



Received: 01-05-2025  
Accepted: 11-06-2025

## International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies

ISSN: 2583-049X

### Building a Physician's Personal Brand in the Digital Healthcare Era: A Strategic and Ethical Imperative

Ali Khamis Saif Al Reesi

Senior Consultant Endocrinologist, Head of Internal Medicine Department, Endocrinology and Diabetes Unit, Internal Medicine Department, Suhar Hospital, Ministry of Health, Suhar, Oman

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.62225/2583049X.2025.5.3.4486>

Corresponding Author: Ali Khamis Saif Al Reesi

#### Abstract

The concept of personal branding has become increasingly relevant in healthcare, especially for physicians in academic, expertise and leadership roles. In the context of digital transformation, an effective personal brand enhances a physician's visibility, strengthens patient trust, and aligns clinical expertise with public engagement. This article

explores the key components of physician branding, the tools for strategic development, and the ethical boundaries that guide professional communication. Integrated branding benefits both individual physicians and healthcare institutions by improving health literacy, research dissemination, and leadership impact.

**Keywords:** Digital Healthcare, Physicians, Oman

#### Introduction

Traditionally, a physician's identity was defined by institutional affiliation. However, the rise of patient-centered care, digital health tools, and interdisciplinary collaboration has shifted attention toward the individual healthcare provider. Personal branding is now recognized as a strategic necessity that enhances clinical communication, supports academic growth, and fosters public engagement<sup>[1]</sup>. It involves a consistent and authentic presentation of the physician's values, expertise, and role within the healthcare system.

#### Core Components of Personal Branding

An effective personal brand consists of the following elements:

- **Clinical and Academic Focus:** Identifying key areas of subspecialty, such as diabetes and hormone-related conditions, and linking them to both patient care needs and academic or research interests<sup>[2]</sup>.
- **Professional Communication Style:** Implementation of a clear, evidence-based communication framework designed to effectively engage diverse audiences, including patients, healthcare professionals, and the broader public, across multiple communication platforms<sup>[2]</sup>.
- **Digital Visibility:** Maintaining accurate and consistently updated profiles on academic platforms such as PubMed, ORCID, Google Scholar, and ResearchGate—as well as institutional websites—plays a critical role in enhancing a scholar's digital visibility and academic discoverability. These platforms support transparency, allow for archiving and dissemination of scholarly outputs, and contribute to altmetric indicators that reflect broader societal and academic engagement. Such practices align with the principles of digital scholarship and are increasingly relevant in academic promotion frameworks that incorporate social media and online impact metrics<sup>[3, 4, 5, 6, 7]</sup>.
- **Consistency and Professional Integrity:** Effective physician branding must be grounded in authenticity and guided by professional integrity. A coherent and trustworthy personal brand enhances credibility and fosters long-term engagement with patients, peers, and academic communities. It is essential that the physician's public image consistently reflects their core values and areas of clinical and academic expertise. This consistency should extend across all professional platforms, including peer-reviewed publications, conference presentations, public health advocacy, and social media engagement<sup>[1, 8]</sup>. Inconsistencies in messaging or a misalignment between brand identity and actual practice may undermine professional trust and public confidence<sup>[9, 10]</sup>.

Emerging literature highlights that maintaining authenticity in medical communication not only strengthens the physician-patient relationship but also supports career advancement through enhanced visibility and scholarly impact [11, 12]. Physicians who present a unified professional identity across academic and digital domains are more likely to influence health literacy, reduce misinformation, and establish themselves as thought leaders within their specialties [13].

- **Ethical Commitment and Medical Professionalism:** A strong ethical foundation is essential to professional identity in medicine. Physicians must consistently uphold the principles of medical professionalism, including respect for patient autonomy, confidentiality, and informed consent. Maintaining patient privacy—both in clinical care and when engaging in academic or digital communication—is critical to preserving credibility and public trust [14, 15]. Violations of patient confidentiality, whether intentional or accidental, may result in loss of professional standing and legal consequences [16].

As digital platforms become more integrated into medical education and communication, the ethical obligation to protect sensitive health information remains unchanged. Physicians must exercise caution in how patient data, images, or clinical experiences are shared, especially in online environments, to prevent breaches of confidentiality and maintain adherence to professional and legal standards [8, 17].

### Strategic Tools and Platforms for Physician Branding

Establishing a strong professional identity in medicine requires the strategic use of academic, bibliographic, and social platforms to enhance visibility, foster credibility, and promote engagement with both peers and the public. Academic platforms remain central to this process, with regular publication in peer-reviewed journals, presentations at scientific conferences, and inclusion in institutional academic activities serving as markers of scholarly authority and expertise [8]. In parallel, bibliographic databases such as PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar are essential for indexing research output, tracking citation impact, and validating academic productivity [18]. Tools like ORCID support unique researcher identification across systems, while platforms such as ResearchGate and Academia.edu offer alternative metrics and facilitate collaboration [19]. Beyond academia, social media has emerged as a powerful extension of physician branding. Professional networking platforms like LinkedIn allow for the dissemination of academic achievements, career milestones, and educational content to a professional audience. Similarly, Twitter (X) offers real-time engagement in public health discourse and scientific debate, making it a valuable tool for knowledge translation [1, 7, 8, 20]. Public-facing platforms such as Facebook and Instagram provide additional opportunities to communicate health information, promote wellness initiatives, and connect with broader patient communities, particularly through visual storytelling and educational campaigns. However, use of these platforms must be guided by professional standards, with strict adherence to patient confidentiality, evidence-based communication, and avoidance of conflicts of interest or misinformation [7, 8].

### Ethical Considerations in Digital Medical Communication

Maintaining ethical standards in digital communication is essential for physicians engaging with online platforms. The American Medical Association (AMA) and other professional regulatory bodies underscore the importance of upholding professional conduct in virtual spaces by ensuring that all disseminated information is accurate, evidence-based, and free from promotional bias [1, 7, 8, 21]. Furthermore, physicians are ethically obligated to disclose any conflicts of interest, particularly in educational or promotional content [8]. A central tenet of online professionalism involves the rigorous protection of patient confidentiality, in accordance with legal and ethical frameworks such as institutional policies [22, 23]. Transparency in health education messaging not only sustains public trust but also reinforces the credibility of the medical profession in an era of widespread misinformation [22, 23].

### Institutional Synergy Between Personal Branding and Academic Reputation

The relationship between individual physician branding and institutional reputation is increasingly recognized as a mutually reinforcing dynamic in academic medicine. A well-established personal brand not only elevates the physician's professional visibility but also enhances the public profile and academic prestige of affiliated institutions such as teaching hospitals and research centers. This symbiotic relationship can foster medical education initiatives, attract high-impact research collaborations, and contribute to the institution's credibility in both academic and public domains [8, 24]. Moreover, institutional affiliations provide an essential framework of legitimacy and trust, amplifying the physician's influence in both clinical practice and public health discourse [24, 25]. When strategically aligned, personal and institutional branding efforts serve as catalysts for innovation, scholarly output, and community engagement [26].

### Impact on Clinical Practice and Patient Engagement

A well-developed personal brand enhances clinical communication and strengthens the physician-patient relationship, which is crucial for effective chronic disease management. Patients tend to be more engaged and adherent to treatment plans when physicians consistently display empathy, professionalism, and transparency across clinical and public domains [1, 8]. This improved trust can lead to better compliance, reduced complications, and higher patient satisfaction rates [27, 28]. From the physician's perspective, cultivating a reputable professional image can result in increased visibility, academic opportunities, leadership roles, and career advancement [28, 29, 30].

### Conclusion

In the evolving landscape of modern medicine, building a personal brand has become a strategic and ethical imperative for physicians, particularly in the digital age. As demonstrated throughout this article, a well-crafted professional identity enhances not only individual credibility but also facilitates more effective clinical communication, public engagement, and academic dissemination. By aligning clinical expertise with ethical digital communication, physicians can contribute meaningfully to

public health literacy, combat misinformation, and foster stronger therapeutic relationships with patients. Moreover, when personal branding efforts are synchronized with institutional goals, they create a synergistic impact that elevates the reputation and influence of healthcare organizations as a whole.

To maximize these benefits, physicians must approach branding with intentionality, professionalism, and a strong ethical foundation. Strategic use of academic platforms, social media, and digital tools must be guided by a commitment to patient confidentiality, evidence-based communication, and professional integrity. Ultimately, personal branding is not about self-promotion—it is about positioning oneself as a trusted educator, leader, and advocate in a healthcare system increasingly shaped by transparency, accessibility, and digital connectivity.

### Ethical Approval

Not applicable.

### Funding

No specific funding was received for this work.

### Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest.

### References

- Jain SH. Practicing medicine in the age of Facebook. *N Engl J Med.* 2009; 361(7):649-651. Doi: 10.1056/NEJMp0901277
- Bernhardt JM, Alber J, Gold RS. A social media primer for professionals: Digital dos and don'ts. *Health Promot Pract.* 2014; 15(2):168-172. Doi: 10.1177/1524839913517235. Epub 2014 Jan 6. PMID: 24396119.
- Cabrera D, Vartabedian BS, Spinner RJ, *et al.* More than likes and tweets: Creating social media portfolios for academic promotion and tenure. *J Grad Med Educ.* 2017; 9(4):421-425.
- Atasoylu AA, Wright SM, Beasley BW, Cofrancesco J Jr, Macpherson DS, Partridge T, *et al.* Promotion criteria for clinician-educators. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2003; 18(9):711-716. Doi: 10.1046/j.1525-1497.2003.10425.x. PMID: 12950479; PMCID: PMC1494922.
- Chisolm MS. Altmetrics for Medical Educators. *Acad Psychiatry.* 2017; 41(4):460-466. Doi: 10.1007/s40596-016-0639-3. Epub 2016 Dec 9. PMID: 27943130.
- Priem J, Groth P, Taraborelli D. The altmetrics collection. *PLoS One.* 2012; 7(11):e48753. Doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0048753. Epub 2012 Nov 1. PMID: 23133655; PMCID: PMC3486795.
- Sherbino J, Arora VM, Van Melle E, Rogers R, Frank JR, Holmboe ES. Criteria for social media-based scholarship in health professions education. *Postgrad Med J.* 2015; 91(1080):551-555. Doi: 10.1136/postgradmedj-2015-133300. Epub 2015 Aug 14. PMID: 26275426.
- Ventola CL. Social media and health care professionals: Benefits, risks, and best practices. *P T.* 2014; 39(7):491-520. PMID: 25083128; PMCID: PMC4103576.
- Greysen SR, Kind T, Chretien KC. Online professionalism and the mirror of social media. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2010; 25(11):1227-1229. Doi: 10.1007/s11606-010-1447-1. Epub 2010 Jul 15. PMID: 20632121; PMCID: PMC2947638.
- Mostaghimi A, Crotty BH. Professionalism in the digital age. *Ann Intern Med.* 2011; 154(8):560-562. Doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-154-8-201104190-00008. PMID: 21502653.
- Thompson LA, Dawson K, Ferdig R, Black EW, Boyer J, Coutts J, *et al.* The intersection of online social networking with medical professionalism. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2008; 23(7):954-957. Doi: 10.1007/s11606-008-0538-8. PMID: 18612723; PMCID: PMC2517936.
- George DR, Green MJ. Beyond good and evil: Exploring medical trainee use of social media. *Teach Learn Med.* 2012; 24(2):155-157. Doi: 10.1080/10401334.2012.664972. PMID: 22490097.
- Moubarak G, Guiot A, Benhamou Y, Benhamou A, Hariri S. Facebook activity of residents and fellows and its impact on the doctor-patient relationship. *J Med Ethics.* 2011; 37(2):101-104. Doi: 10.1136/jme.2010.036293. Epub 2010 Dec 15. PMID: 21160080.
- Cruess RL, Cruess SR, Steinert Y. *Teaching Medical Professionalism: Supporting the Development of a Professional Identity.* 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- Riddick FA Jr. The code of medical ethics of the american medical association. *Ochsner J.* 2003; 5(2):6-10. PMID: 22826677; PMCID: PMC3399321.
- Guseh JS, Brendel RW, Brendel DH. Medical professionalism in the age of online social networking. *J Med Ethics.* 2009; 35(9):584-586. Doi: 10.1136/jme.2009.029231
- Chretien KC, Greysen SR, Chretien JP, Kind T. Online posting of unprofessional content by medical students. *JAMA.* 2009; 302(12):1309-1315. Doi: 10.1001/jama.2009.1387. PMID: 19773566.
- Morshed R, Hayden S. Google versus PubMed: Comparison of Google and PubMed's search tools for answering clinical questions in the emergency department. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2020; 75(3):408-415. Doi: 10.1016/j.annemergmed.2019.07.003.
- Boudry C, Durand-Barthez M. Use of author identifier services (ORCID, ResearcherID) and academic social networks (Academia.edu, ResearchGate) by the researchers of the University of Caen Normandy (France): A case study. *PLoS One.* 2020; 15(9):e0238583. Doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0238583. PMID: 32877458; PMCID: PMC7467223.
- Chretien KC, Azar J, Kind T. Physicians on Twitter. *JAMA.* 2011; 305(6):566-568. Doi: 10.1001/jama.2011.68. PMID: 21304081.
- American Medical Association. Professionalism in the Use of Social Media. *AMA Code of Medical Ethics Opinion 2.3.2.* <https://www.ama-assn.org>
- Farnan JM, Snyder Sulmasy L, Worster BK, Chaudhry HJ, Rhyne JA, Arora VM. American College of Physicians Ethics, Professionalism and Human Rights Committee; American College of Physicians Council of Associates; Federation of State Medical Boards Special Committee on Ethics and Professionalism. Online medical professionalism: Patient and public relationships: Policy statement from the American College of Physicians and the Federation of State Medical Boards. *Ann Intern Med.* 2013; 158(8):620-

627. Doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-158-8-201304160-00100. PMID: 23579867.
23. Chretien KC, Kind T. Social media and clinical care: Ethical, professional, and social implications. *Circulation*. 2013; 127(13):1413-1421. Doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.112.128017. PMID: 23547180.
  24. Jain SH, Powers BW, Hawkins JB, Brownstein JS. The digital phenotype. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2015; 33(5):462-463. Doi: 10.1038/nbt.3223. PMID: 25965751.
  25. Greysen SR, Kind T, Chretien KC. Online professionalism and the mirror of social media. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2010; 25(11):1227-1229. Doi: 10.1007/s11606-010-1447-1. Epub 2010 Jul 15. PMID: 20632121; PMCID: PMC2947638.
  26. Gede DU, Huluka AT. The impact of strategic alignment on organizational performance: The case of Ethiopian universities. *Cogent Business & Management*. 2023; 10(2). Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2023.2247873>
  27. Korda H, Itani Z. Harnessing social media for health promotion and behavior change. *Health Promot Pract*. 2013; 14(1):15-23. Doi: 10.1177/1524839911405850. Epub 2011 May 10. PMID: 21558472.
  28. Al Reesi AKS, Al Reesi H, Al Ghaithi S, Al Ali I, Al Siyabi S, Al Balushi S, *et al*. Impact of the IDF-DAR risk stratification and pre-Ramadan education on diabetes-related complications. *J Diabetes Endocr Pract*. 2025; 5(2). Doi: 10.1055/s-0045-1809385.
  29. Bernhardt JM, Alber J, Gold RS. A social media primer for professionals: Digital dos and don'ts. *Health Promot Pract*. 2014; 15(2):168-172. Doi: 10.1177/1524839913517235. Epub 2014 Jan 6. PMID: 24396119.
  30. George DR, Rovniak LS, Kraschnewski JL. Dangers and opportunities for social media in medicine. *Clin Obstet Gynecol*. 2013; 56(3):453-462. Doi: 10.1097/GRF.0b013e318297dc38. PMID: 23903375; PMCID: PMC3863578.