



Received: 05-09-2025
Accepted: 15-10-2025

International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies

ISSN: 2583-049X

Accountability Mechanisms in Nigerian Christian NGOs

¹ Meroyi Emmanuel Olayinka, ² Elemide Abiodun Emmanuel, ³ Adebambo Adeleke Johnson, ⁴ Akintayo Ismail Adeola, ⁵ Ogunbade Simeon Abolade

^{1, 2, 3, 4, 5} ANAN University Business School, Nigeria

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.62225/2583049X.2025.5.5.133>

Corresponding Author: Meroyi Emmanuel Olayinka

Abstract

Accountability has become a defining issue in the governance of non-governmental organizations (NGOs) globally, particularly in developing countries like Nigeria where transparency and integrity are recurrent challenges. Christian NGOs play critical roles in health, education, and social development, but their legitimacy depends on their accountability to donors, government regulators, church leadership, and communities. This paper examines accountability mechanisms within Nigerian Christian NGOs through the lens of governance theory and faith-based ethics. It draws on Nigerian and African scholarship to

analyze legal, financial, moral, and programmatic accountability structures. Findings indicate that while mechanisms such as audits, board oversight, and donor controls exist, their implementation is hindered by weak regulation, leadership dominance, and limited community engagement. The paper concludes that effective accountability in Christian NGOs requires professionalization, participatory governance, and a balanced integration of spiritual and managerial accountability.

Keywords: Accountability, Christian NGOs, Governance, Nigeria, Donor Influence, Transparency

Introduction

Accountability lies at the heart of good governance and organizational integrity. Within Nigeria's rapidly expanding non-profit sector, Christian Non-Governmental Organizations occupy a unique position, combining social service delivery with faith-based mandates. Their work spans areas such as poverty alleviation, healthcare, education, and humanitarian relief (Adedibu, 2012) ^[1].

However, public confidence in NGOs is often undermined by perceived opacity and weak regulatory enforcement. Unlike profit-making entities, NGOs depend on public trust and donor funding, making accountability essential for survival (Gberevbie, 2014) ^[7]. Nigerian Christian NGOs, in particular, operate under dual accountability systems, viz formal regulatory obligations and spiritual/moral expectations grounded in Christian ethics (Adogame, 2010) ^[2].

This paper examines how these mechanisms interact, highlighting challenges that emerge when religious authority intersects with institutional governance.

Literature Review

Okike (2007) ^[13] and Appah (2010) ^[4] highlight weak enforcement of statutory reporting under the Companies and Allied Matters Act (CAMA), resulting in poor compliance with audit and financial disclosure obligations. Similarly, Ewuim (2012) ^[6] notes that many NGOs prioritize upward accountability to donors over downward accountability to beneficiaries.

Moyo (2009) ^[10] and Mawere (2014) ^[9] argue that NGO accountability in Africa is often shaped by donor dependency, creating asymmetrical power relations where local organizations serve donor interests rather than community needs.

Within the Christian NGO context, Adogame (2010) ^[2] and Adedibu (2012) ^[1] point out that accountability carries spiritual meaning—leaders perceive themselves as stewards before God rather than mere managers. This faith-based orientation enhances moral responsibility but can weaken formal oversight, especially when leaders resist scrutiny under the guise of spiritual authority (Obadare, 2011) ^[11].

Atingdui, 2015 and Kihato, 2001 also show similar dynamics where religious NGOs exhibit strong moral narratives but weak financial governance systems. Thus, accountability in African Christian NGOs is multi-dimensional, legal, financial, spiritual,

and relational.

Scope of the Study

This paper focuses on Christian NGOs operating in Nigeria, with references to broader African experiences for comparative insight. The study limits its scope to faith-based NGOs involved in community development, education, and healthcare delivery. It does not cover purely church ministries or government funded faith institutions.

The analysis relies exclusively on secondary data sources, including published Nigerian and African academic works, legal documents, and policy papers. The temporal focus is from 2000 to 2024, a period marked by the expansion of faith-based NGOs and reform of Nigeria's NGO regulatory framework under the revised CAMA (2020).

Theoretical Framework

This study adopts the Accountability and Contingency Theories to explain the mechanisms of NGO governance.

Accountability Theory

Accountability theory posits that organizations must justify their actions to stakeholders, maintaining transparency and legitimacy (Ebrahim, 2003). Gbervbie (2014) [7] and Ewuim (2012) [6] extend this to NGOs, emphasizing that accountability ensures public trust and donor confidence. In faith-based settings, accountability is broadened to include moral and theological dimensions, reflecting stewardship before God as a central organizational value (Adogame, 2010) [2].

Contingency Theory

The contingency approach argues that organizational structures and controls should adapt to environmental conditions. Applied to Christian NGOs, this implies that accountability systems must reflect both spiritual contexts and regulatory (Okaro & Okafor, 2011; Uadiale, 2010) [12, 15]. Nigerian Christian NGOs, therefore, must balance doctrinal loyalty with professional governance requirements. Together, these theories provide a dual lens for analyzing how accountability mechanisms are designed and constrained within religious NGOs.

Materials and Methods

This research employed a qualitative descriptive design, drawing on documentary analysis of books, journal articles, policy documents, and legal frameworks. Sources were selected based on relevance to NGO governance, Christian organizational management, and accountability studies in Nigeria and sub-Saharan Africa.

Content analysis was used to identify recurring themes like legal frameworks, board governance, donor influence, and moral accountability, consistent with methods used in African governance research (Aiyede, 2003; Moyo, 2009) [3, 10].

Results and Discussion

Legal and financial accountability

The Companies and Allied Matters Act (CAMA, 2020) mandates that all NGOs register as incorporated trustees and file annual returns. However, compliance is inconsistent (Okike, 2007) [13]. Donor-mandated audits have improved transparency in some cases (Appah, 2010) [4], but many

Christian NGOs still engage in symbolic compliance or "box-ticking accountability" (Gbervbie, 2014) [7].

Board Oversight and Leadership Influence

Effective Boards are vital for transparency (Sanda, Mikailu, & Garba, 2005) [14]. Yet, selection in Christian NGOs often favors loyalty and spiritual alignment over professional capacity (Adedibu, 2012) [1]. This reduces critical oversight, particularly when clergy dominate leadership roles (Obadare, 2011) [11]. Larger NGOs with professional board members perform better (Uadiale, 2010) [15].

Programme Accountability and Community Engagement

Programmatic accountability ensures that NGOs deliver real benefits. Aiyede (2003) [3] observes that Nigerian NGOs often adopt top-down project models, limiting community input. Christian NGOs frame success not only in material terms but also moral transformation (Adogame, 2010) [2]. Still, Ewuim (2012) [6] notes that community feedback remains limited, leading to an accountability gap.

Donor-Driven Accountability

Donor conditions—such as performance indicators and audits—shape NGO practices (Adedibu, 2012) [1]. While these improve transparency, they can cause "mission drift," as organizations prioritize funding compliance over faith-based objectives (Moyo, 2009) [10]. Nonetheless, donor monitoring sometimes compensates for weak national regulation (Gbervbie, 2014) [7].

Moral and Spiritual Accountability

Christian NGOs emphasize stewardship before God, making accountability both ethical and theological (Adogame, 2010) [2]. This moral grounding strengthens organizational culture but may weaken formal structures when leaders claim divine authority (Obadare, 2011) [11]. Thus, balancing moral integrity and institutional accountability remains essential.

Challenges and Gaps

Major challenges include weak enforcement of reporting laws, fragmented accountability channels, and personality-driven leadership. These challenges align with the broader African experience where NGO regulation is underdeveloped (Mawere, 2014) [9]. Strengthening accountability therefore requires institutional reform and leadership reorientation.

Conclusion

Accountability mechanisms in Nigerian Christian NGOs are multi-dimensional, involving statutory compliance, board oversight, donor requirements, and moral responsibility. Despite their significance, weak enforcement, inadequate professionalism, and dominance of charismatic leadership undermine effectiveness.

To enhance accountability, NGOs must institutionalize governance systems that combine spiritual stewardship with transparent managerial practices. Accountability should thus be both a faith imperative and a governance necessity.

Recommendations

1. Strengthen enforcement of CAMA (2020) provisions by the Corporate Affairs Commission.

2. Promote board diversity with professional and independent members.
3. Encourage donors to fund governance capacity building.
4. Develop community feedback frameworks to enhance downward accountability.
5. Integrate spiritual and ethical training into NGO management programs.

Acknowledgement

We appreciate the faculty of ANAN University Business School and the scholars whose works provided valuable insights into governance and accountability studies in Nigeria and Africa.

References

1. Adedibu B. Faith-based NGOs and development in Nigeria. Nigerian Institute of Social and Economic Research, 2012.
2. Adogame A. Pentecostalism and development in Nigeria: Towards a public theology perspective. *Exchange*. 2010; 39(4):339-360.
3. Aiyede R. The politics of civil society building in Nigeria. *Review of African Political Economy*. 2003; 30(91):97-111.
4. Appah E. Fraud and development of sound financial institutions in Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Development Studies*. 2010; 9(1):45-56.
5. Atingdui L. Accountability and transparency in faith-based NGOs in Ghana. *African Journal of Public Affairs*. 2015; 8(2):45-60.
6. Ewuim N. Governance and accountability in Nigerian NGOs: An appraisal. *African Journal of Politics and Administrative Studies*. 2012; 5(1):12-27.
7. Gberevbie D. Accountability for sustainable development and the challenges of leadership in Nigeria. *Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa*. 2014; 16(3):15-27.
8. Kihato C. Civil society and accountability in Kenya. *African Journal of Political Science*. 2001; 6(2):23-39.
9. Mawere M. Development, governance and democracy: A critical reflection on NGO practice in Africa. Bamenda: Langaa RPCIG, 2014.
10. Moyo D. Dead aid: Why aid is not working and how there is another way for Africa. London: Penguin Books, 2009.
11. Obadare E. Pentecostal presidency? The Lagos-Ibadan 'theocratic class' & the Muslim ummah. *Review of African Political Economy*. 2011; 38(127):637-648.
12. Okaro SC, Okafor GO. Creative accounting, corporate governance and financial performance: Evidence from Nigeria. *African Research Review*. 2011; 5(4):17-32.
13. Okike E. Corporate governance in Nigeria: The status quo. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. 2007; 15(2):173-193.
14. Sanda A, Mikailu A, Garba T. Corporate governance mechanisms and firm financial performance in Nigeria. African Economic Research Consortium (AERC) Research Paper No. 149, 2005.
15. Uadiale OM. The impact of Board structure on corporate financial performance in Nigeria. *International Journal of Business and Management*. 2010; 5(10):155-166.