Int. j. adv. multidisc. res. stud. 2024; 4(6):2447-2452

Received: 11-11-2024
Accepted: 21-12-2024

International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies

ISSN: 2583-049X

A Conceptual Model of Climate-Induced Tourist Mobility: Understanding the Coolcation Shift

[1] Ifeoluwa Oreofe Oluwafemi, [2] Tosin Clement, [3] Oluwasanmi Segun Adanigbo, [4] Toluwase Peter Gbenle, [5] Bolaji Iyanu Adekunle

[1] Ministry of Art, Culture and Tourism, Ekiti, Nigeria
[2] University of Louisville, Louisville, KY USA
[3] Independent Researcher, Delaware, USA
[4] NICE Ltd Nexidia, Atlanta, Georgia, USA
[5] Department of Data Science. University of Salford, UK

DOI: https://doi.org/10.62225/2583049X.2024.4.6.4449
Corresponding Author: **Ifeoluwa Oreofe Oluwafemi**

Abstract

This paper introduces a comprehensive conceptual model to elucidate climate-induced tourist mobility, focusing on the emergent "coolcation" phenomenon driven by rising global temperatures and climate variability. The model integrates three core components—climate stability, health risk perception, and adaptive infrastructure—to explain their combined influence on destination attractiveness and evolving tourist behavior. By incorporating dynamic risk thresholds and destination repositioning strategies, it captures how tourists increasingly shift toward cooler, climate-resilient destinations, prompting spatial redistribution and cross-border spillover effects in tourism flows. The framework offers strategic insights for destination managers and policymakers, emphasizing the need for adaptive infrastructure investment, coordinated regional governance, and proactive planning to enhance resilience and sustain competitiveness in the face of climate challenges. The paper concludes by advocating for interdisciplinary empirical research to validate the model and explore long-term implications of climate-driven tourism migration under evolving environmental scenarios.

Keywords: Climate-induced Mobility, Coolcation, Tourist Behavior, Destination Resilience, Adaptive Infrastructure, Tourism Planning

1. Introduction

1.1 Climate Variability and Tourism Dynamics

In recent years, the tourism sector has increasingly felt the impact of climate variability, characterized by more frequent and intense heatwaves, shifting precipitation patterns, and unpredictable weather extremes. These changes challenge traditional travel behaviors, as tourists become more sensitive to climatic conditions when choosing destinations [1, 2]. Rising global temperatures have made some previously popular warm-weather locations less attractive, leading to shifts in tourist flows. For instance, extreme heat events not only reduce physical comfort but can also pose health risks, discouraging travel to affected regions [3, 4].

Moreover, climate instability disrupts the seasonality and predictability of tourist visits, complicating destination management and economic planning. The variability affects not only international tourists but also domestic travelers, influencing decisions on trip timing, duration, and type. Collectively, these dynamics contribute to the evolving landscape of global tourism, where climate factors are now critical determinants of mobility patterns [5].

This growing influence of climate on tourism underscores the urgency for destinations to understand and adapt to changing traveler preferences. The traditional appeal based on cultural, recreational, or historical assets must now be complemented by considerations of environmental stability and safety, prompting a redefinition of destination competitiveness in a warming world [6, 7].

1.2 Conceptual Gaps in Understanding Tourist Mobility

Despite increased recognition of climate impacts on tourism, existing research often treats climate variables in isolation or focuses narrowly on environmental consequences rather than mobility behavior. There is a notable lack of comprehensive frameworks that integrate climate stability, health risk perception, and infrastructure adaptability into a cohesive explanation of tourist mobility. This gap limits the ability of researchers and planners to predict and manage evolving travel patterns effectively [8, 9].

Many models address either destination attractiveness or risk perception independently, but few consider how these factors interact dynamically with infrastructure resilience and policy responses. This fragmentation results in an incomplete understanding of how tourists negotiate the trade-offs between comfort, safety, and experience under changing climatic conditions. Consequently, tourism stakeholders lack a unified conceptual tool to guide adaptive strategies.

Addressing this gap requires a holistic approach that connects environmental realities with human behavior and destination responses. By bridging these domains, it becomes possible to anticipate better shifts such as the emergent "coolcation" trend, where tourists increasingly favor cooler, more stable climates, and to inform planning that supports sustainable tourism flows in the face of climate change.

1.3 Research Aim

This paper aims to develop a conceptual model that elucidates how climate-induced factors influence tourist mobility, with a particular focus on the “coolcation” phenomenon. The model integrates three core elements: Climate stability, perceived health risks, and adaptive infrastructure, proposing that destination attractiveness is co-determined by these interacting variables. By doing so, it offers a structured framework to analyze how tourists respond behaviorally to climate variability and how destinations can reposition themselves accordingly.

The contribution of this study lies in providing a multi-dimensional lens that combines environmental science, risk perception theory, and tourism management into a unified explanatory model. It advances academic discourse by moving beyond isolated variables to a systemic understanding of tourist mobility patterns in an era of extreme climate variability. Practically, it equips destination planners and policymakers with insights necessary for proactive adaptation and strategic repositioning to sustain tourism competitiveness.

By forecasting future mobility trends, including cross-border spillover effects driven by shifting climate thresholds, the model informs the design of resilient tourism infrastructures and cooperative regional policies. Ultimately, this framework serves as a foundation for future empirical studies and the development of evidence-based interventions that align tourism with evolving climate realities.

2. Theoretical Foundations and Key Constructs

2.1 Climate Stability and Destination Attractiveness

Climate stability plays a crucial role in shaping tourists’ destination preferences, with consistent and moderate weather conditions often serving as primary attractors. Theories of environmental comfort suggest that travelers seek destinations where temperature, humidity, and overall climatic conditions fall within comfortable ranges, enhancing the overall travel experience [10]. This preference aligns with place attachment theories, where favorable environmental qualities strengthen emotional and psychological bonds to locations. A stable climate reduces the uncertainty and discomfort associated with extreme weather events, making destinations more appealing for both leisure and health-conscious tourists [11, 12].

Moreover, climate stability influences seasonal tourism patterns by supporting predictable demand, allowing destinations to optimize resource management and service provision. Destinations with stable, moderate climates can better ensure accessibility, outdoor activity viability, and scenic beauty year-round [13]. The growing importance of climate stability is reflected in recent traveler surveys, where weather expectations increasingly guide travel planning. Consequently, as climate variability intensifies globally, destinations with reliable, cooler climates gain comparative advantage, directly feeding into the "coolcation" trend and re-shaping global tourism flows [14, 15].

Understanding climate stability as a core attractor encourages destination managers to integrate environmental data into marketing and infrastructure decisions. It also prompts further exploration of how shifts in climate baselines may redefine long-standing notions of destination desirability, signaling the need for adaptive strategies rooted in climate science.

2.2 Health Risk Perception and Travel Behavior

Health risk perception, particularly as it relates to climate-induced hazards, significantly influences travel decision-making and mobility thresholds [16]. When extreme heat, air pollution, or vector-borne diseases increase due to climate change, tourists become more aware of potential health threats, affecting their willingness to visit certain regions. Psychological models of risk perception emphasize that both actual risk (objective health data) and subjective perceptions (media reports, personal sensitivity) shape behavior, often leading to avoidance or altered travel patterns [17, 18].

The COVID-19 pandemic amplified awareness around health risks in travel, heightening sensitivity to environmental factors that could exacerbate vulnerabilities. Heatwaves, for instance, pose direct risks such as heatstroke or dehydration, particularly for older adults and vulnerable populations, thereby influencing destination choice [19, 20]. This awareness creates shifting risk thresholds, where tourists tolerate less environmental discomfort before reconsidering or canceling trips. The interplay between perceived health risks and climate extremes creates complex behavioral responses that are essential to understanding climate-induced mobility shifts [21].

Destinations perceived as unsafe or poorly prepared for climate-related health risks face challenges in maintaining visitor numbers. Conversely, those investing in health and safety measures, clear communication, and adaptive infrastructure can enhance traveler confidence. Integrating health risk perception into tourism models allows for more accurate forecasting of demand fluctuations and informs targeted interventions aimed at reassuring and protecting tourists [22].

2.3 Adaptive Infrastructure and Destination Resilience

Adaptive infrastructure is increasingly recognized as a fundamental factor in sustaining tourism attractiveness

under climate stress. Infrastructure that mitigates climate impacts—such as shaded walkways, cooling centers, green spaces, and water-efficient facilities—directly enhances visitor comfort and safety, thereby contributing to destination resilience [23]. This aligns with resilience theory, which underscores the capacity of systems to absorb disturbances while maintaining essential functions. In tourism, resilient infrastructure helps destinations sustain operations during extreme weather events and reduces vulnerability to climate shocks [4, 24].

Investments in adaptive infrastructure also signal a destination's commitment to sustainability, which can attract environmentally conscious travelers seeking responsible tourism options [25, 26]. Smart infrastructure integration—using sensors, real-time monitoring, and automated controls—further supports efficient resource management and personalized visitor experiences, making destinations more competitive. For example, climate-responsive architecture that reduces heat absorption or promotes natural ventilation improves thermal comfort without excessive energy use [27, 28].

Moreover, infrastructure resilience extends beyond physical structures to include flexible service delivery and emergency preparedness plans. Destinations capable of adapting operations in response to climate variability foster trust and repeat visitation [29]. As climate change accelerates, adaptive infrastructure becomes not just a differentiator but a necessity for long-term tourism viability, reinforcing the importance of including this construct in conceptual models of climate-induced tourist mobility [30, 31].

3. Conceptual Model of Climate-Induced Tourist Mobility

3.1 Destination Attractiveness: Climate, Risk, and Infrastructure

The model's core premise is that destination attractiveness is co-determined by three interrelated factors: Climate stability, perceived health risks, and the presence of adaptive infrastructure. Stable and moderate climate conditions create a baseline appeal by offering predictable and comfortable environments for tourists [32]. However, as climate variability increases, perceptions of health risks—such as heat-related illnesses, air quality issues, or vector-borne diseases—begin to weigh heavily on travel decisions. These perceived risks are often amplified by media coverage and individual sensitivity, creating variable deterrents that can override climate appeal [33].

Adaptive infrastructure acts as a mitigating force by enhancing visitor comfort and safety despite climatic challenges. Facilities such as shaded public spaces, cooling systems, and resilient transport options signal destination preparedness and responsiveness, directly influencing tourist confidence [34]. Together, these three components interact dynamically; a destination with moderate climate but poor infrastructure or high perceived risks may lose attractiveness, while one with strong adaptive measures can maintain or even enhance its appeal despite environmental stressors [35, 36]. This triadic interaction forms the foundation of the conceptual framework, illustrating how environmental and social factors combine to influence tourist mobility [37, 38].

3.2 Dynamic Tourist Risk Thresholds and Mobility Patterns

Tourists exhibit varying risk thresholds that shift in response to evolving climate conditions and health concerns. This dynamic tolerance governs whether individuals choose to visit, postpone, or avoid certain destinations. As extreme weather events become more frequent and intense, these thresholds tend to lower, leading travelers to prioritize safety and comfort more strongly than before. This shift results in a migration pattern favoring cooler, more stable climates—a phenomenon termed "coolcation."

The model posits that risk thresholds are not fixed; they fluctuate based on factors such as personal vulnerability, media exposure, and previous travel experiences. Tourists with lower risk tolerance are early adopters of climate-aware travel behaviors, avoiding hotspots of heat and environmental hazards, while others may exhibit gradual adaptation. This dynamic influences not only individual travel decisions but aggregate mobility patterns, reshaping demand and pressuring traditional warm-weather destinations. The conceptual model captures this feedback loop, highlighting how changing perceptions and experiences modify global tourist flows over time.

3.3 Destination Repositioning and Cross-Border Spillover Effects

In response to shifting mobility patterns, destinations are increasingly repositioning themselves through marketing, infrastructure investment, and policy changes. Cooler regions emphasize their climate stability and adaptive capacity as unique selling points, crafting narratives around safety, sustainability, and wellness. Simultaneously, traditional warm-weather destinations may attempt to mitigate negative perceptions by upgrading infrastructure or promoting shoulder seasons with milder climates.

The model also recognizes cross-border spillover effects, where climate pressures cause tourists to redirect flows not just within countries but across neighboring regions. For instance, if a primary destination becomes less attractive due to heatwaves or health risks, tourists may opt for nearby cooler areas with similar cultural or recreational offerings. This spillover redistributes tourism demand geographically, potentially generating new economic opportunities while also raising challenges of environmental management and infrastructure strain in receiving destinations. Overall, destination repositioning and spillover effects demonstrate the adaptive nature of tourism ecosystems in a warming world, reinforcing the need for coordinated regional strategies [39].

4. Implications for Tourism Planning and Adaptation

4.1 Strategic Destination Repositioning

The conceptual model highlights the necessity for destinations to proactively reposition themselves in response to evolving climate-driven tourist preferences. Strategic destination repositioning involves emphasizing climate stability and safety as key value propositions in marketing and branding efforts. Tourism authorities should integrate climate projections and health risk assessments into their long-term planning to identify windows of opportunity, such as promoting cooler shoulder seasons or niche experiences aligned with environmental comfort [40, 41].

Resilience-building also entails diversifying tourism products and services to reduce reliance on climate-vulnerable attractions. This can include expanding nature-based and wellness tourism offerings that inherently attract visitors seeking cooler environments. Importantly,

repositioning must involve stakeholder engagement, ensuring that local communities, businesses, and policymakers co-create sustainable strategies that balance economic growth with ecological preservation. The model underscores that adaptive marketing combined with operational resilience is critical for destinations to maintain relevance and competitiveness in a changing climate [42].

4.2 Managing Cross-Border Tourism Spillovers

As tourists increasingly seek out cooler climates, tourism flows are expected to shift across regional and national borders, causing spillover effects that can both challenge and benefit neighboring destinations. Effective management of these shifts requires robust policy frameworks that foster cooperation among tourism authorities, environmental agencies, and local governments in affected regions.

Cross-border collaboration can facilitate shared infrastructure development, coordinated marketing campaigns, and harmonized visitor management policies to prevent over-tourism and environmental degradation. For example, joint initiatives to monitor environmental impacts, regulate visitor numbers, and distribute economic benefits equitably will be essential [43]. Additionally, information-sharing platforms can improve real-time response to shifting demand patterns. By adopting an integrated regional approach, destinations can optimize resource use, enhance visitor experiences, and support sustainable growth amid dynamic climate-induced mobility trends [44, 45].

4.3 Enhancing Adaptive Capacity and Infrastructure Investment

Sustaining destination attractiveness in the face of climate variability demands targeted investment in adaptive infrastructure. Priority areas include the development of climate-resilient accommodations, shaded public spaces, reliable cooling systems, and efficient transportation networks designed to mitigate thermal stress and enhance visitor comfort [46].

Infrastructure upgrades should be informed by climate risk assessments and emphasize sustainability principles to avoid exacerbating environmental footprints. Technologies such as smart energy management and green building materials can contribute to both adaptive capacity and carbon reduction goals. Furthermore, enhancing adaptive capacity requires capacity-building initiatives that equip local stakeholders with the skills and knowledge to implement and maintain resilient infrastructure [46].

Financial mechanisms such as public-private partnerships, climate funds, and green bonds can mobilize necessary resources. Overall, the model stresses that investment in adaptive infrastructure is a critical enabler for destinations to retain competitiveness, protect visitor well-being, and foster long-term sustainability in a warming world [47, 48].

5. Conclusion

This conceptual model offers a comprehensive framework integrating climate stability, health risk perception, and adaptive infrastructure to explain contemporary shifts in tourist mobility. By foregrounding how these interrelated factors co-determine destination attractiveness, the model provides a nuanced understanding of why travelers increasingly prefer cooler, safer environments. It advances the discourse on climate-induced tourism by incorporating dynamic tourist risk thresholds, highlighting the fluidity of traveler behavior as environmental conditions evolve. Furthermore, the inclusion of destination repositioning strategies and cross-border spillover effects offers practical insights into how tourism flows are reshaping spatially and temporally. Overall, the model serves as a valuable tool for academics and practitioners alike to anticipate and manage the complexities of climate-driven mobility in tourism ecosystems.

The model underscores the urgency of proactive and integrated planning to address the multifaceted challenges posed by climate variability on tourism patterns. Strategic destination repositioning is imperative to align offerings with emerging climate preferences, while investments in adaptive infrastructure enhance destination resilience and visitor well-being. Effective management of cross-border tourism spillovers calls for coordinated governance mechanisms that transcend administrative boundaries, fostering regional collaboration to ensure sustainable growth and equitable resource distribution. Policymakers must prioritize multi-level governance frameworks that balance economic, environmental, and social objectives, embedding climate adaptation into tourism development agendas. Emphasizing transparency, stakeholder engagement, and capacity building will be crucial to foster resilient, inclusive, and forward-looking tourism systems responsive to climate realities.

To further strengthen and operationalize this conceptual framework, empirical validation across diverse geographic and climatic contexts is essential. Longitudinal studies examining how evolving climate scenarios influence long-term migration and destination preference patterns will deepen understanding of mobility dynamics. Interdisciplinary research combining climate science, behavioral economics, and tourism management can elucidate the mechanisms driving tourist decision-making under climate stress. Additionally, exploring the socio-economic impacts of tourism redistribution on host communities and ecosystems will provide a holistic view of adaptation outcomes. Finally, advancing methods to quantify the effectiveness of adaptive infrastructure and policy interventions will support evidence-based decision-making, guiding sustainable tourism development in an increasingly climate-volatile world.

6. References

1. Adedara MT, Nwankwo SO, Christopher OA, Oluwole A, Ademowo AJ. Climate Change Impacts on Destination Choices: Shifts in Tourism Patterns and Hospitality Responses. In 2024 International Conference on Science, Engineering and Business for Driving Sustainable Development Goals (SEB4SDG), 2024: IEEE, pp. 1-8.
2. Handmer J, *et al*. Changes in impacts of climate extremes: Human systems and ecosystems. Managing the risks of extreme events and disasters to advance climate change adaptation special report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change, 2012, 231-290.
3. Zachariadis T, Zachariadis T. Climate change impacts. Climate Change in Cyprus: Review of the Impacts and Outline of an Adaptation Strategy, 2016, 25-49.
4. Scott D, Hall CM, Stefan G. Tourism and climate change: Impacts, adaptation and mitigation. Routledge, 2012.

5. Gómez-Martín MB, Armesto-López XA, Martínez-Ibarra E. The Spanish tourist sector facing extreme climate events: A case study of domestic tourism in the heat wave of 2003. International Journal of Biometeorology. 2014; 58:781-797.
6. Gebbisa MB, Yasin AS, Bacsi Z. Impacts of Weather Variability on the International Tourism Receipts—Evidence from Ethiopia (1995–2019). Tourism and Hospitality. 2024; 5(2):416-438.
7. Scott D, Gössling S, Hall CM. International tourism and climate change. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change. 2012; 3(3):213-232.
8. Dube K, Nhamo G. Climate variability, change and potential impacts on tourism: Evidence from the Zambian side of the Victoria Falls. Environmental Science & Policy. 2018; 84:113-123.
9. Afshardoost M, Lohmann G, de Oliveira Santos GE, Moyle B. Planning for Weather Variability: Towards an Integrated Conceptual Framework. Tourism Planning & Development, 2024, 1-22.
10. Rosselló-Nadal J. How to evaluate the effects of climate change on tourism. Tourism Management. 2014; 42:334-340.
11. Tang J, Wu H, Ramos V, Sriboonchitta S. The role of weather conditions on tourists' decision-making process: A theoretical framework and an application to China's inbound visitors. Current Issues in Tourism. 2021; 24(24):3450-3465.
12. Goh C. Exploring impact of climate on tourism demand. Annals of tourism research. 2012; 39(4):1859-1883.
13. Ridderstaat J, Oduber M, Croes R, Nijkamp P, Martens P. Impacts of seasonal patterns of climate on recurrent fluctuations in tourism demand: Evidence from Aruba. Tourism Management. 2014; 41:245-256.
14. Fortune S-M. The role of climatic factors in determining tourist satisfaction: The case of five Indian Ocean Islands. University of Johannesburg (South Africa), 2018.
15. Becken S. The importance of climate and weather for tourism: Literature review, 2010.
16. Graber J, Widmer K, Walker J. Climate health risks to children and adolescents: Exposures, policy and practice interventions, 2024.
17. McMichael C. Climatic and environmental change, migration, and health. Annual Review of Public Health. 2023; 44(1):171-191.
18. Islam MZ. Climate Change and Dengue Fever: Vulnerability and Potential Adaptation Responses in Urban Settings of Bangladesh, 2015.
19. Begou P, Kassomenos P. The ecosyndemic framework of the global environmental change and the COVID-19 pandemic. Science of The Total Environment. 2023; 857:159327.
20. Courtney-Wolfman L. Urban heat waves and adaptive capacity: How can social infrastructure help reduce vulnerability? In Handbook of Social Infrastructure: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2024, 289-311.
21. Sheffield P. 07 Human Health and Safety.
22. Sen A, Chatterjee A. Confronting the health impacts of climate change: A comprehensive exploration. Preserving health, preserving earth: The path to sustainable healthcare, 2024, 1-9.
23. Jarratt D, Davies NJ. Planning for climate change impacts: Coastal tourism destination resilience policies. Tourism Planning & Development. 2020; 17(4):423-440.
24. Tanrisever C, Pamukçu H, Baydeniz E. Climate change in tourism: Understanding the impacts and opportunities for sustainability. In Future tourism trends volume 1: Tourism in the changing world: Emerald Publishing Limited, 2024, 33-45.
25. Oyetunji TS, Erinjogunola FL, Ajirotutu RO, Adeyemi AB, Ohakawa TC, Adio SA. A Smart AI Framework for Construction Compliance, Quality Assurance, and Risk Management in Housing Projects, 2024.
26. Oyeyipo I, *et al*. Investigating the effectiveness of microlearning approaches in corporate training programs for skill enhancement. Gulf Journal of Advance Business Research. 2024; 2(6):493-505.
27. Al-Thani DSK, *et al*. Eco-Tourism for Climate Resilience, 2024.
28. Wang D, Xu P-Y, An B-W, Guo Q-P. Urban green infrastructure: Bridging biodiversity conservation and sustainable urban development through adaptive management approach. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution. 2024; 12:1440477.
29. Chester M, El Asmar M, Hayes S, Desha C. Post-disaster infrastructure delivery for resilience. Sustainability. 2021; 13(6):3458.
30. Chang SE, McDaniels T, Fox J, Dhariwal R, Longstaff H. Toward disaster‐resilient cities: Characterizing resilience of infrastructure systems with expert judgments. Risk analysis. 2014; 34(3):416-434.
31. Bethune E, Buhalis D, Miles L. Real time response (RTR): Conceptualizing a smart systems approach to destination resilience. Journal of Destination Marketing & Management. 2022; 23:100687.
32. Ojo B. Strategies for the optimization of critical infrastructure projects to enhance urban resilience to climate change. The Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research. 2024; 11:107-123.
33. McAllister T, McAllister T. Developing guidelines and standards for disaster resilience of the built environment: A research needs assessment. US Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology, 2013.
34. Rauf HA, Wolff E, Hamel P. Climate resilience in informal settlements: The role of natural infrastructure: A focus on climate adaptation. in The Palgrave Encyclopedia of Urban and Regional Futures: Springer, 2023, 269-277.
35. Fagbore OO, Ogeawuchi JC, Ilori O, Isibor NJ, Odetunde A, Adekunle BI. Building Cross-Functional Collaboration Models Between Compliance, Risk, and Business Units in Finance, 2024.
36. Oyetunji TS, Erinjogunola FL, Ajirotutu RO, Adeyemi AB, Ohakawa TC, Adio SA. Smart Data-Driven Analysis of Affordable Housing Crisis Impact on Underserved Communities, 2024.
37. Tucci F. Adaptive Design and Green Building Approach for the City of the Future. Activating Public Space, 2020, 77.
38. Jaiswal A, Sagar R, Pandey A, Yadav D, Ansari M, Rawat R. Building Resilient Urban Futures: Adapting Cities to Climate Change Challenges. in Cities of

Tomorrow: Urban Resilience and Climate Change Preparedness: Springer, 2024, 51-68.
39. Fagbore OO, Ogeawuchi JC, Ilori O, Isibor NJ, Odetunde A, Adekunle BI. Conceptual Design of Ethical Investment Assessment Models Using AI-Enhanced Financial Decision Tools, 2024.
40. Font X, Hindley A. Understanding tourists' reactance to the threat of a loss of freedom to travel due to climate change: A new alternative approach to encouraging nuanced behavioural change. Journal of Sustainable Tourism. 2017; 25(1):26-42.
41. Williams AM, Baláž V. Tourism, risk tolerance and competences: Travel organization and tourism hazards. Tourism Management. 2013; 35:209-221.
42. Richards R, Meynecke J-O, Sahin O. Addressing dynamic uncertainty in the whale-watching industry under climate change and system shocks. Science of the Total Environment. 2021; 756:143889.
43. Biardeau LT, Sahli M. Investigating the non-linear impacts of seven types of natural disasters on inbound tourism: Insights from the EM-DAT database. Tourism Economics. 2024; 30(4):900-923.
44. Semenza JC, Ebi KL. Climate change impact on migration, travel, travel destinations and the tourism industry. Journal of Travel Medicine. 2019; 26(5):taz026.
45. Zhu N, Zeng G, Li X, Zhong Z. Optimum spatial scale of regional tourism cooperation based on spillover effects in tourism flows. Tourism Economics. 2023; 29(2):409-436.
46. Mishra P, Singh G. Energy management systems in sustainable smart cities based on the internet of energy: A technical review. Energies. 2023; 16(19):6903.
47. McCall A. Assessment and Mitigation of Environmental Impacts in Large-Scale Infrastructure Development, 2024.
48. Argyroudis SA, *et al*. Digital technologies can enhance climate resilience of critical infrastructure. Climate Risk Management. 2022; 35:100387.