



Received: 07-02-2025
Accepted: 27-03-2025

ISSN: 2583-049X

Neuro-Bioelectronics Retina Leaky and Integrate Neuronal Models Simulations with Neurophysiology-Neurobiology Extrapolations Second Part

¹ Francisco Casesnoves

¹ PhD Engineering, MSc Physics-Mathematics, Physician, Independent Research Scientist, International Association of Advanced Materials, Sweden

² UniScience Global Scientific Member, Wyoming, USA

Corresponding Author: **Francisco Casesnoves** [Email: casesnoves.research.emailbox@gmail.com]

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.62225/2583049X.2025.5.2.4022>

Abstract

Based on former publications, some Structural Retina models are 2D-3D digitally simulated. Constrained evolutionary 3D-2D optimization algorithms for Integrate and Fire (IAF) and Leaky IAF (LIAF) retina models are simulated with realistic neurophysiological literature-datasets, with/without refractory period. The mathematical methods are varied. Namely, 2D digital simulations, 3D-spatial Pareto-Multiobjective Optimization (PMO) for 3D

IAF, and Graphical-Interior Optimization, Matlab and GNU-Octave. Results comprise 2D-3D graphical series and numerical-optimal records. Neuro-Bioelectronics applications for Retina Neuronal Models are explained with details. Based on previous contributions, deduced initial pre-hypotheses for rational-conscious human-thinking, general visual system, and neurology-neuropsychiatry networks are studied.

Keywords: Neuron, Retina, Integrate and Fire Model (IAF), Pareto-Multiobjective Optimization (PMO), Mathematical Methods (MM), Biological Models (BM)

1. Introduction and Objectives

Generally, briefly speaking, neuronal retinal models can be included/classified into two main groups. Namely, the broad neural networks classification and the retina specific category. Within the first are the Rate-Code, and the Time-Code (this later related to spike trains and the former data can be guessed from this one). Secondly, and specifically for retina, according to retina internal structure, there are Structural and Functional models. Structural models are classically set mostly by Differential and Integral equations. Neural retina models, e. g., IAF and LIAF are not exclusive for retina neurobiology. Instead, they are implemented for several brain structures. Previously, ^[26], Integrate and Fire (IAF) classical retina model was optimized for several parameters with PMO. That model constitutes a base for more improved ones, such as LIAF Model (Leaking IAF Model, LIAF) ^[1-7]. Its mathematical algorithms are based on ordinary differential and integral equations of First Class (usually integral equations are the analytic solutions from differential equations). Both IAF and LIAF can be simulated with/without refractory period. More largely, the visual system can be seen as a part of the Fundamental Barrier Model, previously developed/improved, (Casesnoves, 2023, [Casesnoves Bioengineering Laboratory Algorithms 23.11] Instance Figure 1). Additionally for further clarification-introduction, one LIAF example in Instance Figure 2 [Casesnoves Bioengineering Laboratory Software 16.23].

The visual pathway resembles in some way to the modern artificial intelligence advances. However, nature had designed its proper 'artificial intelligence' millions of years ago. In other words, the conversion of photons energy and spectrum-parts into an image takes several 'human computational' steps to create a 3D image in brain, and compared to other living beings, complemented with colors, contrast, bright, movements-dynamic, and what is more, spatial resolution of distances, superpositions, angles and spatial-memory coordination/comparison with previous images. Modelling in computational neuroscience implies the evoked potentials encode information, and, ^[1], 'given a spike train, how the stimulus that originated it can be reconstructed'. Namely, neurobiological coding and decoding, and their possible applicable mathematical models. Generally speaking, from ^[1], the rather high difficulty is how the visual image is encoded into the spike trains that are sent to

the brain, through the optic nerve. Therefore, according to Author's proposal, there are questions of importance, [1-7], such as,

1. The conversion of light into a spike pattern. That is, make over the photon spectrum and energy with its wavelengths, wave frequency into transmitting neuronal spikes. This interaction is external-to-internal, and is a key factor for getting vision accuracy, Instance Figure 1. Without photon-spikes mechanism, the faculty of this crucial sense would be impossible. Modern electronics sensors are inspired in these natural neural photoreceptors (around 120 millions). Once gathered the information, it is compressed-filtered and transmitted by the optical nerve with about 1 million fibers.
2. There are number of factors, such as, approximated nonlinearity (the functions that link stimuli at ganglia and firing rate), and scarcely linear for some ganglion cells. Moreover, spatial resolution and increasing/decreasing contrast stimuli is computed by neural ganglion cells. There might possibly non-discovered additional factors.
3. However, in this topic several rather important questions remain. Such as, for instance [directly from 1], 'whether the neural code is a rate code or a time code or whether the neural code of the retina can be viewed as an individual process, or where each individual neuron cell acts independently, or as a population process'.

Given all these neurophysiological hurdles, and general neurobiological ones, Author's proposal is, External-Internal Mathematical Barrier Model. *'The barrier between the external and internal media is crucial for survival because of multiple and different physiological and anatomical factors, which defines the essentiality of human life endurance'*. That is, Instance Figure 1, the vision, the neurophysiological functions, temperature control, humidity and sweat controls related to temperature, mechanical and musculoskeletal factors, immunology, and many others, Instance Figure 1. Important remark: those mathematical models are interrelated, That is, when an individual integrates the image of some obstacles at the floor, then through brain networks, the musculoskeletal model-system is activated to sort those hurdles.






Fig 1

Instance Figure 1.-Sketch of Fundamental Barrier Mathematical Model, [Casesnoves Bioengineering

Laboratory 2.11]. Almost all interactions through the biological barrier can be modelled mathematically. The Fundamental barrier Model is crucial to keep the homeostasis during all lifetime. **Important remark:** those mathematical models are interrelated, That is, when a person integrates the image of some obstacle at the floor, through brain networks, the musculoskeletal model-system is activated to sort that hurdle. The Fundamental Barrier Model defines the existence and biological boundaries of any being, not exclusively the animals or humans.



a)



b)

Fig 2

Instance Figure 2.- (First with GNU-Octave, second with Matlab) 2D LIAF simulation, Input Intensity, Firing rate, and Threshold Potential. Almost exact simulation. Dataset for programming patterns: $I_s = 1.5 \text{ nA}$, $C_m = 1 \text{ nF}$, $R_m = 10 \text{ M}$, and $T_{ref} \approx 5 \text{ ms}$; ($V_{th} = 10 \text{ mV}$, $V_{threshold} = 50 \text{ mV}$, simulation time 100 ms)). Note: the program is designed in splines, so the totally exact points at graph differ something in magnitude. [Casesnoves Bioengineering Laboratory Software 16.23].

The Leaky Integrate-and-Fire Model is the first succeeding advancement of IAF. Both IAF and LIAF models constitute the initial powerful ones before advancing to more complicated retina and neuronal networks models in general. Those ones show exponentials, derivatives, and complementary integrals [1-7].

The objectives of this study are various. First and formerly, to optimize a number of parameters for a series of published values of IAF and LIAF models, with/without refractory period. Additionally, their equations and their numerical and analytical solutions are explained based on literature [1-7]. The methods uses computational intelligence programming with Genetic Algorithms (GA) Pareto-Multiobjective

software, this is 3D-2D Pareto-Simple new-included programs. Innovation of this article includes imaging processing results for LIAF Model extended 2D digital simulations and the addition of varied simulations with/without refractory period. The second part objectives are define and justify a number of pre-hypothesis paradigms related to brain intelligence developments in humans. That part is based on Darwin evolutionary biological theory and fundamental neurobiology [25, 26].

This second part of the study involves a number of pre-hypotheses for brain cognitive thinking, optical system biologically evolved, and neuropsychiatry extrapolated notes. All of them grounded on Evolutionary Theory and its up-to-present consequent improvements.

Grosso modo, LIAF and IAF models were optimized with 2D and 3D computational intelligence techniques 3D-2D PMO algorithms with/without refractory period. Additional mathematical background for retina models is explained. Results comprise acceptable numerical values, graphs, and numerical dataset. A number of neurophysiology extrapolations pre-hypothesis are supplemented.

2. Mathematical and Computational Methods

Mathematical models equations are set in this section. The first model for simulations is Leaky IAF, it is explained and detailed firstly. The second list of more explained reviewed formulas corresponds to the IAF model described in previous contributions. Numerical and analytic solutions are discussed.

Leaky IAF Basic Differential Equation Model

The first variant of the basic LIAF is an ordinary differential equation of first order. This model takes into account that the previous stimulus do not get influence over the following ones, which is an approximation. Its formulation, [1-7, 26], [Casesnoves Bioengineering Laboratory Algorithms 23.11], reads,

$$V_m(t) = R_m \left[I_s - C_m \times \frac{dV_m}{dt} \right];$$

for t continuous variable or, $t_i \geq t \leq t_{i+1}$ if taken $dV_m \cong \Delta V_m$; and $dt \cong \Delta t$; for numerical; the product $C_m R_m$ is usually set as, $C_m R_m = \tau_m$ (1)

Where, provided that units magnitudes are changed for software implementation,

- C_m (F): Neuron membrane capacity.
- I_s (t) (A): Input current.
- T_{REF} (s): Refractory time.
- V_m (V): Membrane potential.
- R_m (Ω): Membrane resistance.
- dt (s): Differential of time.

This model, Equation 1, can be programmed numerically, but a number of mixed numerical-analytic solutions are also feasible. For example, the complete analytic solution is an integral equation that can be resolved numerically.

LIAF Analytical Solution without/with Functional Series

The analytic option for programming the LIAF model could set the equation as direct analytic solution within the program patterns. The analytic solutions go from simple

exponentials to integral equations with Heaviside functions and convolutions [1-7]. The exponentials of the analytic solutions can also be approximated by series. So, for simplicity it is not included the analytic solution that involves Heaviside functions. One quite simple analytical solution is,

$$V_m(t) = V_r \times e^{-\left[\frac{t-t_i}{\tau_m} \right]} + R_m \times I_s \times \left[1 - e^{-\left[\frac{t-t_i}{\tau_m} \right]} \right];$$

for t continuous variable or, $t_i \geq t \leq t_{i+1}$
it can be taken $abs[t - t_i] \cong \Delta t$; for numerical programming, the product $C_m R_m$ is usually set as, $C_m R_m = \tau_m$; (1.1)

where all parameters can be read from Equation (1). This solution yields an integral equation rather more complicated. Note that a series approximations for exponentials are feasible. This equation is implemented computationally here with/without refractory period.

LIAF Numerical Solution

From Equation 1, the numerical programming of LIAF has to be rearranged to set a for loop at least. That software can be performed by several programming systems. Therefore, the resulting algorithm is as follows,

$$V_m(t) = V_m(t) + dt \times (V_i - V_m(t) + I_m \times R_m) / \tau;$$

for $t_i \geq t \leq t_{i+1}$; (2)

Where,

- R_m (F): Neuron membrane resistance.
- I_m (t) (A): Intensity membrane current.
- V_m (V): Membrane Voltage potential.
- V_i (V): Force Potential
- Dt (s): Differential of time.
- τ (s): Firing rate (Tau).

IAF Basic Model

The simplest Integrate retina model, IAF, and for neuron models in general, without exponentials, is based on [1-7, 26]. Its standard formulation begins with a first order integral equation. The integral equation is usually simulated with numerical methods. It reads,

$$V_m(t) = V_r + \frac{1}{C_m} \times \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_s(t) dt;$$

for $t_i \geq t \leq t_{i+1}$; (3)

Where,

- C_m (F): neuron membrane capacity.
- I_s (t) (A): Input current.
- V_r (V): Reset potential.
- (t_{i+1} is the time instant of the next generated spike after the spike in t_i).

If I_s is constant, [1-7, 26], the integral equation solution reads,

If $I_s(t) = I_s, \text{ constant } t,$
 Integral Equation Analytic Solution,

$$V_m(t) = V_r + \frac{1}{C_m} \times I_s(t - t_i),$$

 for $t_i \leq t \leq t_{i+1};$ (4)

Where parameters are the same as detailed in Algorithm (1)

This Equation 4 is simulated in 3D PMO programs. Firing rate is essential as it means the frequency of spikes during the spikes train. Vision accuracy and precision depend on it. To build the objective function, the algorithm, provided I_s be constant, and getting firing rate as parameter also, r , from [1-7, 26], is software-engineered and applied,

firing rate $r,$

$$r = \frac{I_s}{C_m \times V_\theta + T_{REF} \times I_s};$$
 (5)

where,

- C_m (F): neuron membrane capacity.
- I_s (A): Input current.
- T_{REF} (s): Refractory time.
- V_r (V): Reset potential.
- V_θ (V): Threshold potential
- r (s): Firing rate

In such simple-model way, the objective function applied for computational intelligence genetic algorithms, [1-7, 8-16, 23-24, 26] based on IAF model is shown in next subsection.

LIAF Numerical Optimization Objective Functions

The optimization objective function can be set related to one important parameter, I_s . For inverse implementation in software as follows,

minimize Chebyshev L_1
 objective function,

$$\left\| I_s - \frac{r C_m \times (V_\theta - V_r)}{1 - r T_{ref}} \right\|;$$

 subject to,
 Table 1 constraints;

- where,
- C_m (mF): neuron membrane capacity.
 - I_s (mA): Input current.
 - T_{REF} (ms): Refractory time.
 - V_r (mV): Reset potential.
 - V_θ (mV): Threshold Potential.
 - r (ms): Firing rate.

(Algorithm 6, Casesnoves 2022)

The IAF input computational data is presented at Table 1. All figures belong to standard values [1-7, 26, 27]. Note at program: parameters are not given in ‘V, A’, it is usual to implement units in ‘mV, mA, ms, etc’. Are set in program as detailed in the rest of Algorithms. Table 1 shows optimization dataset intervals applied for optimization intervals in this and previous publications.

Table 1: From [26] and for Figures 6-10, parameters setting for the IAF previous study [1-7, 26], to get 3D-2D PMO-GA optimal results.

PMO NUMERICAL PROGRAMMING DATASET	
PARAMETER	OPTIMIZATION VALUE/INTERVAL
C_m F	$1e-9$
$I_s(t)$ A	$[1e-3, 1.8e-3]$
T_{REF} s	$5e-3$
V_r v	$[20e-3, 60e-3]$
V_θ v	$[20e-3, 80e-3]$
r s	$[0.001, 0.007]$

3. 2D Digital Matlab And GNU-Octave Simulations for LIAF Model with Refractory Period. Graphical and Numerical Results

Matlab and GNU-Octave LIAF simulation series. The LIAF input computational data is presented at Figures 1-5. All figures belong to standard literature values [1-7, 26, 27]. Parameters dataset are set in program-graphs as detailed in the rest of Algorithms. A number of values for digital simulations are detailed at every figure, Figures 1-5.




Fig 1: -2D LIAF simulation, Input Intensity, Firing rate, and Threshold Potential. Almost exact simulation. Dataset for programming patterns: ($I_s = 5 \text{ nA}$, $C_m = 1 \text{ nF}$, $R_m = 10 \text{ M}\Omega$, and $T_{ref} \approx 5 \text{ ms}$; ($V_{th} = 10 \text{ mV}$, $V_{spike} = 50 \text{ mV}$, simulation time 50 ms)). Note: the program is designed in splines, so the totally exact points at graph differ something in magnitude. [Casesnoves Bioengineering Laboratory Software 16.24].




Fig 1.1: -GNU-Octave 2D LIAF simulation, Input Intensity, Firing rate, and Threshold Potential. Almost exact simulation. Dataset for programming patterns: ($I_s = 5 \text{ nA}$, $C_m = 1 \text{ nF}$, $R_m = 10 \text{ M}\Omega$, and $T_{ref} \approx 5 \text{ ms}$; ($V_{th} = 10 \text{ mV}$, $V_{spike} = 50 \text{ mV}$, simulation time 50 ms)). Note: the program is designed in splines, and that makes some small image precision bias




Fig 2:- 2D LIAF simulation, Input Intensity, Firing rate, and Threshold Potential. Almost exact simulation. Dataset for programming patterns: ($I_s = 5 \text{ nA}$, $C_m = 1 \text{ nF}$, $R_m = 10 \text{ M}\Omega$, and $T_{ref} \approx 4 \text{ ms}$; ($V_{th} = 10 \text{ mV}$, $V_{spike} = 40 \text{ mV}$, simulation time 50 ms)). Note: the program is designed in splines, so the totally exact points at graph differ something in magnitude. [Casesnoves Bioengineering Laboratory Software 16.25].




Fig 4: - 2D LIAF simulation, Input Intensity, Firing rate, and Threshold Potential. Almost exact simulation. Dataset for programming patterns: ($I_s = 5 \text{ nA}$, $C_m = 1 \text{ nF}$, $R_m = 10 \text{ M}\Omega$, and $T_{ref} \approx 7 \text{ ms}$; ($V_{th} = 10 \text{ mV}$, $V_{spike} = 50 \text{ mV}$, simulation time 50 ms)). Note: the program is designed in splines, so the totally exact points at graph differ something in magnitude. [Casesnoves Bioengineering Laboratory Software 16.27].




Fig 3:- 2D LIAF simulation, Input Intensity, Firing rate, and Threshold Potential. Almost exact simulation. Dataset for programming patterns: ($I_s = 5 \text{ nA}$, $C_m = 1 \text{ nF}$, $R_m = 10 \text{ M}\Omega$, and $T_{ref} \approx 3 \text{ ms}$; ($V_{th} = 10 \text{ mV}$, $V_{spike} = 50 \text{ mV}$, simulation time 50 ms)). Note: the program is designed in splines, so the totally exact points at graph differ something in magnitude. [Casesnoves Bioengineering Laboratory Software 16.26].




Fig 5: - 2D LIAF simulation, Input Intensity, Firing rate, and Threshold Potential. Almost exact simulation. Dataset for programming patterns: ($I_s = 2 \text{ nA}$, $C_m = 1 \text{ nF}$, $R_m = 10 \text{ M}\Omega$, and $T_{ref} \approx 4 \text{ ms}$; ($V_{th} = 10 \text{ mV}$, $V_{spike} = 40 \text{ mV}$, simulation time 100 ms)). Note: the program is designed in splines, so the totally exact points at graph differ something in magnitude, but with $I_s = 2 \text{ nA}$ it is almost exact. [Casesnoves Bioengineering Laboratory Software 16.28].




Fig 3.1: - GNU-Octave 2D LIAF simulation, Input Intensity, Firing rate, and Threshold Potential. Almost exact simulation. Dataset for programming patterns: ($I_s = 5 \text{ nA}$, $C_m = 1 \text{ nF}$, $R_m = 10 \text{ M}\Omega$, and $T_{ref} \approx 3 \text{ ms}$; ($V_{th} = 10 \text{ mV}$, $V_{spike} = 50 \text{ mV}$, simulation time 50 ms)). Note: the program is designed in splines, so the totally exact points at graph differ something in magnitude. [Casesnoves Bioengineering Laboratory Software 16.26].




Fig 5.1: - GNU-Octave 2D LIAF simulation, Input Intensity, Firing rate, and Threshold Potential. Almost exact simulation. Dataset for programming patterns: ($I_s = 2 \text{ nA}$, $C_m = 1 \text{ nF}$, $R_m = 10 \text{ M}\Omega$, and $T_{ref} \approx 4 \text{ ms}$; ($V_{th} = 10 \text{ mV}$, $V_{spike} = 40 \text{ mV}$, simulation time 100 ms)). Note: the program is designed in splines, so the totally exact points at graph differ something in magnitude, but with $I_s = 2 \text{ nA}$ it is almost exact. [Casesnoves Bioengineering Laboratory Software 16.28].

4. 3D IAF Without Refractory Period Graphical and Numerical Pareto Optimization Results

It is included for clarification a review of previous articles results in 3D PMO-GA optimization for IAF model without refractory period. New 3D PMO charts are supplemented. Results are presented in 3D-2D GA graphics, Figures 6-9 and numerically, Table 3. These are 3D-2D Graphical and Numerical predictions. Complete graphical results for 3D-2D evolutionary PMO optimization are shown in Figures 1-3. [Casesnoves Bioengineering Laboratory Software].

3D-2D Pareto-Optimization Software Results

From [26], graphics at Figures 6-9 show results for a number of parameters whose determination is detailed in Table 3. All of them get acceptable results for pareto 1, pareto 2, and pareto 3 functions. Remark: it is not usual getting one optimal 3D pareto point, rather a points cloud, and in this case that indicates the precision of the program designed.




Fig 8: -3D simulation, Input Intensity, Firing rate, and Reset Potential. Almost exact. Numerical results in Table 2. [Casesnoves Bioengineering Laboratory Software 16.31].




Fig 9: -2D simulation, Input Intensity and Firing rate. Almost exact. Numerical results in Table 2. [Casesnoves Bioengineering Laboratory Software 16.32].




Fig 6: -First tentative 3D simulation to try further clearer/improved graphs. Input Intensity, Firing rate, and Threshold Potential. Almost exact. Numerical results in Table 2. [Casesnoves Bioengineering Laboratory Software 16.29].




Fig 6.1: -Searching optimal values yet. 3D simulation to try further clearer/improved graphs. Input Intensity, Firing rate, and Threshold Potential. Almost exact. Numerical detailed results can be found at Table 2. [Casesnoves Bioengineering Laboratory Software 16.291].




Fig 7: -3D simulation, Input Intensity, Firing rate, and Threshold Potential. Almost exact. Numerical results in Table 2. [Casesnoves Bioengineering Laboratory Software 16.30].

5. 3D PMO IAF Numerical Results and Review

Numerical results for LIAF are set along Figures 1-5. IAF 3D PMO numerical results are included in Table 2. Optimal intervals are detailed. Residuals are acceptable, almost null, Figures 6-9.

Table 2: -Dataset from programming software results in 3D PMO for IAF without refractory period

PMO NUMERICAL PROGRAMMING RESULTS	
PARAMETER	OPTIMAL VALUE
OPTIMIZATION RESIDUALS FOR ALL PROGRAMS	≈ 0
I_s (t) A	0.0010
V_r v	0.0255
V_0 v	0.0686
r s	0.00341

6. 3D-2D IAF Without Refractory Period Graphical and Numerical Optimization Results

This innovative section deals with LIAF 2D simulation without refractory period. The 2D digital software is different from Figures 1-5.




Fig 10: - 2D digital simulation for LIAF model without refractory period: ($I_s = 1.55$ nA, $R_m = 10$ M Ω , and ($V_{th} = 10$ mV, $V_{spike} = 50$ mV, $V_{reset} = 75$ mV, simulation time 120 ms)). [Casesnoves Bioengineering Laboratory Software 16.33].

7. Review and extension: Neuro-bioelectronics modelling applications and neurophysiology of human brain-thinking pre-hypotheses


This section reviews and develops the previous extrapolation of brain and retine neurophysiology to human thinking pre-hypotheses [25, 26]. The previously published, [26], pre-hypothesis points in brief are as follows,

1. **why, because of which, for what humans think, and why humans have survival instinct?** For survival mainly.
2. The Central Questioning is: **why humans ignore almost all about human brain operation and at the same time humans are using it for rational thinking and reasoning?** That is, why humans use a ‘thinking-computer-machine’ and ignore its operation?. It seems be a nonsense paradigm/situation.
3. why humans beings think?: primary hypothesis: For survival mainly. However, the nature laws might have made human brain, and humans actually ignore the key of those knowledge almost at all.
4. Fundamental interrogate: For what we think?: For specie survival within the biological earth environment.
5. The cognitive-thinking might also have surged after millions of random trials, (nature-optimization: Trial and Error), during millions of years to improve the survival capacity of humans.
6. Remark literally from [26]: Mathematical stochastic optimization idea for evolutionary biology does not belong to author. It was discovered long time ago. However, without any mathematical background. Example [25, page 62],

But the variability, which we almost universally meet with in our domestic productions, is not directly produced, as Hooker and Asa Gray have well remarked, by man; he can neither originate varieties, nor prevent their occurrence; he can only preserve and accumulate such as do occur. Unintentionally he exposes organic

7. Generation after generation, for what humans think?: Perhaps the cell differentiation of neurons go forward changes, improves along successive generations, in the same way than the body structure, bones dimensions, and anatomical-physiological attributes change from generation to next generation.
8. Why humans have to study/think to guess-understand-discover their proper reasoning-cognitive system?: that might be a subsequent growing step after the surge of thinking capability.
9. The random matter organization could take many different ways. Therefore, biological animal life, developed initially by presence of water, might not be considered exclusive, and at universe might exists possible different forms of ‘life’ as it is named by science.
10. The emotions, playful sensations, emotional pleasure feelings, brain pleasure stimuli (music, visual, for example), might constitute a survival method as a catalyzer for further usage of exclusive rational thinking in survival tasks.

Further Deductions with Previous Review



Sketch 1: From previous publications, resume of Pre-Hypothesis. Human beings might obey almost totally unknown natural laws that make them think/reason for survival. In that sense, human thinking might be a part of an automatic-unknown survival chain driven by superior/ignored laws of nature at present. Currently, it is possible to get research results for ‘the how’, ‘the where’, ‘the when’, and something about ‘the for what’, but not too many responses for ‘the because of’ or ‘the from that/where’.

These further concepts (pre-hypothesis) related to [26], are set in Sketch 1, with improved pre-hypothesis details. To add some extrapolation comments, it is necessary to take a realistic overview of planet earth life proportions related to universe—provided the confirmed dimensions of universe as those are known at the present stages. That is, the earth life and dimensions compared to universe ones can be considered almost null. If the earth magnitude size is divided by the approximated universe dimensions, the quotient is almost null. This implies that the earth planet significance/existence is almost irrelevant within the universe. Mathematically speaking, earth can be considered one point within the 3D universe mathematical infinite points set (closed or open, unknown at the moment). However, the subjective unrealistic perception of human beings, in a kind of like relativistic physics sense, is that the earth constitutes a center of essential importance in the universe. That is, reasoning in the same way than at Middle Ages, when it was believed that the solar system orbits were rotating around the earth. Nevertheless, those concepts do not mean that investigation/progress could be unpractical/unuseful or a nonsense task.

8. Review and extension: Derived pre-hypotheses extrapolations for neurophysiology-neurobiology examples

Following the previous section extrapolations, this one deals with further extrapolations of neuronal physiology network for some pre-consideration additional evidences about the biological optical neurosystem rapid evolution/adaptation, and reflection for malfunctioning of some neuronal systems in psychiatric disorders. Namely, the example of the visual adaptation of the squirrels optics, exterior and interior, and the pre-hypothesis about the neurophysiological-neurobiology interferences, for instance, in the retina-optical neurobiological-system or auditive one at some psychiatric disorders. This second extrapolation should be seen as a cautious pre-hypothesis^[26, 27].

For observation of the optical neurobiological system internal and external of the squirrels, as a choice among the nature for plain catching up the idea, a basic natural evidence is shown. First, the squirrels spherical eyes are big and almost totally spherical-shaped, just like the current common type of surveillance cameras. So they can cover all the angles for its spherical geometry. At the same time, the eyes are separated at cranial anatomical semisphere or ellipsoid by about 180 degrees. This is the optimal anatomical position selected by evolution to cover almost all the possible vision angles. What is more, the darkness of the eyes, kind of black, permits to catch up all the wavelengths and do not reflect any light spectrum, saving all the visual signals (just to remind the physics black body theory). The survival-evolution at trees, as a pre-hypothesis, 'built' this impressive system for squirrels survival within a predators environment and at the same time to avoid risks of any movement at the branches of the trees and those high spots over the ground.

However, [28, pages 571-572, for example], scientific controversy remains about the Evolution Theory applied on the nature design of the optical systems, and particularly the eye for different species. It might be seen as a difficult discussion, and *ex aequo*, it might be possible to maintain that nature evolution is not perfect. Specially with those parts/systems of animals or humans that do not depend exclusively on the internal medium. In fact, they depend of multiple external variables determined by nature. The evidence is that the percentage of humans whose eyes do not have any defect is not high, and the proving evidence to continue with the controversy is that symmetry and perfection in natural parts of anatomy and physiology fails in a high percentage of humans. For instance, the congenital chardiopathies are not unfrequent because of the difficulties to develop embriologically a perfect heart. That happens with the musculoskeletal system and other essential parts of the body. All things considered, Evolution Theory and its improvements is realistically what is available at the moment. Further, some unpredictable abnormalities might suppose kind of advantages for special skills.

The second extrapolations comes from the retina neuronal models and clinical neurobiology at this and previous publication series^[26, 27, 28]. It is extensively proven by MRI,^[27] histology and anatomical-pathology studies that mental disorders show alteration in neuronal activations and neurotransmitters, among several others^[27, 28]. Given the fact, for example, that some psychiatric patients suffer visual hallucinations, those disorder-symptoms could be interpreted as a biased stimulus for the retina-brain

neuronal-neurobiological vias. That is, stimulus for the wrong neurons and at the wrong time, and possibly with the wrong neurotransmitters both in type and quantity. That implies that mental disorders symptoms, no matter detected experimentally with evidence or not, might have a determined neurobiological-physiological cause. Therefore, the rather great difficulties to cure/treat mental disorders symptoms with pharmacological drugs might come from the fact that it is extremely difficult the modify a neurophysiological network just exactly and ideal-exclusively for avoiding those biased stimulus that might produce the referred problem. Following the idea, the actually unavoidable side effects of those psychiatric drugs might come form that current impossibility. In such hurdle, the modern pharmacology finds enormous difficulties to activate exclusively the affected pathologically neuronal-neurobiological systems, avoiding the immense number of other neuronal networks and mutual connections at brain.

All things considered, the pre-hypothesis from the disease is extrapolated to normality. In other words, if neurobiological alterations are found in brain disorders,^[27-28] the normal differences in behaviour, emotional characteristics, reasoning and other multiple neurology functions might have a tangible neuro-transmission differences, and other neurophysiology ones. Therefore, here is the pre-hypothesis:

Author's proposal (1)

'any normal, special, or pathological phenomena in human capabilities and behavior possibly might have always a tangible neurophysiological base at the neuronal system networks'.

Provided the former, some Author's extrapolations for normality, developed from^[26-28], might include some examples:

Author's proposal (2)

1. The emotions. They might depend on every individual neural connections and proper neural networks of its brain. There might be also, for instance, tangible neurophysiological difference in emotional brain neural networks and possibly neuron connections according to different races. For example, north-europeans are more reluctant to show emotional behavior and reactions, while south europeans get an emotionally clearly different conduct. Same extrapolations might be found for other continent races.
2. The personality. It might have a tangible neurophysiological base for each and every individual, and the differences among them might be difficult to detect given the complicated neural networks. The same examples as point 1.
3. The intelligence. As a rule located mainly at pre-frontal zone, reasoning and intelligence capabilities might also get a neuronal tangible difference among different races or sub-races. Also, for example, the talent and skills for music or language abilities could present neuronal differences, as, for example, the history of musical geniuses demonstrates.
4. The races personality. For example extrovert races, introvert ones, races with tendency to depressive behavior, might have neurobiological differences.
5. The special capabilities. There might be neurobiological differences among the different individuals. In the same way than there are not, in general, two identical persons

in look and, therefore, might exist differences among the individual neurobiological characteristics. Furthermore, the evolution of generations might cause atrophy or greater development of capabilities that are not used or improved more.

6. The sex behaviour and preferences. There might be neurobiological tangible differences for sex behavior, preferences, special conducts or other related factors.

9. Discussion and Conclusions

The objective of the study was to continue/improve previous advances in this subject. First and foremost, to digitally simulate with Matlab and GNU-octave the LIAF model with refractory period. To make that in clear and illustrative 2D imaging-processing series. Secondly, to review/improve the IAF model optimization in 3D with several parameters using Tikhonov and Chevyshev objective function algorithms. Along the formulation section, more explanations and details of usual equations for LIAF and IAF models were included. Specifically, differences for numerical and analytic solutions were described.

The second part of the paper reviews/ supplements the neurobiology-neurophysiological extrapolations that were presented in pervious contributions. Those are extended towards visual neurophysiology and neuropsychiatry applications, provided all of them kept/considered as a cautious pre-hypotheses.

It was intended to show differences/advantages/disadvantages between image processing in Matlab and GNU-Octave. The programming methods for the first 2D digital programming series were based on for/if/elseif loops, and complementary elseif patterns blocks. Parameters and units had to be carefully checked. The IAF and LIAF parameters at the charts were set with parameters and significance details. The method for second part was 3D-2D PMO optimization and the software was designed specially for that model based on previous radiotherapy computational intelligence PMO programs. Just to mark that to design programs in 3D for pareto-multiobjective optimization is rather difficult.

Results mean advances related o previous articles. They give clear 2D imaging-processing graphics for LIAF and IAF models and with numerical details. The second improved 3D PMO simulations review/show a number of charts derived from previous publications. Programming precision gets almost null residual for all pareto functions. Running time for all simulations is adequate, sometimes several seconds, and 3D-2D graphics show about 100% numerical-criteria matched. When using GNU-octave, the running time is higher.

Succintly, series of extended/improved Matlab and GNU-Octave simulations for LIAF and IAF neuronal models were obtained with perfected software-engineering. Numerical dataset and 2D-3D charts are sharp and meaningful. A review and extension of several neurophysiological pre-hypotheses for neuroscience of brain thinking, visual neurophysiology and neuropsychiatry extrapolations were explained in concisely.

10. Scientific Ethics Standards

Author's Proposals are completely deductions from the author based on literature. Article has parts of review to make all more sharp/perceptive. Number of Author's references is large due to computational-software evolved

programs applied. New software-programs are developed by Author from literature and systems, always improved, changed patterns, values, and modified. No artificial intelligence (AI) tools were used for programming anyway. Radiotherapy references are included as they are the programming base. Model is a slight modification from several authors ^[1-7, 26], based also on ^[8-16, 26] techniques. Methods in software for these publications were created by Dr Casesnoves in 2021-2. This article has previous papers information, from ^[8-16, 26], whose inclusion is essential to make the contribution understandable. This study was carried out, and their contents are done according to the International Scientific Community and European Union Technology and Science Ethics ^[16-19]. References [16-19]: 'European Textbook on Ethics in Research'. European Commission, Directorate-General for Research. Unit L3. Governance and Ethics. European Research Area. Science and Society. EUR 24452 EN. And based on 'The European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity'. Revised Edition. ALLEA. 2017.

This research was completely done by the author, the computational-software, calculations, images, mathematical propositions and statements, reference citations, and text is original for the author. When a mathematical statement, algorithm, proposition or theorem is presented, demonstration is always included. When a formula is presented, all parameters are detailed or referred. If any results inconsistency is found after publication, it is clarified in subsequent. When a citation such as [Casesnoves, 'year'] is set, it is exclusively to clarify intellectual property at current times, without intention to brag. The article is exclusively scientific, without any commercial, institutional, academic, religious or religious influences, religious-similar, non-scientific theories, personal opinions, political ideas, or economical influences. When anything is taken from a source, it is adequately recognized. Ideas and some text expressions/sentences from previous publications were emphasized due to a clarification aim.

11. References

1. Martins J, Sousa L. Bioelectronic vision, Retina Models, Evaluation Metrics, and System Design. World Scientific, 2009. ISBN: 13 978-981-279-430-7
2. Capecchi V, et Alter. Applications of Mathematics in Models, and Artificial Neural Networks. Springer, 2010. ISBN: 978-90-481-8580-1, E ISBN: 978-90-481-8581-8. Doi: 10.1007/978-90-481-8581-8
3. Bachar M, Batzel J, Ditlevsen S. Stochastic Biomathematical Models with Applications to Neuronal Modeling. Springer, 2010.
4. Newhall K, Colls. Dynamics of current-based, Poisson driven, integrate-and-fire neuronal networks. Commun. Math. Sci. 2010; 8(2):541-600.
5. Delarue F, Inglis J, Rubenthaler S, Tanré E. Global solvability of a networked integrate-and-fire model of McKean-Vlasov type, 2012, hal-00747565v2. <https://inria.hal.science/hal-00747565v2>
6. Koch C. Biophysics of Computation. Information Processing in Single Neurons. Oxford University Press, 1999.
7. Pal Colls K. Bioelectronics and Medical Devices. Elsevier, 2019.
8. Casesnoves F. Large-Scale Matlab Optimization Toolbox (MOT) Computing Methods in Radiotherapy

- Inverse Treatment Planning'. High Performance Computing Meeting. Nottingham University. Conference Poster, 2007.
9. Casesnoves F. A Computational Radiotherapy Optimization Method for Inverse Planning with Static Wedges. High Performance Computing Conference. Nottingham University. Conference Poster, 2008.
 10. Casesnoves F. Radiotherapy Conformal Wedge Computational Simulations, Optimization Algorithms, and Exact Limit Angle Approach. International Journal of Scientific Research in Science, Engineering and Technology. 2015; 1(2). P ISSN: 2395-1990, E ISSN: 2394-4099.
 11. Casesnoves F. Radiotherapy Standard/Conformal Wedge IMRT-Beamlet Divergence Angle Limit Exact Method, Mathematical Formulation, and Bioengineering Applications. International Article-Poster. Published in Proceedings of Conference. 41st Annual Northeast Bioengineering Conference. Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute. Troy, New York USA, April, 2015, 17-19. Doi: 10.1109/NEBEC.2015.7117152. Corpus ID: 30285689.
 12. Casesnoves F. Radiotherapy Standard/Conformal Wedge IMRT-Beamlet Divergence Angle Limit Exact Method, Mathematical Formulation, and Bioengineering Applications. IEEE (Institute for Electrical and Electronics Engineers), International Article-Poster, 2015. <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?tp=&arnumber=7117152>.
 13. Casesnoves F. Abstract-Journal. 'Radiotherapy Standard/ Conformal Wedge IMRT-Beamlet Divergence Angle Limit Exact Method, Mathematical Formulation. International Conference on Significant Advances in Biomedical Engineering. 252nd OMICS International Conference. 2015; 5(1). Francisco Casesnoves, J Bioengineer & Biomedical Sci 2015; 5:1. Doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/2155-9538.S1.003>.
 14. Casesnoves F. Radiotherapy Biological Tumor Control Probability Integral Equation Model with Analytic Determination. International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Research. 2022; 10(8):2840-2846. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.47191/ijmcr/v10i10.01>.
 15. Casesnoves F. Die numerische Reuleaux-Methode Rechnerische und dynamische Grundlagen mit Anwendungen (Erster Teil), 2019-2020. ISBN-13: 978-620-0-89560-8, ISBN-10: 6200895600. Publishing House: Scienza Scripts. 2019-20.
 16. Ethics for Researchers. EU Commission. Directorate-General for Research and Innovation. Science in society/Capacities, 2013, FP7. <https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2777/7491>.
 17. European Commission, Directorate-General for Research. Unit L3. Governance and Ethics. European Research Area. Science and Society, 2021.
 18. Allea. The European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity, Revised Edn.; ALLEA: Berlin Barndenburg Academy of Sciences, 2017.
 19. Good Research Practice. Swedish Research Council, 2017. ISBN 978-91- 7307-354-7
 20. Kirsch A. An introduction to the Mathematical Theory of Inverse Problems. Springer Applied Mathematical Sciences. Series, 1996. E-ISSN2196-968X.
 21. Luenberger D. Linear and Nonlinear Programming (2nd Edn.). Addison-Wesley, 1989. ISBN-13: 978-3030854492
 22. Steuer R. Multiple Criteria Optimization: Theory, Computation and Application. Wiley, 1986. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/oca.4660100109>.
 23. Casesnoves F. Radiotherapy Genetic Algorithm Pareto-Multiobjective Optimization of Biological Effective Dose and Clonogens Models for Head and Neck Tumor Advanced Treatment. International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Research. 2023; 11(1):3156-3177. ISSN: 2320-7167. Doi: 10.47191/ijmcr/v11i1.08
 24. Casesnoves F. Radiotherapy BED Model 2D Pareto-Multiobjective Evolutionary Optimization for Prostate Cancer Hyperfractionated Treatment. Biomed J Sci & Tech Res. 2023; 51(2). BJSTR. MS.ID.008064.
 25. Darwin C. The origin of species. Cambridge, 2009.
 26. Casesnoves F. Bioelectronics Retina Mathematical Optimization-Simulation for Integrate Model with Brain Evolutionary Pre-Hypotheses. International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Research. 2023; 11(10):3821-3826. ISSN: 2320-7167. Doi: 10.47191/ijmcr/v11i10.06
 27. Zigmund M, Rowland L, Coyle J. Neurobiology of brain disorders. Elsevier. Academic Press, 2015.
 28. Liqun L. Principles of Neurobiology. Taylor and Francis. CRC Press, 20210.