Int. j. adv. multidisc. res. stud. 2025; 5(4):1761-1766

Received: 09-07-2025
Accepted: 19-08-2025

International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies

ISSN: 2583-049X

The Impact of Scientific Literacy on Psychological Well-Being: A Critical Analysis

Konstantinos T Kotsis
Lab of Physics Education and Teaching, Department of Primary Education, University of Ioannina, Greece

DOI: https://doi.org/10.62225/2583049X.2025.5.4.4848
Corresponding Author: **Konstantinos T Kotsis**

Abstract
Scientific literacy is widely seen as a multifaceted competence that encompasses not just the understanding of scientific facts but also critical thinking, problem-solving, resilience, and informed decision-making. This research examines the influence of scientific literacy on psychological well-being, emphasizing its role in fostering autonomy, resilience, and flourishing under uncertainty. Recent studies indicate that literacy serves as a protective factor against vulnerability to misinformation, pseudoscience, and conspiracy theories, while concurrently enhancing higher-order thinking skills and self-efficacy. Research shows that scientific literacy correlates positively with critical cognitive processes, evaluative thinking, and the ability to interact with scientific information in daily life. Furthermore, literacy is demonstrated to be crucial for democratic engagement, social change, and civic duty, establishing it as both an individual and communal asset. The study contends that scientific literacy must be developed not merely as an educational objective but as a fundamental element of well-being and citizenship in modern communities, by synthesizing insights from cognitive psychology, science education, and policy research. The discussion encompasses implications for curriculum design, teacher education, and public science communication, highlighting the importance of promoting literacy to achieve individual flourishing and collective resilience.

Keywords: Scientific Literacy, Psychological Well-Being, Critical Thinking, Pseudoscience, Democratic Participation

Introduction

Scientific literacy, defined as the capacity to comprehend, assess, and utilize scientific concepts in daily life, has emerged as a fundamental element for navigating modern societies influenced by swift technical and scientific advancements [1]. It allows individuals to understand intricate information and to assert control in health, environmental, and civic decision-making, and it is directly associated with the enhancement of democratic participation and informed citizenry [2]. Although its cognitive and practical advantages are broadly recognized, its connection to psychological well-being is less distinctly defined.

Psychological well-being, as defined by frameworks like Seligman's PERMA model—focusing on positive emotion, engagement, relationships, meaning, and accomplishment—and Ryff's multidimensional model—emphasizing autonomy, purpose, personal growth, and positive relations—transcends mere absence of distress to include overall flourishing [3, 4]. Scientific literacy can enhance these aspects in many ways. By cultivating curiosity and a feeling of wonder, it amplifies engagement and significance. Empowering individuals to assess facts and make educated decisions enhances autonomy and agency. By fostering rational, evidence-based decision-making, it diminishes vulnerability to misinformation and cognitive biases, therefore enhancing resilience and psychological stability.

Furthermore, people who utilize scientific reasoning in their daily lives are more inclined to exhibit confidence in managing ambiguity. The perception of competence and control is strongly associated with increased life satisfaction and diminished anxiety [5]. However, these favorable results are not guaranteed. Exposure to contradictory scientific assertions or ambiguity may induce stress or skepticism, especially in circumstances where cultural values or social identities shape the interpretation of information [6]. Therefore, scientific literacy should be perceived not merely as a collection of cognitive abilities but as a dynamic interaction among knowledge, context, and psychological effects.

This research analyzes these interconnections by concentrating on three aspects: the impact of scientific literacy on decision-making, its function in alleviating cognitive biases, and its association with critical thinking. This underscores the role of

scientific literacy as both a cognitive and psychological asset that fosters human happiness.

Influence of Scientific Literacy on Decision-Making

Scientific literacy is crucial in influencing decision-making processes in contemporary culture. Decision-making fundamentally necessitates humans to analyze information, assess alternatives, and choose actions that correspond with personal or communal objectives. In an age marked by plentiful yet inconsistently credible information, the capacity to employ scientific reasoning is crucial for making informed decisions. An individual with scientific literacy can choose between reliable facts and misinformation, comprehend probability and statistical data, and assess the long-term implications of various choices. These abilities not only foster reasonable outcomes but also improve psychological well-being by strengthening individuals' sense of competence, control, and autonomy.

Self-determination theory posits that autonomy—the belief that one's choices are self-directed and substantiated by evidence—is fundamental to human flourishing [5]. Scientific literacy enhances autonomy by empowering individuals to choose judgments grounded in rational assessment rather than coercion, societal influence, or emotional manipulation. The capacity to make evidence-based decisions is associated with heightened life satisfaction and less stress, as individuals experience empowerment rather than being overwhelmed by the complexities of contemporary existence [3].

In health-related contexts, scientific literacy empowers individuals to assess evidence regarding immunization, diet, or preventive treatment. Individuals who comprehend the scientific basis for these judgments are more inclined to experience confidence instead of doubt, so fostering resilience and psychological stability. Moreover, tools designed to assess scientific literacy have proven to be reliable markers of students' capacity to engage in science-related problem-solving, hence supporting the connection between literacy and practical decision-making [7]. In contrast, those deficient in scientific literacy may encounter perplexity, worry, or vulnerability to disinformation, so compromising their well-being [8].

Environmental decision-making serves as another example. When addressing challenges like climate change, energy use, or sustainable living, individuals encounter intricate trade-offs and conflicting assertions. A scientifically knowledgeable individual is more equipped to evaluate short-term expenses against long-term advantages, assess probabilistic results, and make decisions that correspond with personal principles and the greater good of society. This decision-making cultivates a sense of purpose and meaning, essential elements of psychological well-being [4].

Furthermore, decision-making based on scientific literacy enhances resilience by aiding individuals in managing ambiguity. Life often necessitates decisions made with insufficient information. The capacity to employ probabilistic reasoning and endure uncertainty mitigates susceptibility to fear-induced or impulsive behaviors [9]. This adaptive decision-making approach enhances cognitive flexibility and reduces anxiety, therefore promoting general well-being.

Nonetheless, scientific literacy does not eradicate all decision-making difficulties. Even scientifically informed people may occasionally depend on heuristics or favor emotional comfort over rational evaluation [6]. In certain settings, exposure to contradictory scientific facts can increase stress or skepticism. Thus, the psychological advantages of scientific literacy rely on conducive educational and social contexts that assist individuals in effectively navigating ambiguity.

In conclusion, scientific literacy enhances decision-making by increasing the precision and rationality of choices while simultaneously fostering psychological well-being. It fortifies autonomy, alleviates worry, bolsters resilience, and augments life enjoyment. By cultivating cognitive competency and psychological empowerment, scientific literacy equips individuals to handle the challenges of contemporary life with enhanced confidence and purpose.

Impact of Scientific Literacy on Cognitive Biases

Cognitive biases are systematic departures from rational judgment that influence human thought and decision-making. Although they frequently function as adaptive shortcuts in intricate situations, they may also result in erroneous reasoning, skewed perceptions, and inferior decisions. These biases undermine rationality and negatively impact psychological well-being by inducing worry, perpetuating baseless concerns, and diminishing individuals' sense of control. Scientific literacy enhances evidence-based reasoning and reflective thinking, providing individuals with methods to identify, interrogate, and mitigate biases, therefore improving cognitive and emotional resilience.

Confirmation bias is a prevalent cognitive distortion characterized by the inclination to prioritize information that supports existing ideas while disregarding opposing data. If unaddressed, confirmation bias can solidify inflexible perspectives, obstruct productive discourse, and provoke interpersonal discord, all of which adversely affect psychological health. Scientific literacy alleviates this inclination by prioritizing hypothesis testing, critical evaluation of evidence, and the significance of disconfirmatory facts. These techniques foster intellectual humility, diminishing defensiveness and creating opportunities for curiosity and development. This openness enhances well-being by promoting flexibility, tolerance, and healthier relationships [10].

The availability heuristic exemplifies a prevalent cognitive bias. Individuals frequently assess the probability of events based on the ease with which instances are recalled, resulting in inflated apprehensions regarding infrequent yet sensational hazards. An individual with scientific literacy, well-versed in statistical reasoning and probability, may more appropriately contextualize such events. This capability diminishes unjustified anxiety, mitigates reactivity, and fosters psychological stability. Scientific literacy mitigates distorted risk perceptions, fostering a composed and balanced interaction with reality, hence enhancing resilience and life happiness [11].

Likewise, anchoring bias—the inclination to depend significantly on the initial information received—can limit receptiveness to fresh evidence. Initial exposure to deceptive assertions on health therapies might skew subsequent evaluations, even in the presence of correcting facts. Scientific literacy mitigates this effect by promoting critical assessment of diverse sources, probabilistic reasoning, and skepticism regarding absolute assertions. Facilitating mental flexibility enables individuals to modify their perspectives, thereby diminishing dissatisfaction and uncertainty, which

improves psychological well-being. Recent research indicates that scientific literacy can assist individuals in recognizing misinformation in their daily lives, albeit its efficacy is influenced by contextual and motivational aspects [12].

The capacity for scientific literacy to mitigate biases directly enhances resilience—the capability to adjust to hardship and uncertainty without yielding to fear or fatalism. Individuals who can recognize and counteract biases are less susceptible to feeling influenced or confused by misinformation, conspiracy theories, or emotionally charged discourse. Scientific literacy is characterized as a "vaccine" against unfounded beliefs, providing cognitive defense against pseudoscience and misinformation [13]. Empirical research suggests that people with diminished scientific literacy are more inclined to support pseudoscientific assertions, especially when their cognitive style is predominantly intuitive rather than analytical [14]. Research indicates that the adoption of conspiracy theories can substantially affect consumer and social decision-making, underscoring the psychological hazards associated with insufficient scientific literacy [15]. Moreover, research indicates that both moral principles and scientific literacy serve as substantial predictors of vulnerability to pseudoscience, highlighting the complex nature of belief formation [16]. Research on climate change skepticism reveals that analytical cognitive style, climate science literacy, and political orientation interact to influence individuals' acceptance or rejection of scientific consensus, underscoring the intricate cognitive and motivational aspects of literacy [17]. Recent research indicates that scientific literacy significantly impacts politicians' approaches to climate change, influencing their policy positions and communication techniques [18]. This fosters a sense of autonomy and control over cognitive processes, which is fundamental to thriving as outlined in positive psychology frameworks [3, 4].

Nonetheless, scientific literacy does not provide absolute protection. Cognitive biases are ingrained in human cognition, and even highly educated persons may succumb to them, especially when matters pertain to identity, ideology, or emotion [6]. Indeed, robust scientific information can occasionally exacerbate selective reasoning when individuals employ it to justify prior ideas instead of confronting them. This indicates that education should not alone deliver scientific knowledge but also foster metacognitive awareness, empathy, and emotional management techniques that enhance both rationality and well-being.

In summary, scientific literacy serves a dual function for cognitive biases: it improves rational decision-making and fosters psychological well-being. By cultivating intellectual humility, alleviating unjustified worries, and enhancing resilience, it enables individuals to traverse an unpredictable and information-saturated environment with clarity and confidence.

Relationship Between Scientific Literacy and Critical Thinking

Scientific literacy and critical thinking are interdependent structures that create a dynamic and mutually reinforcing interaction. Scientific literacy provides individuals with essential knowledge of scientific principles and procedures, whereas critical thinking allows them to utilize this information in reflective, evaluative, and problem-solving situations. Collectively, they serve as vital instruments for traversing the intricacies of contemporary existence. The interplay between scientific literacy and critical thinking considerably enhances psychological well-being by promoting curiosity, autonomy, resilience, and life satisfaction.

Critical thinking encompasses the capacity to dissect ideas, recognize fallacies, assess the credibility of information sources, and synthesize evidence from many viewpoints. Scientific literacy enhances these skills, enabling individuals to utilize empirical methodologies, probabilistic thinking, and an understanding of uncertainty. Recent studies indicate that scientific literacy is significantly associated with higher-order thinking skills and self-efficacy, underscoring its importance as a basis for cognitive and psychological development [19]. This integration enables individuals to combat misinformation, pseudoscience, and manipulative narratives, so augmenting their sense of agency and autonomy [20].

The role of curiosity, which is inherently fostered by scientific knowledge, is equally significant. Curiosity fuels the inclination to inquire, investigate evidence, and seek comprehension—actions fundamental to critical thinking. Psychological studies have consistently associated curiosity with good emotions, involvement, and life significance [21]. The interaction between scientific literacy and critical thinking fosters cognitive growth and emotional well-being, contributing to flourishing as defined by positive psychology frameworks [3, 4].

Moreover, critical thinking abilities stemming from scientific literacy bolster resilience in situations of ambiguity or hardship. Individuals' adept in assessing several hypotheses, identifying biases, and embracing ambiguity are more proficient in managing complexity and change without yielding to fear or fatalism [4]. This resilience is more essential in a society where swift scientific and technical advancements compel individuals to adapt incessantly.

The correlation between scientific literacy and critical thinking possesses wider societal ramifications that influence communal welfare. Studies involving potential educators demonstrate a favorable correlation between literacy and critical thinking, underscoring the necessity of intentionally developing these skills in higher education [22]. A society composed of people capable of critical thinking and scientific reasoning is more inclined to participate in rational conversation, democratic decision-making, and collaborative problem-solving. This fosters an environment in which individuals perceive their contributions as significant and appreciated, thereby augmenting well-being through a sense of connection and purpose.

However, it is essential to recognize that scientific literacy by itself does not ensure critical thinking. Educational methods that emphasize only factual memory may neglect the development of evaluative and reflective skills essential for critical application of knowledge. Research on the nature of science highlights that scientific literacy encompasses skills such as reasoning, comprehension of scientific epistemic procedures, and contemplation of its societal implications [23]. Classroom recommendations for assessing scientific literature emphasize that including students in critical reading skills is crucial for connecting literacy with reflective thinking and self-efficacy [24]. Consequently, pedagogy must clearly incorporate chances for

argumentation, inquiry-based learning, and reflection. When integrated, these tactics guarantee that scientific literacy fosters critical thinking abilities that enhance both rationality and psychological well-being.

The connection between scientific literacy and critical thinking transcends cognitive ability and encompasses psychological well-being. By fostering curiosity, autonomy, resilience, and life pleasure, their synergy not only prepares individuals to manage complexity but also enhances their overall life experience.

Discussion

This study reveals that scientific literacy serves as both an intellectual asset and a factor in psychological well-being. To optimize its advantages, intentional methods are required at the levels of education, legislation, and society.

Educators are pivotal in imparting scientific literacy not merely as a compilation of knowledge, but as a skill set that fosters resilience, autonomy, and curiosity. Studies indicate that inquiry-based learning and direct instruction in reasoning enhance both scientific comprehension and critical thinking [25, 20]. Integrating psychological well-being objectives into science education—such as demonstrating how scientific reasoning mitigates ambiguity and facilitates informed decision-making—can assist students in linking their learning to personal flourishing. Educators ought to facilitate opportunities for introspection on emotions and uncertainty, aiding students in perceiving scientific literacy as a tool for navigating complexity in everyday life [25].

Scientific literacy must be acknowledged as an essential element of health education, citizenship education, and lifelong learning at the policy level. Incorporating scientific reasoning into curricula across various disciplines, rather than solely in science classes, has been advocated to cultivate resilient citizens who can counter misinformation and enhance democratic engagement [1, 2, 8]. In addition to education, scientific literacy is recognized as an essential competency for contemporary politicians, enabling them to make informed decisions in intricate policy contexts and to cultivate public trust [26, 18]. Investment in teacher professional development is essential, ensuring educators are prepared to cultivate both cognitive and emotional dimensions of literacy. Public communication strategies must present scientific literacy as a vital element of well-being and empowerment, reflecting the advocacy for science education as fundamental to democratic engagement and human advancement [27, 28].

Enhancing scientific literacy at a societal level bolsters democratic debate, fosters faith in institutions, and fortifies resilience against misinformation. Research indicates that enhanced scientific reasoning abilities are associated with a diminished vulnerability to conspiracy theories and pseudoscience [10]. From a critical standpoint, literacy is linked to social participation and emancipation, establishing it as a transformational agent that empowers citizens to confront inequities and partake in communal change [29]. Public science campaigns, citizen science programs, and community dialogues can assist individuals in utilizing scientific reasoning in practical situations while cultivating a sense of belonging and collective responsibility. By positioning scientific literacy as a societal asset that fosters individual prosperity and community resilience, communities may combat division and disinformation while enhancing psychological well-being on a large scale.

Conclusion

This paper's analysis illustrates that scientific literacy encompasses more than merely the attainment of cognitive skills. It is not only the capacity to comprehend and implement scientific principles but also a psychological asset that can significantly improve well-being. Scientific literacy cultivates critical thinking, facilitates informed decision-making, and aids individuals in identifying and mitigating cognitive biases, so promoting qualities essential for thriving in modern society.

A prominent psychological advantage of scientific literacy is evident in the realm of decision-making. Individuals capable of assessing facts, evaluating risks, and interpreting probability experience more autonomy and agency in their decisions. These sensations of control diminish anxiety and enhance confidence, both of which are essential for psychological well-being. Furthermore, educated decision-making enhances resilience, allowing individuals to manage uncertainty in health, environmental, and social domains. Individuals who perceive their decisions as grounded in credible evidence report enhanced life satisfaction and less susceptibility to fear stemming from misinformation.

Regarding cognitive biases, scientific knowledge serves as cognitive protection. Fostering awareness of biases, including confirmation bias, availability heuristic, and anchoring, promotes more equitable reasoning and reduces perceptual distortions. This procedure fosters psychological stability by diminishing vulnerability to exaggerated fears, entrenched beliefs, or impulsive decisions. Significantly, surmounting these biases cultivates intellectual humility and open-mindedness—qualities linked to diminished defensiveness, improved interpersonal interactions, and enhanced well-being.

The relationship between scientific literacy and critical thinking enhances these psychological consequences. Critical thinking offers a structure for assessing arguments and integrating knowledge, so enhancing self-efficacy and resilience to manipulation. The role of curiosity, which scientific learning actively fosters, is equally crucial. Curiosity has been repeatedly associated with engagement, meaning, and positive mood, closely correlating with the flourishing models of well-being proposed by Seligman and Ryff. Consequently, the interplay between scientific literacy and critical thinking not only enhances intellectual growth but also fosters a more significant and fulfilling existence.

Simultaneously, it is essential to recognize the constraints and contextual elements of this interaction. Scientific literacy is not a panacea for psychological issues. Exposure to contradictory or ambiguous scientific assertions may elicit tension, skepticism, or disengagement in certain individuals. Social identity, cultural background, and political context influence individuals' interpretation and use of scientific information. Without meticulous consideration of these dynamics, the prospective psychological advantages of scientific literacy may be inequitably allocated.

These conclusions possess significant ramifications for education and policy. Science education must extend beyond cognitive growth to intentionally include the emotive and psychological aspects of learning. Inquiry-based pedagogies, explicit reasoning training, and opportunities for curiosity-driven exploration can concurrently enhance scientific comprehension and psychological well-being. Policymakers should acknowledge scientific literacy as a public asset essential for

informed citizenry and for fostering social resilience and well-being. By positioning scientific literacy as a factor in flourishing, science education can more effectively encompass the entirety of human development.

In summary, scientific literacy constitutes both a cognitive and psychological advantage. It enables individuals to make educated judgments, reduces the influence of biases, enhances critical thinking, and cultivates curiosity. Collectively, these contributions augment happiness, resilience, life satisfaction, and thriving. As societies face difficulties of misinformation, health crises, and environmental change, enhancing scientific literacy is crucial for informed decision-making and promoting well-being in a complex world.

References

1. Crowell A, Schunn C. Unpacking the relationship between science education and applied scientific literacy. Res Sci Educ. 2016; 46(1):129-140. Doi: 10.1007/s11165-015-9462-1
2. Hagop AY. Scientific literacy for democratic decision-making. Int J Sci Educ. 2018; 40(3):308-327. Doi: 10.1080/09500693.2017.142026
3. Seligman MEP. Flourish: A visionary new understanding of happiness and well-being. New York: Free Press, 2011.
4. Ryff CD. Psychological well-being revisited: Advances in the science and practice of eudaimonia. Psychother Psychosom. 2014; 83(1):10-28. Doi: 10.1159/000353263
5. Ryan RM, Deci EL. Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. Am Psychol. 2000; 55(1):68-78. Doi: 10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.68
6. Kahan DM. Misconceptions, misinformation, and the logic of identity-protective cognition. Cultural Cognition Project Working Paper Series. 2017; 164. Doi: 10.2139/ssrn.2973067
7. Afnan R, Munasir M, Budiyanto M, Aulia MIR. The role of scientific literacy instruments for measuring science problem-solving ability. Int J Recent Educ Res. 2023; 4(1):45-58. Doi: 10.46245/ijorer.v4i1.271
8. Howell EL, Brossard D. (Mis)informed about what? What it means to be a science-literate citizen in a digital world. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2021; 118(15):e1912436117. Doi: 10.1073/pnas.1912436117
9. Tversky A, Kahneman D. Judgment under uncertainty: Heuristics and biases. Science. 1974; 185(4157):1124-1131. Doi: 10.1126/science.185.4157.1124
10. Čavojová V, Šrol J, Jurkovič M. Why should we try to think like scientists? Scientific reasoning and susceptibility to epistemically suspect beliefs and cognitive biases. Appl Cogn Psychol. 2020; 34(1):85-95. Doi: 10.1002/acp.3595
11. Tversky A, Kahneman D. Availability: A heuristic for judging frequency and probability. Cogn Psychol. 1973; 5(2):207-232. Doi: 10.1016/0010-0285(73)90033-9
12. Sharon AJ, Baram-Tsabari A. Can science literacy help individuals identify misinformation in everyday life? Sci Educ. 2020; 104(5):873-894. Doi: 10.1002/sce.21581
13. Fasce A, Picó A. Science as a vaccine: The relation between scientific literacy and unwarranted beliefs. Sci Educ. 2019; 28:109-125. Doi: 10.1007/s11191-018-00022-0
14. Majima Y. Belief in pseudoscience, cognitive style and science literacy. Appl Cogn Psychol. 2015; 29(4):552-559. Doi: 10.1002/acp.3136
15. Allred N. Actions in the shadows: The influence of conspiracy theory endorsement on consumer decision-making [dissertation]. Pennsylvania: The Pennsylvania State University, 2023.
16. Piejka A, Okruszek Ł. Do you believe what you have been told? Morality and scientific literacy as predictors of pseudoscience susceptibility. Appl Cogn Psychol. 2020; 34(5):1072-1082. Doi: 10.1002/acp.3687
17. Trémolière B, Djeriouat H. Exploring the roles of analytic cognitive style, climate science literacy, illusion of knowledge, and political orientation in climate change skepticism. J Environ Psychol. 2021; 74:101561. Doi: 10.1016/j.jenvp.2021.101561
18. Kotsis KT. The impact of scientific literacy on politicians' approach to the issue of climate change. EIKI J Eff Teach Methods. 2024; 2(3):9-14. Doi: 10.59652/jetm.v2i3.187
19. Arifiyyati MF, Rofi'ah NL, Listyono L. Correlation between scientific literacy with higher order thinking skills and self-efficacy in biology learning. J Biolokus. 2023; 5(2):166-176. Doi: 10.30821/biolokus.v5i2.1633
20. Vieira RM, Tenreiro-Vieira C. Fostering scientific literacy and critical thinking in elementary science education. Int J Sci Math Educ. 2016; 14(4):659-680. Doi: 10.1007/s10763-014-9605-2
21. Kashdan TB, Steger MF. Curiosity and pathways to well-being and meaning in life: Traits, states, and everyday behaviors. Motiv Emot. 2007; 31(3):159-173. Doi: 10.1007/s11031-007-9068-7
22. Listiani I, Susilo H, Sueb S. Relationship between scientific literacy and critical thinking of prospective teachers. Al-Ishlah J Educ. 2022; 14(1):721-730. Doi: 10.35445/alishlah.v14i1.1355
23. Almeida B, Santos M, Justi R. Aspects and abilities of science literacy in the context of nature of science teaching. Sci Educ. 2023; 32(3):567-587. Doi: 10.1007/s11191-022-00324-4
24. Jurecki K, Wander MC. Science literacy, critical thinking, and scientific literature: Guidelines for evaluating scientific literature in the classroom. J Geosci Educ. 2012; 60(2):100-105. Doi: 10.5408/11-221.1
25. Fortus D, Lin J, Neumann K, Sadler TD. The role of affect in science literacy for all. Int J Sci Educ. 2022; 44(4):535-555. Doi: 10.1080/09500693.2022.2036384
26. Kotsis KT. Scientific literacy is a valuable tool for modern politicians: A comprehensive analysis. J Political Sci Int Relat. 2024; 2(1):1-5. Doi: 10.47363/JPSIR/2024(2)104
27. Deta UA, Ayun SK, Laila L, Prahani BK, Suprapto N. PISA science framework 2018 vs 2025 and its impact in physics education: Literature review. Momentum Phys Educ J. 2023; 8(1). Available from: https://ejournal.unikama.ac.id/index.php/momentum/article/view/9215
28. National Research Council. A framework for K-12 science education: Practices, crosscutting concepts, and core ideas. Washington (DC): The National Academies

Press, 2012. Doi: 10.17226/13165
29. Valladares L. Scientific literacy and social transformation: Critical perspectives about science participation and emancipation. Sci Educ. 2021; 30(3):557-587. Doi: 10.1007/s11191-021-00205-2