarean section coverage in rural Rwanda. Trop Med Int Health, Jan 2013; 18(1):18-26. Doi: 10.1111/tmi.12016
18. Mantelero A. The EU Proposal for a General Data Protection Regulation and the roots of the right to be forgotten. Computer Law and Security Review, Jun 2013; 29(3):229-235. Doi: 10.1016/J.CLSR.2013.03.010
19. Demirkan H, Delen D. Leveraging the capabilities of service-oriented decision support systems: Putting analytics and big data in cloud. Decis Support Syst, Apr 2013; 55(1):412-421. Doi: 10.1016/j.dss.2012.05.048
20. Ramanathan R, Ramanathan U, Zhang Y. Linking operations, marketing and environmental capabilities and diversification to hotel performance: A data envelopment analysis approach. Int J Prod Econ, Jun

2016; 176:111-122. Doi: 10.1016/j.ijpe.2016.03.010
21. Babatunde LA, *et al*. Adversarial machine learning in cybersecurity: Vulnerabilities and defense strategies. Journal of Frontiers in Multidisciplinary Research. 2020; 1(2):31-45. Doi: 10.54660/JFMR.2020.1.2.31-45
22. Knoll D, Prüglmeier M, Reinhart G. Predicting Future Inbound Logistics Processes Using Machine Learning. Procedia CIRP. 2016; 52:145-150. Doi: 10.1016/J.PROCIR.2016.07.078
23. Oneto L, *et al*. Dynamic delay predictions for large-scale railway networks: Deep and shallow extreme learning machines tuned via thresholdout. IEEE Trans Syst Man Cybern Syst, Oct 2017; 47(10):2754-2767. Doi: 10.1109/TSMC.2017.2693209
24. Mackey TK, *et al*. Big data, natural language processing, and deep learning to detect and characterize illicit COVID-19 product sales: Infoveillance study on Twitter and Instagram. JMIR Public Health Surveill, Jul 2020; 6(3). Doi: 10.2196/20794
25. Samek W, Binder A, Montavon G, Lapuschkin S, Müller KR. Evaluating the visualization of what a deep neural network has learned. IEEE Trans Neural Netw Learn Syst, Nov 2017; 28(11):2660-2673. Doi: 10.1109/TNNLS.2016.2599820
26. Ashiedu BI, Ogbuefi E, Nwabekee US, Ogeawuchi JC, Abayomi AA. Developing Financial Due Diligence Frameworks for Mergers and Acquisitions in Emerging Telecom Markets. Iconic Research And Engineering Journals. 2020; 4(1):183-196 [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708562
27. Russom P, Stodder D, Halper F. Tdwi Best Practices Report Tdwi Research Real-Time Data, BI, and Analytics Accelerating Business to Leverage Customer Relations, Competitiveness, and Insights, 2014.
28. Qi H, *et al*. A resilient real-time system design for a secure and reconfigurable power grid. IEEE Trans Smart Grid, Dec 2011; 2(4):770-781. Doi: 10.1109/TSG.2011.2159819
29. Franklin A, *et al*. Dashboard visualizations: Supporting real-time throughput decision-making. J Biomed Inform, Jul 2017; 71:211-221. Doi: 10.1016/J.JBI.2017.05.024
30. He Y, Zhao X. Coordination in multi-echelon supply chain under supply and demand uncertainty. Int J Prod Econ, Sep 2012; 139(1):106-115. Doi: 10.1016/j.ijpe.2011.04.021
31. Rodrigue JP, Slack B, Comtois C. Green supply chain management. The SAGE Handbook of Transport Studies, Jan 2013, 427-438. Doi: 10.4135/9781446247655.N25
32. Supply Chain Management Based on SAP Systems. Supply Chain Management Based on SAP Systems, 2009, Doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-68739-9
33. Jira C, Toffel MW. Engaging supply chains in climate change. Manufacturing and Service Operations Management, Sep 2013; 15(4):559-577. Doi: 10.1287/MSOM.1120.0420
34. Mishra BK, Raghunathan S, Yue X. Demand forecast sharing in supply chains. Prod Oper Manag, Mar 2009; 18(2):152-166. Doi: 10.1111/J.1937-5956.2009.01013.X
35. Chopra S, Meindl P. Supply Chain Management. Strategy, Planning & Operation. Das Summa Summarum des Management, Oct 2007, 265-275. Doi: 10.1007/978-3-8349-9320-5_22
36. Attaran M. Digital technology enablers and their implications for supply chain management. Supply Chain Forum, Jul 2020; 21(3):158-172. Doi: 10.1080/16258312.2020.1751568
37. Ghadge A, Dani S, Kalawsky R. Supply chain risk management: Present and future scope. The International Journal of Logistics Management, Nov 2012; 23(3):313-339. Doi: 10.1108/09574091211289200
38. Wang Z, Yan R, Hollister K, Xing R. A relative comparison of leading Supply Chain Management software packages. International Journal of Information Systems and Supply Chain Management, Jan 2009; 2(1):81-96. Doi: 10.4018/JISSCM.2009010106
39. Merkuryeva G, Valberga A, Smirnov A. Demand forecasting in pharmaceutical supply chains: A case study. Procedia Comput Sci. 2019; 149:3-10. Doi: 10.1016/J.PROCS.2019.01.100
40. Rossetti CL, Handfield R, Dooley KJ. Forces, trends, and decisions in pharmaceutical supply chain management. International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management, Jul 2011; 41(6):601-622. Doi: 10.1108/09600031111147835
41. Khan O, Burnes B. Risk and supply chain management: Creating a research agenda. The International Journal of Logistics Management, Aug 2007; 18(2):197-216. Doi: 10.1108/09574090710816931
42. Nikolopoulou A, Ierapetritou MG. Hybrid simulation based optimization approach for supply chain management. Comput Chem Eng, Dec 2012; 47:183-193. Doi: 10.1016/J.COMPCHEMENG.2012.06.045
43. Katircioglu K, *et al*. Supply chain scenario modeler: A holistic executive decision support solution. Interfaces (Providence), Jan 2014; 44(1):85-104. Doi: 10.1287/INTE.2013.0725
44. Qorri A, Mujkić Z, Kraslawski A. A conceptual framework for measuring sustainability performance of supply chains. J Clean Prod, Jul 2018; 189:570-584. Doi: 10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2018.04.073
45. Syntetos AA, Babai Z, Boylan JE, Kolassa S, Nikolopoulos K. Supply chain forecasting: Theory, practice, their gap and the future. Eur J Oper Res, Jul 2016; 252(1):1-26. Doi: 10.1016/j.ejor.2015.11.010
46. Eksoz C, Mansouri SA, Bourlakis M. Collaborative forecasting in the food supply chain: A conceptual framework. Int J Prod Econ, Dec 2014; 158:120-135. Doi: 10.1016/j.ijpe.2014.07.031
47. Verdouw CN, Wolfert J, Beulens AJM, Rialland A. Virtualization of food supply chains with the internet of things. J Food Eng, May 2016; 176:128-136. Doi: 10.1016/J.JFOODENG.2015.11.009
48. Brandenburg M, Govindan K, Sarkis J, Seuring S. Quantitative models for sustainable supply chain management: Developments and directions. Eur J Oper Res, Mar 2014; 233(2):299-312. Doi: 10.1016/j.ejor.2013.09.032
49. Abed SS. Social commerce adoption using TOE framework: An empirical investigation of Saudi Arabian SMEs. Int J Inf Manage, Aug 2020; 53. Doi: 10.1016/J.IJINFOMGT.2020.102118
50. Viu-Roig M, Alvarez-Palau EJ. The impact of E-Commerce-related last-mile logistics on cities: A systematic literature review. Sustainability

(Switzerland), Aug 2020; 12(16). Doi: 10.3390/SU12166492
51. Nakatani K, Chuang TT. A web analytics tool selection method: An analytical hierarchy process approach. Internet Research, Jan 2011; 21(2):171-186. Doi: 10.1108/10662241111123757
52. Borade AB, Kannan G, Bansod SV. Analytical hierarchy process-based framework for VMI adoption. Int J Prod Res. 2013; 51(4):963-978. Doi: 10.1080/00207543.2011.650795
53. Chen H, Chiang RHL, Storey VC. Business intelligence and analytics: From big data to big impact. MIS Q. 2012; 36(4):1165-1188. Doi: 10.2307/41703503
54. Zhao R, Liu Y, Zhang N, Huang T. An optimization model for green supply chain management by using a big data analytic approach. J Clean Prod, Jan 2017; 142:1085-1097. Doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.03.006
55. Li L, Liu F, Li C. Customer satisfaction evaluation method for customized product development using Entropy weight and Analytic Hierarchy Process. Comput Ind Eng. 2014; 77:80-87. Doi: 10.1016/j.cie.2014.09.009
56. Dano Abamykiammyabpul. Flood susceptibility mapping using GIS-based analytic network process: A case study of Perlis, Malaysia. Water (Basel). 2019; 11(3):p. 615.
57. Cogollo-Florez JM, Correa-Espinal AA. Analytical modeling of supply chain quality management coordination and integration: A literature review. Quality Management Journal. 2019; 26(2):72-83. Doi: 10.1080/10686967.2019.1580553
58. Štufi M, Bačić B, Stoimenov L. Big data analytics and processing platform in Czech Republic healthcare. Applied Sciences (Switzerland), Mar 2020; 10(5). Doi: 10.3390/APP10051705
59. Ferreira KJ, Lee BHA, Simchi-Levi D. Analytics for an online retailer: Demand forecasting and price optimization. Manufacturing and Service Operations Management, Dec 2016; 18(1):69-88. Doi: 10.1287/MSOM.2015.0561
60. Baesens B, Bapna R, Marsden JR, Vanthienen J, Zhao JL. Transformational Issues of Big Data and Analytics in Networked Business. MIS Quarterly, Apr 2016; 40(4):807-818. Doi: 10.25300/MISQ/2016/40:4.03
61. Ke G, Xu Z, Zhang J, Bian J, Liu TY. DeepGBM: A deep learning framework distilled by GBDT for online prediction tasks. Proceedings of the ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, Jul 2019, 384-394. Doi: 10.1145/3292500.3330858
62. Xu X, *et al*. MSCS-DeepLN: Evaluating lung nodule malignancy using multi-scale cost-sensitive neural networks. Med Image Anal, Oct 2020; 65. Doi: 10.1016/J.MEDIA.2020.101772
63. Wiese M, Knobloch R, Korn R, Kretschmer P. Quant GANs: Deep generation of financial time series. Quant Finance, Sep 2020; 20(9):1419-1440. Doi: 10.1080/14697688.2020.1730426
64. Teece DJ. Business models, business strategy and innovation. Long Range Plann, Apr 2010; 43(2-3):172-194. Doi: 10.1016/J.LRP.2009.07.003
65. Orenstein I, Raviv T, Sadan E. Flexible parcel delivery to automated parcel lockers: Models, solution methods and analysis. EURO Journal on Transportation and Logistics, Dec 2019; 8(5):683-711. Doi: 10.1007/S13676-019-00144-7
66. Gupta J, Gregoriou A. Impact of market-based finance on SMEs failure. Econ Model, Jan 2018; 69:13-25. Doi: 10.1016/J.ECONMOD.2017.09.004
67. Guo X, Zhao Q, Zheng D, Ning Y, Gao Y. A short-term load forecasting model of multi-scale CNN-LSTM hybrid neural network considering the real-time electricity price. Energy Reports, Dec 2020; 6:1046-1053. Doi: 10.1016/j.egyr.2020.11.078
68. Witschel D, Döhla A, Kaiser M, Voigt KI, Pfletschinger T. Riding on the wave of digitization: Insights how and under what settings dynamic capabilities facilitate digital-driven business model change. Journal of Business Economics, Dec 2019; 89(8-9):1023-1095. Doi: 10.1007/S11573-019-00950-5
69. Fargnoli M, Haber N. Big data analytics in supply chain management: A comprehensive review and future research directions. Comput Ind Eng, Jan 2019; 128:851-863. Doi: 10.1016/j.cie.2018.10.042
70. Tiwari S, Wee HM, Daryanto Y. Big data analytics in supply chain management between 2010 and 2016: Insights to industries. Comput Ind Eng, Jan 2018; 115:319-330. Doi: 10.1016/j.cie.2017.11.017
71. See-To EWK, Ngai EWT. Customer reviews for demand distribution and sales nowcasting: A big data approach. Ann Oper Res. Nov 2018; 270(1-2):415-431. Doi: 10.1007/S10479-016-2296-Z
72. Sestino A, Prete MI, Piper L, Guido G. Internet of Things and Big Data as enablers for business digitalization strategies. Technovation, Dec 2020; 98. Doi: 10.1016/J.TECHNOVATION.2020.102173
73. Baesens B, Bapna R, Marsden JR, Vanthienen J, Zhao JL. Transformational issues of big data and analytics in networked business. MIS Quarterly, Dec 2016; 40(4):807-818. Doi: 10.25300/misq/2016/40:4.03
74. Omisola JO, Etukudoh EA, Okenwa OK, Tokunbo GI. Innovating Project Delivery and Piping Design for Sustainability in the Oil and Gas Industry: A Conceptual Framework. Perception. 2020; 24:28-35.
75. Mgbame CA, Akpe OE, Abayomi AA, Ogbuefi E, Adeyelu OO. Barriers and Enablers of Healthcare Analytics Tool Implementation in Underserved Healthcare Communities. Healthcare Analytics. 2020; 45(45)SP:45-45 [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708221
76. Chen YFYWL. Big data driven sustainable development of FMCG industry. J Clean Prod. 2020; 273.
77. Fan J, Han F, Liu H. Challenges of Big Data analysis. Natl Sci Rev, Jun 2014; 1(2):293-314. Doi: 10.1093/NSR/NWT032
78. Ashiedu BI, Ogbuefi E, Nwabekee S, Ogeawuchi JC, Abayomi AA. Developing Financial Due Diligence Frameworks for Mergers and Acquisitions in Emerging Telecom Markets. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals. 2020; 4(1):183-196 [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708562
79. Omisola JO, Shiyanbola JO, Osho GO. A Systems-Based Framework for ISO 9000 Compliance: Applying Statistical Quality Control and Continuous Improvement Tools in US Manufacturing. Unknown Journal, 2020.
80. Witkowski K. Internet of Things, Big Data, Industry 4.0

- Innovative Solutions in Logistics and Supply Chains Management. Procedia Eng. 2017; 182:763-769. Doi: 10.1016/j.proeng.2017.03.197
81. Ioannidis JPA. Informed Consent, Big Data, and the Oxymoron of Research That is Not Research. American Journal of Bioethics, Apr 2013; 13(4):40-42. Doi: 10.1080/15265161.2013.768864
82. Burkell JA. Remembering me: Big data, individual identity, and the psychological necessity of forgetting. Ethics Inf Technol, Mar 2016; 18(1):17-23. Doi: 10.1007/S10676-016-9393-1
83. Barocas S, Nissenbaum H. Big data's end run around procedural privacy protections. Commun ACM, Nov 2014; 57(11):31-33. Doi: 10.1145/2668897
84. Garrido-Labrador JL, Puente-Gabarri D, Ramírez-Sanz JM, Ayala-Dulanto D, Maudes J. Using ensembles for accurate modelling of manufacturing processes in an IoT data-acquisition solution. Applied Sciences (Switzerland), Jul 2020; 10(13). Doi: 10.3390/APP10134606
85. Bar-Sinai M, Sweeney L, Crosas M. DataTags, Data Handling Policy Spaces and the Tags Language. Proceedings - 2016 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy Workshops, SPW 2016, Aug 2016, 1-8. Doi: 10.1109/SPW.2016.11
86. Hartmann PM, Zaki M, Feldmann N, Neely A. Capturing value from big data-a taxonomy of data-driven business models used by start-up firms. International Journal of Operations & Production Management. 2016; 36(10):1382-1406. Doi: 10.1108/ijopm-02-2014-0098
87. Park YR, *et al*. Utilization of a clinical trial management system for the whole clinical trial process as an integrated database: System development. J Med Internet Res, Apr 2018; 20(4), Doi: 10.2196/JMIR.9312
88. Ilufoye H, Akinrinoye OV, Okolo CH. A strategic product innovation model for launching digital lending solutions in financial technology. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation. 2020; 1(3):93-99.
89. Nwani S, Abiola-Adams O, Otokiti BO, Ogeawuchi JC. Building Operational Readiness Assessment Models for Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises Seeking Government-Backed Financing. Journal of Frontiers in Multidisciplinary Research. 2020; 1(1):38-43.
90. Afolabi M, Onukogu OA, Igunma TO, Adeleke AK. Advances in Process Safety and Hazard Mitigation in Chlorination and Disinfection Units of Water Treatment Plants. [Journal Not Specified], 2020.
91. Omisola JO, Etukudoh EA, Okenwa OK, Olugbemi GIT, Ogu E. Geomechanical Modeling for Safe and Efficient Horizontal Well Placement Analysis of Stress Distribution and Rock Mechanics to Optimize Well Placement and Minimize Drilling. Unknown Journal, 2020.
92. Osho GO. Decentralized Autonomous Organizations (DAOs): A Conceptual Model for Community-Owned Banking and Financial Governance. Unknown Journal, 2020.
93. Omisola JO, Etukudoh EA, Okenwa OK, Tokunbo GI. Geosteering Real-Time Geosteering Optimization Using Deep Learning Algorithms Integration of Deep Reinforcement Learning in Real-time Well Trajectory Adjustment to Maximize. Unknown Journal, 2020.
94. Gbenle TP, Ogeawuchi JC, Abayomi AA, Agboola OA, Uzoka AC. Advances in Cloud Infrastructure Deployment Using AWS Services for Small and Medium Enterprises. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals. 2020; 3(11):365-381 [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708522
95. Akpe OE, Ogeawuchi JC, Abayomi AA, Agboola OA, Ogbuefi E. A Conceptual Framework for Strategic Business Planning in Digitally Transformed Organizations. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals. 2020; 4(4):207-222 [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708525
96. Gbenle TP, Ogeawuchi JC, Abayomi AA, Agboola OA, Uzoka AC. Advances in Cloud Infrastructure Deployment Using AWS Services for Small and Medium Enterprises. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals. 2020; 3(11):365-381 [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708522
97. Mgbame AC, Akpe OE, Abayomi AA, Ogbuefi E, Adeyelu OO. Barriers and enablers of BI tool implementation in underserved SME communities. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals. 2020; 3(7):211-220 [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708221
98. Akpe OE, Ogeawuchi JC, Abayomi AA, Agboola OA, Ogbuefi E. A Conceptual Framework for Strategic Business Planning in Digitally Transformed Organizations. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals. 2020; 4(4):207-222 [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708525
99. Strohmeier S. Smart HRM-a Delphi study on the application and consequences of the Internet of Things in Human Resource Management. International Journal of Human Resource Management, Oct 2020; 31(18):2289-2318. Doi: 10.1080/09585192.2018.1443963;WGROUP:STRING: PUBLICATION
100. Dano UL, Balogun AL, Abubakar IR, Aina YA. Transformative urban governance: Confronting urbanization challenges with geospatial technologies in Lagos, Nigeria. GeoJournal, Aug 2020; 85(4):1039-1056. Doi: 10.1007/S10708-019-10009-1/TABLES/3
101. Auraaen A, Saar, NK-OH K. Working, and undefined. System governance towards improved patient safety: Key functions, approaches and pathways to implementation, 2020. search.proquest.com, Accessed: Jun. 05, 2018. [Online]. Available: https://search.proquest.com/openview/5104f61a86e92429b8b5e8358e71de55/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=54484
102. Ilufoye H, Akinrinoye OV, Okolo CH. A conceptual model for sustainable profit and loss management in large-scale online retail. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation. 2020; 1(3):107-113.
103. Ilufoye H, Akinrinoye OV, Okolo CH. A Scalable Infrastructure Model for Digital Corporate Social Responsibility in Underserved School Systems. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation. 2020; 1(3):100-106.
104. Afolabi M, Onukogu OA, Igunma TO, Nwokediegwu ZQS. Systematic review of coagulation-flocculation kinetics and optimization in municipal water purification units. IRE J. 2020; 6(10):1-12.

105. Omisola JO, Chima PE, Okenwa OK, Tokunbo GI. Green Financing and Investment Trends in Sustainable LNG Projects A Comprehensive Review. Unknown Journal, 2020.
106. Omisola JO, Shiyanbola JO, Osho GO. A Predictive Quality Assurance Model Using Lean Six Sigma: Integrating FMEA, SPC, and Root Cause Analysis for Zero-Defect Production Systems. Unknown Journal, 2020.