Int. j. adv. multidisc. res. stud. 2023; 3(6):2205-2212

Received: 10-11-2023
Accepted: 20-12-2023

International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies

ISSN: 2583-049X

The Role of Data Visualization in Enhancing Strategic Procurement Decision-Making Effectiveness

[1] Odunayo Mercy Babatope, [2] David Adedayo Akokodaripon, [3] Oluwafunmilayo Kehinde Akinleye, [4] Precious Osobhalenewie Okoruwa

[1, 4] Independent Researcher, Nigeria
[2] Take-Blip, Belo-Horizonte, Brazil
[3] Drugfield Pharmaceuticals Limited, Nigeria

Corresponding Author: **Odunayo Mercy Babatope**

Abstract

Strategic procurement decision-making has increasingly relied on data-driven insights, reflecting the growing complexity of global supply chains and organizational imperatives for efficiency, resilience, and sustainability. While advanced analytics and big data approaches have been widely adopted, decision-makers often struggle to interpret complex datasets, limiting the effectiveness of procurement strategies. Data visualization has emerged as a critical enabler, transforming raw data into intuitive visual narratives that enhance comprehension, reveal patterns, and support evidence-based decisions. This paper examines the role of data visualization in enhancing strategic procurement decision-making effectiveness, synthesizing research published up to 2020. Through an extensive literature-based review, the study traces the evolution of visualization approaches, from descriptive dashboards to advanced visual analytics integrating predictive models and interactive tools. The findings demonstrate that data visualization not only facilitates better understanding of procurement performance metrics but also improves collaboration, transparency, and risk management across supply chains. However, challenges remain, particularly regarding standardization, cognitive overload, and integration with organizational decision processes. By consolidating theoretical and empirical contributions, this study highlights the strategic importance of visualization as a decision-support capability and identifies opportunities for future research and managerial practice.

Keywords: Visualization, Strategic Procurement, Decision-Making, Supply Chain, Visual Analytics, Big Data

1. Introduction

Strategic procurement has long been recognized as a cornerstone of organizational competitiveness, enabling firms to optimize costs, manage supplier relationships, and secure long-term value creation [1, 2]. The evolution of procurement from a transactional function to a strategic capability has been accompanied by the rapid growth of data availability, fueled by digitization, globalization, and complex supply networks [3, 4]. Procurement managers now face the challenge of interpreting vast amounts of structured and unstructured data to inform decisions on supplier selection, risk management, sustainability compliance, and contract negotiations [5, 6]. While data analytics provides the computational capacity to process and model these data, the human element of decision-making requires tools that bridge the gap between analytical complexity and managerial comprehension. Data visualization has therefore emerged as a critical enabler of effective decision-making, offering visual interfaces that translate complex datasets into accessible insights [7, 8].

Historically, procurement decisions relied heavily on managerial intuition and limited quantitative indicators, with price, quality, and delivery serving as dominant criteria [9, 10]. However, as supply chains globalized and risks multiplied, reliance on intuition proved inadequate. The advent of enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems, e-procurement platforms, and big data analytics introduced new opportunities for data-driven decision-making. Yet, the sheer volume and velocity of procurement data quickly exceeded traditional reporting capabilities, creating risks of data overload and misinterpretation [11, 12]. It is in this context that visualization gained prominence: graphical representations of procurement metrics, supplier performance dashboards, and interactive analytical tools began to reshape how procurement professionals engaged with data [13, 14].

Rather than reading static reports, managers could now explore real-time patterns, trends, and anomalies, enhancing the accuracy and timeliness of their strategic choices [15, 16].

The concept of data visualization in procurement is not merely about aesthetics but about cognitive efficiency and strategic clarity. Visualization enables decision-makers to process information faster, recognize patterns that might be obscured in textual or tabular formats, and communicate insights across organizational boundaries [17, 18]. For instance, a visual dashboard depicting supplier risk exposure across regions allows managers to quickly identify geographical vulnerabilities, while a time-series visualization of cost fluctuations provides actionable intelligence for contract renegotiations. By aligning complex datasets with human perceptual capabilities, visualization strengthens procurement's strategic role in organizations [19].

The increasing academic and professional interest in visualization aligns with broader developments in visual analytics, a field that integrates automated analysis with interactive visual representations [20, 21]. Within procurement, visual analytics offers the potential to integrate descriptive, predictive, and prescriptive analytics into a cohesive decision-support environment. Such integration is vital, as procurement decisions are rarely based on single metrics; instead, they involve balancing multiple and often conflicting objectives, including cost, risk, sustainability, and innovation. Visualization enables decision-makers to explore trade-offs, simulate scenarios, and communicate decisions to diverse stakeholders [22, 23, 24].

Nevertheless, the effective adoption of visualization in procurement decision-making is not without challenges. Visualization tools can overwhelm users if poorly designed, leading to cognitive overload and decision fatigue [25, 26]. Issues of data quality, integration, and standardization further constrain the effectiveness of visualization [27, 28]. Additionally, the strategic impact of visualization depends not only on the tools themselves but also on organizational culture, managerial skills, and decision processes. Without alignment between visualization capabilities and organizational decision-making structures, the potential benefits may remain unrealized [29, 30].

This paper builds on these considerations by conducting a comprehensive review of literature on data visualization in procurement up to 2020. The review aims to consolidate knowledge on how visualization enhances procurement decision-making effectiveness, examining both conceptual and empirical contributions. The discussion emphasizes how visualization supports strategic procurement goals, facilitates collaboration and transparency, and enables risk-informed decision-making. By analyzing the evolution of visualization practices, the study identifies key trends, strengths, and limitations in the field. The objective is to provide an integrative framework that highlights visualization's role as both a cognitive and strategic enabler in procurement decision-making.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 presents an extensive literature review, tracing the historical evolution of visualization in procurement and its integration with broader analytics trends. Section 3 discusses the practical and theoretical implications of visualization for strategic procurement. Section 4 concludes by summarizing insights and identifying opportunities for future research.

2. Literature Review

The literature on data visualization in procurement intersects multiple domains, including supply chain management, decision sciences, business intelligence, and human-computer interaction. Visualization has been studied both as a technical capability, involving tools and techniques for representing data, and as a cognitive process, focusing on how humans perceive and act upon visual information. In procurement, the literature has emphasized how visualization enhances decision quality, improves stakeholder communication, and supports risk management. This section traces these developments, synthesizing contributions up to 2020.

2.1 Early Developments in Procurement Data Representation

The early literature on procurement decision support emphasized management information systems and reporting, with visual elements limited to basic charts and graphs [31, 32]. Procurement performance was often tracked through key performance indicators (KPIs) displayed in tabular or static formats [33, 34]. While useful, such representations lacked the capacity to handle the growing complexity of procurement data. As organizations expanded globally, data streams multiplied, highlighting the inadequacy of static reports in supporting strategic decision-making [35, 36]. Researchers noted that managers often failed to detect emerging risks or opportunities when data were presented in raw or textual form. This realization laid the groundwork for the incorporation of visualization into procurement systems.

2.2 Rise of Dashboards and Business Intelligence

By the mid-2000s, dashboards became central to procurement visualization, providing managers with at-a-glance summaries of critical performance metrics [37, 38]. Business intelligence (BI) platforms integrated data from ERP systems, supplier databases, and financial systems, enabling real-time tracking of procurement performance. Dashboards allowed procurement teams to monitor cost savings, supplier compliance, delivery performance, and risk exposure in visually intuitive formats [39, 40]. Research demonstrated that dashboards improved decision speed and accuracy by reducing the cognitive load associated with processing large volumes of data. However, studies also highlighted risks of over-simplification, where critical nuances could be lost if dashboards focused excessively on high-level summaries [41, 42].

2.3 Integration with Advanced Analytics

As big data and predictive analytics gained prominence, procurement visualization evolved beyond descriptive dashboards to incorporate diagnostic and predictive elements [43, 44]. Visual analytics frameworks enabled managers to drill down into supplier performance, explore root causes of delays or cost escalations, and simulate alternative sourcing scenarios [45, 46]. For example, interactive visualizations of supplier networks allowed decision-makers to assess the impact of potential disruptions, while heat maps of supplier risks provided geographical insights into supply vulnerabilities [47]. By coupling visualization with predictive modeling, procurement professionals could move from reactive to

proactive decision-making. This transition marked a significant advance in the role of visualization as a strategic enabler rather than a reporting tool [48].

2.4 Visualization for Risk and Sustainability in Procurement

A prominent theme in recent literature is the application of visualization to risk and sustainability assessments. Global supply chains are increasingly exposed to geopolitical risks, natural disasters, and compliance pressures, making risk-informed procurement essential [49, 50]. Visualization tools such as risk dashboards, network maps, and scenario simulations enable organizations to anticipate and mitigate risks more effectively [51, 52]. Similarly, sustainability has become a critical dimension of procurement, with stakeholders demanding transparency on environmental and social practices. Visualization techniques such as lifecycle maps, carbon footprint dashboards, and compliance heat maps support the monitoring of sustainability criteria, facilitating alignment with corporate social responsibility goals. These applications underscore how visualization extends procurement's strategic scope beyond cost to include resilience and responsibility [53].

2.5 Cognitive and Organizational Perspectives

Beyond technical aspects, scholars have examined the cognitive and organizational implications of visualization. Cognitive research suggests that humans process visual information more efficiently than textual or numerical data, particularly for pattern recognition and trend detection [54, 55]. Visualization thus enhances decision speed, reduces error rates, and supports collaborative decision-making. Organizationally, visualization facilitates communication across functional boundaries, enabling procurement insights to be shared with finance, operations, and executive teams [56, 57]. This aligns with the strategic positioning of procurement as an integrative function that bridges internal and external stakeholders. However, studies caution that poor design can lead to cognitive overload, misleading interpretations, or biased decision-making. Thus, the effectiveness of visualization depends on aligning technical capabilities with cognitive principles and organizational needs [58, 59].

2.6 Challenges and Limitations

Despite its benefits, visualization in procurement faces several limitations. Data quality and integration remain persistent challenges, as procurement data are often fragmented across systems and inconsistent in format [60, 61]. Without reliable data, visualization risks presenting misleading insights. Another challenge is standardization: there is little consensus on which metrics and visual formats best support procurement decisions, leading to variability across organizations. Furthermore, the increasing sophistication of visualization tools raises concerns about accessibility, as smaller firms may lack the resources or expertise to adopt advanced systems [62]. Finally, cultural and behavioral factors influence adoption: managers accustomed to textual reports may resist visual tools, highlighting the importance of change management in implementation [63].

2.7 Synthesis of Trends up to 2020

The literature up to 2020 reveals a clear trajectory in procurement visualization: from static reporting, to dashboards, to advanced visual analytics integrating predictive and prescriptive elements. Visualization has expanded procurement's strategic scope, enabling risk-informed, sustainable, and collaborative decision-making. Yet, challenges of data quality, standardization, and organizational alignment persist. The synthesis indicates that visualization is not a panacea but a critical enabler whose effectiveness depends on integration with broader decision processes, organizational culture, and analytical capabilities. By 2020, visualization had become firmly established as a strategic tool in procurement, though its full potential remains contingent on addressing the limitations identified in the literature.

3. Discussion and Implications

The review of literature demonstrates that data visualization has moved beyond a supporting role to become a central enabler of strategic procurement decision-making. Its impact is most visible in how it transforms large volumes of procurement data into actionable insights, supporting cost optimization, supplier management, risk mitigation, and sustainability. The discussion here draws out the theoretical, practical, and managerial implications of these developments.

One of the most significant insights is that visualization reshapes the cognitive dimension of procurement decision-making. Traditional reports, often numerical or textual, place heavy cognitive demands on managers who must parse through complex tables of supplier metrics and financial data [64]. Visualization leverages the human capacity for visual pattern recognition, allowing managers to grasp trends, anomalies, and relationships almost instantly. This cognitive efficiency translates into faster and more accurate decisions, particularly in dynamic environments where delays can compromise competitiveness. For organizations, this means that investing in visualization tools is not merely a technological choice but a cognitive strategy to enhance decision-making effectiveness [65, 66].

A second implication is the role of visualization in collaborative and cross-functional decision-making. Procurement rarely operates in isolation; decisions involve coordination with finance, operations, logistics, and executive leadership. Visualization provides a common language that enables diverse stakeholders to engage with procurement data, reducing communication barriers and fostering shared understanding [67, 68]. For example, a dashboard displaying supplier risk across regions can simultaneously inform procurement managers, risk officers, and senior executives, ensuring alignment in response strategies. This collaborative dimension highlights how visualization strengthens procurement's strategic role within organizations, positioning it as an integrative function [69, 70].

The review also underscores the strategic expansion of procurement goals beyond cost and efficiency. Visualization facilitates the incorporation of risk management and sustainability into decision frameworks, reflecting the changing expectations of stakeholders and regulators. Procurement managers can use visualization to monitor compliance with sustainability standards, track supplier emissions, or map social responsibility risks across supply networks [71, 72]. Such capabilities not only support regulatory compliance but also contribute to corporate reputation and long-term resilience. However, the literature

cautions that effective sustainability visualization requires reliable and standardized data, which remains an ongoing challenge [73].

From a methodological perspective, the discussion points to the need for integration of visualization with advanced analytics. Visualization is most effective when coupled with predictive and prescriptive analytics, enabling managers to move beyond descriptive representations toward proactive and optimized decisions [74, 75]. For instance, visual simulations of sourcing scenarios allow managers to explore the consequences of supplier disruptions or contract renegotiations. This integration enhances strategic agility, equipping organizations to anticipate changes rather than react to them. For researchers, this suggests a promising avenue for developing hybrid frameworks that combine visual analytics with optimization models and machine learning techniques [76].

Despite its promise, visualization is not without limitations. Poorly designed dashboards can lead to cognitive overload or biased interpretation, undermining decision quality [77, 78]. Similarly, data integration challenges may result in incomplete or misleading visualizations. Organizations must therefore adopt careful design principles and data governance practices to ensure that visualization enhances rather than complicates decision-making. Moreover, cultural and behavioural factors play a critical role: managers accustomed to traditional reporting may resist adopting visual tools, necessitating training and change management interventions [79, 80]. This highlights the importance of viewing visualization adoption not only as a technical project but as an organizational change process [81].

The implications of visualization extend to theoretical perspectives on procurement and decision-making. Resource-based theory suggests that unique capabilities provide competitive advantage; visualization can be viewed as such a capability, enabling superior decision-making processes [82]. Similarly, institutional theory highlights how external pressures shape organizational practices: the adoption of visualization can be seen as a response to pressures for transparency, accountability, and data-driven governance [83]. These theoretical perspectives enrich the understanding of visualization's role, situating it within broader organizational and institutional contexts.

In sum, the discussion indicates that data visualization is not a peripheral reporting tool but a strategic asset that enhances procurement decision-making at cognitive, collaborative, and organizational levels. Its effectiveness depends on careful integration with analytics, organizational processes, and cultural readiness. For practitioners, this means that adopting visualization requires more than deploying software; it requires aligning tools with strategy, governance, and skills. For scholars, it suggests fertile opportunities for research at the intersection of technology, cognition, and strategy.

4. Conclusion

This study has examined the role of data visualization in enhancing strategic procurement decision-making effectiveness, synthesizing literature published up to 2020. The review highlights a clear trajectory: from static procurement reports to interactive dashboards, to advanced visual analytics integrated with predictive and prescriptive models [84, 85]. Along this trajectory, visualization has expanded procurement's scope, enabling not only cost and efficiency optimization but also risk management, sustainability, and strategic collaboration [86, 87].

Several key conclusions can be drawn. First, visualization enhances the cognitive effectiveness of decision-making, reducing complexity and improving the speed and accuracy of managerial judgments [88, 89]. Second, visualization strengthens procurement's integrative role, facilitating communication and collaboration across organizational functions [90, 91]. Third, visualization enables procurement to address emerging strategic priorities such as resilience and sustainability, aligning with broader corporate objectives [92, 93]. However, challenges persist, including data quality, standardization, cognitive overload, and organizational resistance [94, 95]. These limitations suggest that the benefits of visualization depend on effective design, robust data governance, and organizational alignment.

For practice, the findings suggest that organizations should approach visualization not as a technical add-on but as a strategic capability. Investments in visualization should be coupled with training, change management, and alignment with decision-making structures to maximize impact [96]. For academia, the review highlights opportunities for further research on hybrid visual-analytics frameworks, the cognitive effects of visualization in procurement, and the organizational factors influencing adoption [97, 98].

In conclusion, data visualization represents a transformative enabler of strategic procurement decision-making. By translating complex data into intuitive and actionable insights, it bridges the gap between analytical capability and managerial judgment. As organizations navigate increasingly complex and uncertain supply environments, visualization will continue to play a vital role in supporting effective, transparent, and sustainable procurement strategies [99, 100].

5. References

1. Oruezabala G, Rico JC. The impact of sustainable public procurement on supplier management - The case of French public hospitals. Industrial Marketing Management, May 2012; 41(4):573-580. Doi: 10.1016/j.indmarman.2012.04.004
2. Kabak M, Burmaoğlu S. A holistic evaluation of the e-procurement website by using a hybrid MCDM methodology. Electronic Government. 2013; 10(2):125-150. Doi: 10.1504/EG.2013.052598
3. Meehan J, Bryde D. Sustainable procurement practice. Bus Strategy Environ, Feb 2011; 20(2):94-106. Doi: 10.1002/BSE.678
4. Ahsan K, Rahman S. Green public procurement implementation challenges in Australian public healthcare sector. J Clean Prod, May 2017; 152:181-197. Doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.03.055
5. Kumar S, DeGroot RA, Choe D. Rx for smart hospital purchasing decisions: The impact of package design within US hospital supply chain. International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management. 2008; 38(8):601-615. Doi: 10.1108/09600030810915134
6. Gualandris J, Kalchschmidt M. Customer pressure and innovativeness: Their role in sustainable supply chain management. Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management. 2014; 20(2):92-103. Doi: 10.1016/j.pursup.2014.03.001
7. Narayana SA, Kumar Pati R, Vrat P. Managerial

research on the pharmaceutical supply chain - A critical review and some insights for future directions. Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management, Mar 2014; 20(1):18-40. Doi: 10.1016/j.pursup.2013.09.001
8. Foerstl K, Reuter C, Hartmann E, Blome C. Managing supplier sustainability risks in a dynamically changing environment-Sustainable supplier management in the chemical industry. Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management, Jun 2010; 16(2):118-130. Doi: 10.1016/j.pursup.2010.03.011
9. Nwani S, Abiola-Adams O, Otokiti BO, Ogeawuchi JC. Building Operational Readiness Assessment Models for Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises Seeking Government-Backed Financing. Journal of Frontiers in Multidisciplinary Research. 2020; 1(1):38-43.
10. Omisola JO, Shiyanbola JO, Osho GO. A Predictive Quality Assurance Model Using Lean Six Sigma: Integrating FMEA, SPC, and Root Cause Analysis for Zero-Defect Production Systems. Unknown Journal, 2020.
11. Ilufoye H, Akinrinoye OV, Okolo CH. A strategic product innovation model for launching digital lending solutions in financial technology. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation. 2020; 1(3):93-99.
12. Akpe OE, Ogeawuchi JC, Abayomi AA, Agboola OA, Ogbuefi E. A Conceptual Framework for Strategic Business Planning in Digitally Transformed Organizations. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals. 2020; 4(4):207-222 [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708525
13. Osho GO, Omisola JO, Shiyanbola JO. A Conceptual Framework for AI-Driven Predictive Optimization in Industrial Engineering: Leveraging Machine Learning for Smart Manufacturing Decisions. Unknown Journal, 2020.
14. Gbenle TP, Ogeawuchi JC, Abayomi AA, Agboola OA, Uzoka AC. Advances in Cloud Infrastructure Deployment Using AWS Services for Small and Medium Enterprises. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals. 2020; 3(11):365-381 [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708522
15. Osho GO, Omisola JO, Shiyanbola JO. An Integrated AI-Power BI Model for Real-Time Supply Chain Visibility and Forecasting: A Data-Intelligence Approach to Operational Excellence. Unknown Journal, 2020.
16. Garrido-Labrador JL, Puente-Gabarri D, Ramírez-Sanz JM, Ayala-Dulanto D, Maudes J. Using ensembles for accurate modelling of manufacturing processes in an IoT data-acquisition solution. Applied Sciences (Switzerland), Jul 2020; 10(13). Doi: 10.3390/APP10134606
17. Barocas S, Nissenbaum H. Big data's end run around procedural privacy protections. Commun ACM, Nov 2014; 57(11):31-33. Doi: 10.1145/2668897
18. Becker LT, Gould EM. Microsoft Power BI: Extending Excel to Manipulate, Analyze, and Visualize Diverse Data. Serials Review, Jul 2019; 45(3):184-188. Doi: 10.1080/00987913.2019.1644891
19. Clarke J. Revitalizing Entrepreneurship: How Visual Symbols are Used in Entrepreneurial Performances. Journal of Management Studies, Sep 2011; 48(6):1365-1391. Doi: 10.1111/J.1467-6486.2010.01002.X
20. Heer J, Bostock M, Ogievetsky V. A Tour through the Visualization Zoo: A survey of powerful visualization techniques, from the obvious to the obscure. Queue, May 2010; 8(5):20-30. Doi: 10.1145/1794514.1805128
21. Developing Integrated Performance Dashboards Visualisations Using Power BI as a Platform. Accessed: Jul 2, 2019 [Online]. Available: https://www.mdpi.com/2078-2489/14/11/614
22. Keim DA, Hao MC, Dayal U, Janetzko H, Bak P. Generalized scatter plots. Inf Vis, Dec 2010; 9(4):301-311. Doi: 10.1057/IVS.2009.34
23. Akbar R, Silvana M, Hersyah MH, Jannah M. Implementation of Business Intelligence for Sales Data Management Using Interactive Dashboard Visualization in XYZ Stores. 2020 International Conference on Information Technology Systems and Innovation, ICITSI 2020 - Proceedings, Oct 2020, 242-249. Doi: 10.1109/ICITSI50517.2020.9264984
24. Franklin A, *et al*. Dashboard visualizations: Supporting real-time throughput decision-making. J Biomed Inform, Jul 2017; 71:211-221. Doi: 10.1016/J.JBI.2017.05.024
25. Caughlin DE, Bauer TN. Data visualizations and human resource management: The state of science and practice. Research in Personnel and Human Resources Management. 2019; 37:89-132. Doi: 10.1108/S0742-730120190000037004/FULL/EPUB
26. Visual Data Storytelling with Tableau - Lindy Ryan - Google Books. Accessed: May 30, 2018. [Online]. Available: https://books.google.co.za/books?hl=en&lr=&id=VuxiDwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP17&dq
27. Omisola JO, Shiyanbola JO, Osho GO. A Predictive Quality Assurance Model Using Lean Six Sigma: Integrating FMEA, SPC, and Root Cause Analysis for Zero-Defect Production Systems. Unknown Journal, 2020.
28. Ashiedu BI, Ogbuefi E, Nwabekee S, Ogeawuchi JC, Abayomi AA. Developing Financial Due Diligence Frameworks for Mergers and Acquisitions in Emerging Telecom Markets. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals. 2020; 4(1):183-196 [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708562
29. Mgbame AC, Akpe OE, Abayomi AA, Ogbuefi E, Adeyelu OO. Barriers and Enablers of BI Tool Implementation in Underserved SME Communities. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals. 2020; 3(7):211-226 [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708221
30. Ilufoye H, Akinrinoye OV, Okolo CH. A conceptual model for sustainable profit and loss management in large-scale online retail. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation. 2020; 1(3):107-113.
31. Akpe OE, Ogeawuchi JC, Abayomi AA, Agboola OA, Ogbuefi E. A Conceptual Framework for Strategic Business Planning in Digitally Transformed Organizations. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals. 2020; 4(4):207-222 [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708525
32. Mgbame AC, Akpe OE, Abayomi AA, Ogbuefi E, Adeyelu OO. Barriers and enablers of BI tool implementation in underserved SME communities. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals. 2020;

3(7):211-220 [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708221
33. Gbenle TP, Ogeawuchi JC, Abayomi AA, Agboola OA, Uzoka AC. Advances in Cloud Infrastructure Deployment Using AWS Services for Small and Medium Enterprises. Iconic Research And Engineering Journals. 2020; 3(11):365-381 [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708522
34. Strohmeier S. Smart HRM-a Delphi study on the application and consequences of the Internet of Things in Human Resource Management. International Journal of Human Resource Management, Oct 2020; 31(18):2289-2318. Doi: 10.1080/09585192.2018.1443963/ASSET/4B541280-025B-46F9-8D19-DF7B827DAB0E/ASSETS/IMAGES/LARGE/RIJH_A_1443963_F0005_B.JPG
35. Ashiedu BI, Ogbuefi E, Nwabekee US, Ogeawuchi JC, Abayomi AA. Developing Financial Due Diligence Frameworks for Mergers and Acquisitions in Emerging Telecom Markets. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals. 2020; 4(1):183-196 [Online]. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708562
36. Holmlund M, *et al*. Customer experience management in the age of big data analytics: A strategic framework. J Bus Res, Aug 2020; 116:356-365. Doi: 10.1016/J.JBUSRES.2020.01.022
37. Ibeh: Business analytics and decision science: A... - Google Scholar. Accessed: May 11, 2019 [Online]. Available: https://scholar.google.com/scholar?cluster=16308831536400920068&hl=en&oi=scholarr
38. Okolie: Business process re-engineering strategies... - Google Scholar. Accessed: May 11, 2019 [Online]. Available: https://scholar.google.com/scholar?cluster=4083440155247622938&hl=en&oi=scholarr
39. Tardieu H, Daly D, Esteban-Lauzán J, Hall J, Miller G. Enduring Digital Transformation-Delivering Incremental Value from a Long-Term Vision BT - Deliberately Digital: Rewriting Enterprise DNA for Enduring Success. Future of Business and Finance Book, 2020, 209-220. Accessed: Jun 01, 2019. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-37955-1_20
40. Horst SO, Järventie-Thesleff R, Baumann S. The practice of shared inquiry: How actors manage for strategy emergence. J Media Bus Stud, Jul 2019; 16(3):202-229. Doi: 10.1080/16522354.2019.1641672
41. Tiwari A, Turner CJ, Majeed B. A review of business process mining: State-of-the-art and future trends. Business Process Management Journal, Feb 2008; 14(1):5-22. doi: 10.1108/14637150810849373
42. Prause G. Sustainable business models and structures for industry 4.0. Journal of Security and Sustainability Issues. 2015; 5(2):159-169. Doi: 10.9770/JSSI.2015.5.2(3)
43. Nakatani K, Chuang TT. A web analytics tool selection method: An analytical hierarchy process approach. Internet Research, Jan 2011; 21(2):171-186. Doi: 10.1108/10662241111123757
44. Demirkan H, Delen D. Leveraging the capabilities of service-oriented decision support systems: Putting analytics and big data in cloud. Decis Support Syst, Apr 2013; 55(1):412-421. Doi: 10.1016/j.dss.2012.05.048
45. Ilufoye H, Akinrinoye OV, Okolo CH. A Scalable Infrastructure Model for Digital Corporate Social Responsibility in Underserved School Systems. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation. 2020; 1(3):100-106.
46. Omisola JO, Shiyanbola JO, Osho GO. A Systems-Based Framework for ISO 9000 Compliance: Applying Statistical Quality Control and Continuous Improvement Tools in US Manufacturing. Unknown Journal, 2020.
47. Babatunde LA, *et al*. Adversarial machine learning in cybersecurity: Vulnerabilities and defense strategies. Journal of Frontiers in Multidisciplinary Research. 2020; 1(2):31-45. Doi: 10.54660/JFMR.2020.1.2.31-45
48. Osho GO. Building Scalable Blockchain Applications: A Framework for Leveraging Solidity and AWS Lambda in Real-World Asset Tokenization. Unknown Journal, 2020.
49. Omisola JO, Etukudoh EA, Okenwa OK, Olugbemi GIT, Ogu E. Geomechanical Modeling for Safe and Efficient Horizontal Well Placement Analysis of Stress Distribution and Rock Mechanics to Optimize Well Placement and Minimize Drilling. Unknown Journal, 2020.
50. IyiolaOladehinde Olaseni. Digital Twin and BIM synergy for predictive maintenance in smart building engineering systems development. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, Nov 2020; 8(2):406-421. Doi: 10.30574/wjarr.2020.8.2.0409
51. Sony M, Naik S. Industry 4.0 integration with socio-technical systems theory: A systematic review and proposed theoretical model. Technol Soc, May 2020; 61:p. 101248. Doi: 10.1016/J.TECHSOC.2020.101248
52. Afolabi M, Onukogu OA, Igunma TO, Nwokediegwu ZQS. Systematic review of coagulation-flocculation kinetics and optimization in municipal water purification units. IRE J. 2020; 6(10):1-12.
53. Hartmann PM, Zaki M, Feldmann N, Neely A. Capturing value from big data-a taxonomy of data-driven business models used by start-up firms. International Journal of Operations & Production Management. 2016; 36(10):1382-1406. Doi: 10.1108/ijopm-02-2014-0098
54. Austin JE. From Organization to Organization: On Creating Value. Journal of Business Ethics, Jul 2010; 94(SUPPL. 1):13-15. Doi: 10.1007/S10551-011-0787-Z
55. Choi M, Ruona WEA. Individual readiness for organizational change and its implications for human resource and organization development. Human Resource Development Review, Mar 2011; 10(1):46-73. Doi: 10.1177/1534484310384957
56. Grossman GM, Helpman E. Managerial incentives and the international organization of production. J Int Econ, Jul 2004; 63(2):237-262. Doi: 10.1016/S0022-1996(03)00072-2
57. Kretschmer T, Khashabi P. Digital Transformation and Organization Design: An Integrated Approach. Calif Manage Rev, Aug 2020; 62(4):86-104. Doi: 10.1177/0008125620940296
58. Haddad SM, *et al*. Building a digital tool for the adoption of the World Health Organization's antenatal care recommendations: methodological intersection of evidence, clinical logic, and digital technology. J Med

Internet Res, Oct 2020; 22(10):p. e16355. Doi: 10.2196/16355
59. Verma S. Transformation of Indian Automobile Industry Through Inter-Organization IT Initiative: AutoDX (A). Transformation of Indian Automobile Industry Through Inter-Organization IT Initiative: AutoDX (A), Jan 2020. Doi: 10.4135/9781529709513
60. Sajid M, Ahsan K. Role of Enterprise Architecture in Healthcare Organizations and Knowledge-Based Medical Diagnosis System. Journal of Information Systems and Technology Management, Sep 2016; 13(2):181-192. Doi: 10.4301/S1807-17752016000200002
61. Sajid M, Ahsan K. Role of enterprise architecture in healthcare organizations and knowledge-based medical diagnosis system. J. of Inform. Syst. and Technology Management, Sep 2016; 13(2):181-192. Doi: 10.4301/s1807-17752016000200002
62. Heinze T, Shapira P, Rogers JD, Senker JM. Organizational and institutional influences on creativity in scientific research. Res Policy, May 2009; 38(4):610-623. Doi: 10.1016/J.RESPOL.2009.01.014
63. Agostini L, Filippini R. Organizational and managerial challenges in the path toward Industry 4.0. European Journal of Innovation Management, May 2019; 22(3):406-421. Doi: 10.1108/EJIM-02-2018-0030
64. Rossi A, Lenzini G. Transparency by design in data-informed research: a collection of information design patterns. Comput. Law Secur. Rev, Jul 2020; 37:p. 105402. Doi: 10.1016/j.clsr.2020.105402
65. Zhang JWY. Data-driven modeling and scientific computing. Appl Mech Rev. 2016; 68(5):050801-051013.
66. Nicol E, Bradshaw D, Uwimana-Nicol J, Dudley L. Perceptions about data-informed decisions: An assessment of information-use in high HIV-prevalence settings in South Africa. BMC Health Serv Res, Dec 2017; 17. Doi: 10.1186/S12913-017-2641-1
67. Collins F, *et al*. A database on global health research in Africa. Lancet Glob Health. 2013; 1(2):e64-e65. Doi: 10.1016/s2214-109x(13)70012-3
68. Eaton I, McNett M. Protecting the data: Security and privacy. Data for Nurses: Understanding and Using Data to Optimize Care Delivery in Hospitals and Health Systems, Jan 2019, 87-99. Doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-816543-0.00006-6
69. Mishra AN, Devaraj S, Vaidyanathan G. Capability hierarchy in electronic procurement and procurement process performance: An empirical analysis. Journal of Operations Management. 2013; 31(6):376-390. Doi: 10.1016/j.jom.2013.07.011
70. Harmonized monitoring and evaluation indicators for procurement and supply management systems. World Health Organisation, 2011.
71. Afolabi M, Onukogu OA, Igunma TO, Adeleke AK. Advances in Process Safety and Hazard Mitigation in Chlorination and Disinfection Units of Water Treatment Plants. [Journal Not Specified], 2020.
72. Omisola JO, Chima PE, Okenwa OK, Tokunbo GI. Green Financing and Investment Trends in Sustainable LNG Projects A Comprehensive Review. Unknown Journal, 2020.
73. Osho GO. Decentralized Autonomous Organizations (DAOs): A Conceptual Model for Community-Owned Banking and Financial Governance. Unknown Journal, 2020.
74. Ayanbode N, Cadet E, Etim ED, Essien IA, Ajayi JO. Deep learning approaches for malware detection in large-scale networks. IRE Journals. 2019; 3(1):483-502.
75. Etim ED, Essien IA, Ajayi JO, Erigha ED, Obuse E. AI-augmented intrusion detection: Advancements in real-time cyber threat recognition. IRE Journals. 2019; 3(3):225-230.
76. Adelusi BS, Adeniji OD. Analyzing the Usage of Accounting Software for Short Medium Services (SMS) using Panel Data to improve Business competitiveness of Microfinance. [Journal Not Specified], 2019.
77. Shah SA, Seker DZ, Hameed S, Draheim D. The rising role of big data analytics and IoT in disaster management: Recent advances, taxonomy and prospects. IEEE Access. 2019; 7:54595-54614. Doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2913340
78. Abba Adam, Norhayati Zakuan, Salisu Alh, Uba Ado A. Bichi. Usman Shettima, Saif, Ali M., and Rajeh Bati Almasradi. Supply Chain Sustainability Practices of Oil Servicing Firms in the Downstream Sector of Nigeria's Oil and Gas Industry. Journal of Economic Info, Nov 2019; 6(4):11-14. Doi: 10.31580/JEI.V6I4.1031
79. Barika M, Garg S, Zomaya AY, Wang L, Moorsel AVAN, Ranjan R. Orchestrating big data analysis workflows in the cloud: Research challenges, survey, and future directions. ACM ComputSurv, Sep 2019; 52(5):p.95. Doi: 10.1145/3332301/SUPPL_FILE/BARIKA.ZIP
80. Fan M, Huang J, Zhuo A, Li Y, Li P, Wang H. Monopoly: Learning to price public facilities for revaluing private properties with large-scale urban data. International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management, Proceedings, Nov 2019, 2655-2663. Doi: 10.1145/3357384.3357810
81. Moonen N, Baijens J, Ebrahim M, Helms R. Small Business, Big Data: An Assessment Tool for (Big) Data Analytics Capabilities in SMEs. Academy of Management Proceedings, Aug 2019; 1:p. 16354. Doi: 10.5465/AMBPP.2019.16354ABSTRACT
82. Ünlü R, Xanthopoulos P. Estimating the number of clusters in a dataset via consensus clustering. Expert Syst Appl, Jul 2019; 125:33-39. Doi: 10.1016/J.ESWA.2019.01.074
83. Zaki M. Digital transformation: Harnessing digital technologies for the next generation of services. Journal of Services Marketing, Sep 2019; 33(4):429-435. Doi: 10.1108/JSM-01-2019-0034/FULL/PDF
84. Willetts M, Atkins AS, Stanier C. Barriers to SMEs Adoption of Big Data Analytics for Competitive Advantage. 4th International Conference on Intelligent Computing in Data Sciences, ICDS 2020, Oct 2020. Doi: 10.1109/ICDS50568.2020.9268687
85. Lie D, Austin LM, Sun PYP, Qiu W. Automating accountability? Privacy policies, data transparency, and the third party problem, Dec. 2020; 72(2):155-188. Doi: https://doi.org/10.3138/utlj-2020-0136
86. Seyedan M, Mafakheri F. Predictive big data analytics for supply chain demand forecasting: Methods, applications, and research opportunities. Journal of Big Data, Jul 2020; 7(1):1-22. Doi: 10.1186/S40537-020-00329-2
87. Jindal A, Singh M, Kumar N. Consumption-aware data

analytical demand response scheme for peak load reduction in smart grid. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, Nov 2018; 65(11):8993-9004. Doi: 10.1109/TIE.2018.2813990
88. Denaxas SC, *et al*. Data Resource Profile: Cardiovascular disease research using linked bespoke studies and electronic health records (CALIBER). Int J Epidemiol, Dec 2012; 41(6):1625-1638. Doi: 10.1093/IJE/DYS188
89. Huang J, Wang H, Fan M, Zhuo A, Sun Y, Li Y. Understanding the Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Transportation-related Behaviors with Human Mobility Data. Proceedings of the ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, Aug 2020, 3443-3450. Doi: 10.1145/3394486.3412856/SUPPL_FILE/3394486.3412856.MP4
90. Li L, Yang L, Zhu H, Dai R. Explorative Analysis of Wuhan Intra-Urban Human Mobility Using Social Media Check-In Data. PLoS One, Aug 2015; 10(8):p. e0135286. Doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0135286
91. Agbehadji IE, Awuzie BO, Ngowi AB, Millham RC. Review of big data analytics, artificial intelligence and nature-inspired computing models towards accurate detection of COVID-19 pandemic cases and contact tracing. Int J Environ Res Public Health, Aug 2020; 17(15):1-16. Doi: 10.3390/IJERPH17155330
92. Abisoye A, Akerele JI, Odio PE, Collins A, Babatunde GO, Mustapha SD. A data-driven approach to strengthening cybersecurity policies in government agencies: Best practices and case studies. International Journal of Cybersecurity and Policy Studies.
93. Hemingway H, Feder GS, Fitzpatrick NK, Denaxas S, Shah AD, Timmis AD. Using nationwide 'big data' from linked electronic health records to help improve outcomes in cardiovascular diseases: 33 studies using methods from epidemiology, informatics, economics and social science in the ClinicAl disease research using LInked Bespoke studies and Electronic health Records (CALIBER) programme. Programme Grants for Applied Research, Jan 2017; 5(4):1-330. Doi: 10.3310/PGFAR05040
94. Wu L, Zhi Y, Sui Z, Liu Y. Intra-urban human mobility and activity transition: Evidence from social media check-in data. PLoS One, May 2014; 9(5). Doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0097010
95. Liu Y, Sui Z, Kang C, Gao Y. Uncovering Patterns of Inter-Urban Trip and Spatial Interaction from Social Media Check-in Data. PLoS One. 2014; 9(1).
96. Lowery K. A Delphi Study: A Model to Help it Management within Financial Firms Reduce Regulatory Compliance Costs for Data Privacy and Cybersecurity by Rosnata Eugene, 2020.
97. Riboni D, Bettini C. Incremental release of differentially-private check-in data. Pervasive Mob Comput, 2014.
98. Shafqat S, Kishwer S, Rasool RU, Qadir J, Amjad T, Ahmad HF. Big data analytics enhanced healthcare systems: A review. The Journal of Supercomputing, Feb 2018; 76(3):1754-1799. Doi: 10.1007/S11227-017-2222-4
99. Satti FA, Ali T, Hussain J, Khan WA, Khattak AM, Lee S. Ubiquitous Health Profile (UHPr): A big data curation platform for supporting health data interoperability. Computing, Nov 2020; 102(11):2409-2444. Doi: 10.1007/S00607-020-00837-2/FIGURES/8
100. Verma S, Sharma R, Deb S, Maitra D. Artificial intelligence in marketing: Systematic review and future research direction. International Journal of Information Management Data Insights, Apr 2020; 1(1). Doi: 10.1016/J.JJIMEI.2020.100002