



Received: 12-11-2024  
Accepted: 22-12-2024

ISSN: 2583-049X

## **Socio-Economic Determinants for Household Uptake of Modern Energy Technologies: Evidence from Rwanda Panel Data (2016-2017)**

<sup>1</sup> Anastase Nshimiyimana, <sup>2</sup> Francois Xavier Hagenimana, <sup>3</sup> Ntawigenera Janvier, <sup>4</sup> Pierre Celestin Uwimanihay, <sup>5</sup> Modeste Harindintwari, <sup>6</sup> Gerard Mbonigaba  
<sup>1, 4</sup> Researcher, University of Rwanda, Kigali, Rwanda  
<sup>2, 3, 5, 6</sup> Research Scholar, INES Ruhengeri, Musanze, Rwanda

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.62225/2583049X.2025.5.1.3609>

Corresponding Author: **Anastase Nshimiyimana**

### **Abstract**

The major causes of deforestation worldwide from human activities is the reliance of world population on the biomass fuel for domestic energy consumption through cooking, heating and lighting, the usage of biomass in inefficient way increase the fuel demand for the household. This paper provides evidence of household driving factors that play a crucial role in the uptake of improved cook stove empirically in Rwanda. The study used data from fifth integrated household living standards survey (EICV-5) carried of by National Institute of Statistics of Rwanda. Using binary logistic regression analysis, the study shows

that homeownership, age of household head, household location and household size are the main significant household factors influencing the adoption of improved cook stove while time spend on cooking fuels is the most significant stove and fuel factors determining the adoption of usage of improved cook stove. The study shown that for substantially improved rate of adoption they should be consistent and focused cooperation of government and non - governmental organizations to work in parallel for developing energy policy frameworks.

**Keywords:** Household, Adoption, Cooking Fuels, Improved Cook Stoves, Binary Logit Regression

### **1. Introduction**

Worldwide, energy is very crucial in meeting households' basic need majorly for cooking, heating and lighting. Correspondingly, sustainable development recognizes the significance of key resources such as energy, water, forests and soil in helping to create the base for human development needs in terms of human welfare and biophysical environmental supports (Guta, 2014). Worldwide over 3billion people rely on solid fuels including biomass materials as the main source of energy for the household and more than 90% of the consumption took place in developing countries. About of half of global population is dependent on traditional fuels and stoves to fulfill their energy prerequisite according to (who, 2006). household access to clean and affordable energy is critical for realization of the Millennium Development Goals(MDGs) (Ibitoye, 2013). However, in many developing countries, a large proportion of the household energy requirements are met by using non-commercial fuels such as wood, animal dung, crop residues, etc. so this traditional household energy sources associated health and environmental hazards. As result use of improved energy technologies for cooking in a reduction of in the overall biomass and wood fuel consumption is a vital as well as to achieve MDGs (Ibitoye, 2013). Hence, household with access to improved energy technology, clean energy sources expected benefits in health, work and education according to (Muggen-burg *et al.*, 2012). The main objective of this study as to assess the determinants of the adoption of stove technology to reduce the burden of biomass energy sources at the household level and suggest the possible solution.

They are some studies conducted on the determining factors inducing household adoption of stove technology which was developed for reducing the health and livelihood consequence resulting from the usage of solid cooking fuels, the study carried out by (Bonjour, S, Adair-Rohani, H., Wolf, J., Bruce, N.G., Mehta, S., Pruss-Ustun, A., & Smith, K.R., 2013)<sup>[2]</sup> revealed that the transition from traditional to clean cooking fuel began in 1990s and the switching movement was slow especially in most of low income countries and many people still relying on traditional fuels for their cooking purpose and hence effort needed towards improvement the household usage of improved cook stoves.

(Malla, M.B., NBruce, E. Bates, and E.Rehfuess., 2011) <sup>[11]</sup> identified various socio-economic factors such as education, household size, income and price of cook stove, time spent in firewood collection as having influence on household adaptation of improved cook stove. In Burkina Faso, (Ouedrago, B., 2006) <sup>[13]</sup> Observed that there is positive correlation between household income and fuels consumption and then don't switch to clean energy when the income increased but consume a set of fuels which may include solid fuels depending on their preference, need and their budget. (Lewis, J.J., and Pattanayak, S.K, 2012) <sup>[9]</sup> Found that both household head education level and household size have significant positive impact on household adaptation of improved cook stove.

(Ozcan, K.M., Gulay, E., & Ucdogruk, S., 2013) <sup>[14]</sup> Designated that increase of household size prefer to use traditional fuels over improved clean fuels. (Ouedrago, B., 2006) <sup>[13]</sup> Discovered that preparing traditional meals increase the likelihood of using fuels wood hence significant influence of using traditional cook stove for rural household despite the introduction of improved cook stove so this believes influence both choices for existing cook stove and adaptation of new model, according to (Guta, D.D, 2012) <sup>[7]</sup>; (Gebregziabher, Z., Mekonnen, A., Kassie, M., & Kohlin, G., 2012) <sup>[4]</sup> Concluded that the old people are associated with high probability of adapting improved cooking fuels indicating the relationship between age the household head and the household adoption of the technology (Global Alliance for clean cook stoves, 2014) <sup>[6]</sup>.

Numerous studies have been conducted in developing countries to identify the factors that determining household cook stove decision, in Rwanda studies carried out predominantly in both urban and rural area, attempted to distinguish the determining factors of fuels and cook stove adoption with measure concentration on institutional and stove relation factors and little on disaggregated level factors using panel data.

This study will contribute to existing literature though providing empirical evidence of the factors influencing the household adaptation of improved cook stove as the previous studies showed that Multinomial probability models using cross-section data are unsuitable for investigating the cook stove adaptation at household level which requires panel data.

## 2. Statement of the problem

Many households in Rwanda mostly rely on the solid biomass fuels (firewood, charcoal, crop residues) among others for cooking, heating, and lighting purposes with the total of approximately 85 percent using firewood and 13 percent using charcoal (National Institute of Statistics of Rwanda (NSIR), 2017). The modern and clean cooking fuels like liquefied petroleum gas (LPG), Biogas and electricity account for less than 5 percent. Over 85 percent of the population largely depends on traditional cook stoves and fuels despite the associated health impact with like pneumonia among children under the age of 5 years and lung cancer among adults. Women and children are mainly engaged in drudgery home tasks with gathering fuel wood which has a crucial and significant opportunity cost, limiting the opportunity to improve their education level and engage in income generating activities (Foell, W., Pachauri, S., Spreng, D., and Zerriffi, H., 2011).

Modern energy technologies were developed to address the

health and livelihood impacts of cooking with traditional three stones (GIZ, 2013) <sup>[5]</sup>. In Rwanda, interventions to distributing modern energy technologies It has been identified as a promising option to benefit human health, the local and global climate change resulting to decline in deforestation and hence reduced emission. There are several non-governmental organizations and government initiated in production and distribution of modern energy technologies through local stakeholders and community-based organizations. Despite the availability of these modern cook stoves, it is not yet clear what really influence the adoption to use this modern energy technology among different households in Rwanda. Some observations found by (Delahunty-Pike, A., 2012) revealed that institutional factors like policy mechanism, market development, subsidy and standardization have influenced the adoption of modern energy technologies even though they play a crucial role in implementation and distribution.

According to the study by (Rehfuess, E.A., Puzzolo, E., Stanistreet, D., Pope, D., & Bruce, N.G, 2014) <sup>[19]</sup>, Showed that other stoves factors like quality, durability, cost, and size could be influencing factors for adoption. Therefore this study pursues to identify socio-economic, fuels and stoves factors influencing the adoption of modern energy technologies and propose some of strategies that would help to enhance the uptake in Rwandan households.

## 3. Objectives

To identify and investigate the factors influencing the household adoption of modern energy technologies in Rwanda. The specific objectives are;

- To analyze the socio-economic factors inducing the household adoption of improved cook stoves.
- To identify the existing modern energy technologies at households' level in Rwanda.

## 4. Literature Review

The review of empirical studies show that a great number of researchers have obtained set of socio- economic factors inducing the adoption to use the modern energy technologies but in the pas review of literature has focused on the income and other demographic household characteristics with a little on the other cook stove and cooking fuels related characteristics that might influence the uptake of the modern energy technologies at household level.

The study carried out by (Lim, S.; Vos, T.; Flaxman, A.; Danaei, G.; Shibuya, K, 2013) <sup>[10]</sup> revealed that Globally exposure to smoke from household solid combustion is one the largest risk factor resulting in proximately 3.5 million premature death 4.5 global DALYs (Disability Adjusted Life years) in 2010. The pollutants emissions resulted from the process of fuels combustion are influenced by factors including fuels properties and stove design. (Rysankova, D., Putti, V.R, Hyseni, B., Kammila, S., and Kappen, J.F, 2014) <sup>[20]</sup> Illustrated that if no action takes place by 2030 approximately 0.9 million of population will die from infection associated with solid fuels cooking.

(GIZ, 2013) <sup>[5]</sup> reported that accessibility to modern clean cooking fuel is global challenge and hence fourth enough effort to design, adopt and use improved cook stove are the best intervention solution for enhancing the way biomass is used in addressing advice resulting impact.

(Puzzolo, E., Stanistreet, S., Pope D., Bruce N.G, Rehfuess,



Where the subscript I means the  $i^{th}$  observation in the sample.

P is the probability that the household adopts the improved solid fuel cook stoves; and (1-P) is the probability that the household does not adopt an improved solid fuel cook stove.

$\pi_0$  is the intercept term

$\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k$  are the coefficients of interest of independent control variables  $X_{1j}, X_{2j}, \dots, X_{kj}$ .  $\epsilon_i$  is the error term

### 5.2 Method of data analysis

In this study, both descriptive statistics and econometric model were used for the analysis of data collected. The

descriptive statistics was used to describe the relevant aspects of observable facts about the variables there by providing detailed information about relevant variables. More specifically percentage, mean, standard deviation, maximum and minimum values were computed. For quantitative binary logit model and t-test were used to analyze the determinants of the adoption of improved cook stove technology using STATA software.

### 6. Results and Discussions

Here we first represent the results from descriptive statistics analysis and thereafter we describe the results from multinomial logit regression model.

**Table 1:** Descriptive statistics of dependent and all control variables by household location in EICV5 (2016/17) to be used in Binary Logit Regression Model

| Variables                                   | Variable Description                       | Urban Households |        | Rural Households |         |
|---|--|------------------|--------|------------------|---------|
|   |  | mean             | S.d    | mean             | S.d     |
| <b>Dependent variable</b>                   |  |                  |        |                  |         |
| hhwithICS                                   | Household with ICS                         | 0.217            | 0.412  | 0.14             | 0.347   |
| <b>Independent /other control variables</b> |  |                  |        |                  |         |
| Lnincome                                    | Log of household income                    | 13.992           | 1.619  | 13.02            | 0.948   |
| Homeowner                                   | Household homeownership                    | 0.454            | 0.498  | 0.854            | 0.353   |
| Hhsize                                      | Household size                             | 4.247            | 2.4    | 4.445            | 2.053   |
| hrs cooking                                 | Total hours spent on cooking in a week     | 229              | 209.1  | 222.202          | 161.473 |
| hrs firewood                                | Total hours spent on cooking fuels         | 3.913            | 15.342 | 9.719            | 23.954  |
| basic educ                                  | Household head with formal basic education | 0.242            | 0.429  | 0.224            | 0.417   |
| Agehhd                                      | Age of household head                      | 40.592           | 14.036 | 46.114           | 15.791  |
| Typhbt                                      | Household type of habitat                  | 0.287            | 0.452  | 0.668            | 0.471   |

Source: Author’s computed using EICV5 (2016-2017)

From the Table1 above report the descriptive statistics for all variables used in the binary logit model showing that in EICV5 (2016/17) on average comparison, 21.7 percent of the households adopt the usage of improved cook stoves in urban region while only 14 percent of the households adopt to use the improved cook stoves are from rural region. Interestingly, 45.4 percent of households in urban region live in their own houses while 85.4 percent of the households in rural regions live in their own houses. 22 percent of households with basic formal education in rural region while only 24.2 percent of households have basic formal education in urban region. Interestingly, only 28.7 of the urban households live in umudugudu and modern planned areas while 66.8 percent of the rural households live

in umudugudu and the modern planned areas. More interestingly rural households spent more time on cooking fuel at average of 10 hours while urban households only spent averaged time of 4 hours on cooking fuel. The households within urban regions spend 4 hours on cooking activities while the rural households spend 3 hours in cooking activities and 6percent of urban households adopting the improved clean fuel for cooking purposes while only 0.2 percent of the rural households adopting the improved clean cooking fuel as primary sources of cooking fuel.

### 6.1 Results from estimations of Binary Logit Regression Model

**Table 2:** Estimations of factors influencing the household’s adoption of improved energy technology in Rwanda

| Variables                                  | Variable Description                       | coefficient | S.d   |
|--|--|-------------|-------|
| <b>Household characteristic factors</b>    |  |             |       |
| Lnincome                                   | Log of household income                    | 0.00111     | 0.028 |
| Homeowner                                  | Household homeownership                    | 0.652***    | 0.085 |
| Typhbt                                     | Household type of habitat                  | -0.059      | 0.063 |
| Agehhd                                     | Age of household head                      | 0.00835***  | 0.002 |
| basic_educ                                 | Household head with formal basic education | -0.0777     | 0.064 |
| Urban                                      | Household location /urban                  | -0.311***   | 0.085 |
| Hhsize                                     | Household size                             | 0.0390**    | 0.015 |
| <b>Cooking fuel characteristic factors</b> |  |             |       |
| hrs_firewood                               | Total hours spent on cooking fuels         | 0.00468***  | 0.001 |
| Constant                                   |  | -1.489***   | 0.379 |
| Observations                               |  | 6,524       |       |

Notes\_Titles: Standard errors in parentheses \*\*\* p<0.01, \*\* p<0.05, \* p<0.1

From the Table 2 above reports that the odds of the households using the improved cook stoves increased by  $e^{(0.652)}$  which is almost 2 households additional to that adopt the improved cook stoves when the households live in their own homes increase by one more compared the households living in the rent homes which is significant at  $p < 0.01$ . This is related to the characteristics of the cook stoves since some cook stoves need to be built and fixed with in the cooking room and some other efficient cook stoves are easily movable. This shows that the homeownership driving factors is important to consider when adopting the improved energy technology like cook stoves. Surprisingly, when the age of household head increased by one more year, the odds of the households that use the improved cook stoves is increased by  $e^{(0.00835)}$  which is 1 more household adopt the improved energy technology which is significant at  $p < 0.01$  while this is related to the fact that the aged household heads easily adopt the technology than the younger one towards the households efficient and effective consumptions. This shows that the households with older heads are more likely to adopt the improved cook stoves.

Interestingly, when the households living in the urban region increase by one additional household the odds of the households adopting the improved cook stoves decreased by  $e^{(0.311)}$  which almost 1 household adopting improved energy technology where this is significant at  $p < 0.01$  and this is related to the fact that most of the urban households use the traditional cook stoves and many of them try to shift to improved cooking fuel and the rural household mostly depend on solid biomass fuel for cooking purposes and hence the rural households are the first and more ones to adopt the improved cook stoves for effective biomass consumption.

When the household member increased by one more additional member that is the increase in the household size by one unit, the odds of the households adopting the usage of the improved cook stoves increase by  $e^{(0.039)}$  which is 1 household adopting improved cook stoves while this is significant at  $p < 0.01$ . And this is associated to the fact that when the household member increase there will be increase in consumption of solid biomass fuel through cooking activities hence these households with increased size are likely to adopt the improved cook stove for effective and reduced consumption of solid biomass for cooking purposes. Surprisingly, when the time spent by the household on cooking fuels increased by one more hour additional the odds of the households adopt using the improved cook stove incline by  $e^{(0.00468)}$  which is 1 household adopt to use the improved energy technology like improved cook stove which is significant at  $p < 0.01$  and this is linked to the fact that when the household spend much time on the cooking fuels the households try to reduce the time spent of cooking fuels by using the efficient and effective cook stove to reduce the consumption of that fuels and hence the households that adopt to use the improved cook stove increase due to the cook stove productivity for households time allocation on cooking fuels that can be used for other income generating activities and hence increased household income.

## 7. Conclusion and Recommendation

The study carried out on the driving forces influencing the

household adoption support the arguments that the households adopting to use improved cook stoves is crucial for socio economic and environmental reasons. The adoption of improved cook stove is highly reliant on the household's homeownership, total income, age of household head and the number household members while household location especially Urban region slow the adoption whereas the household with polygamous household head are likely to adopt improved cook stoves so the adoption is increased by increase in household total income. The basic formal education for the household head is not sufficient for raising the adoption since the adoption require more information and training program about cook stove.

For the cook stove and fuel characteristics, the study shows that as the value household cook stove that is the amount received by the household from the cook stove sales increase the adoption incline while the amount spend on cooking fuel that is associated with prices of the fuel slows the adoption and rise in numbers of total hours spend on cooking fuels leads to incline in adoption. As (Pohekar, S.D., Kumar, D., Ramachandran, M., 2005) [17] identified that there is a set constraints and barriers, although varying in different socio economic culture and environment situations contributes to slowing the adoption of improved cook stove, for example household members without formal basic education, low income for the household, inadequate of knowledge of health and environmental harms resulted from inefficient usage of biomass and lack of trainings programs for awareness about improved cook stove and these are among the main driving factors.

In Rwanda, as the subject area of this research it was identified practically that the most crucial driving factors that slow the adoption are lack of motivation, wareness and training program about the cook stove and lack direct institutional support. The study recommend that voluntary, non-governmental organization and the government should intervene to work in parallel for enhancing and developing energy policy framework like deployment and dissemination of improved energy technologies like improved cook stove in regions that are highly reliant on solid biomass. There is direct prerequisite of consistent and focused cooperation of stakeholders on the demand and the supply side as consequence this will not only enhance the health, socioeconomic and environmental situation but will also play a crucial role in achieving sustainable development goals.

## 8. References

1. Anenberg S, Balakrishnan K, Jetter J, Masera O, Mehta S, Moss J, *et al.* Cleaner cooking solutions to achieve health, climate and economic cobenefits. *Environmental Science and Technology*. 2013; 47:3944-3952.
2. Bonjour S, Adair-Rohani H, Wolf J, Bruce NG, Mehta S, Pruss-Ustun A, *et al.* Solid fuel use for household cooking: Country and regional estimates for 1980-2010. *Environmental Health Perspective(Online)*. 2013; 121(7):784.
3. Fox J. *Logit and Probit Models*. New York: SPIDA, 2010.
4. Gebregziabher Z, Mekonnen A, Kassie M, Kohlin G. Urban energy transition and technology adoption: The case of Tigrai, Northern Ethiopia. *Energy Economics*. 2012; 34(2):410-418.

5. GIZ. Clean and Efficiency cooking energy for 100 Million homes. Bonn International cooking Energy forum 26-28 June 2013, 2013.
6. Global Alliance for clean cook stoves. Igniting Change: A Strategy for Universal Adoption of Clean Cook stoves and Fuels. Washington DC.: GACC, 2014.
7. Guta DD. Application of an almost ideal demand system(AIDS) to Ethiopian rural residential energy use: Panel data evidence. *Energy policy*. 2012; 50:528-539.
8. Levine D, Bertramo I, Blalock TG, Cotterman C. What impedes efficient adoption of products? Evidence from randomized variation in sales offers for improved cookstoves in Uganda. Uganda: GACC, 2012.
9. Lewis JJ, Pattanayak SK. Who adopts improved fuels and cookstoves? A systematic review. *Environmental health perspectives*. 2012; 120(5):637-645.
10. Lim S, Vos T, Flaxman A, Danaei G, Shibuya K. A comparative risk assessment of burden of disease and injury attributable to 67 risk factors and risk factor clusters in 21 regions, 1990-2010: A systematic analysis for the global Burden of disease study 2010. *The lancet*. 2013; 380:2224-2260.
11. Malla MB, NBruce E, Bates, Rehfuess E. Applying global cost-benefit analysis methods to indoor air pollution mitigation interventions in Nepal, Kenya and Sudan: Insights and challenges. *Energy Policy*. 2011; 39(12):7518-7529.
12. Miller G, Mobarak M. Gender Differences in Preferences, Intra-household Externalities, and the low Demand for Improved Cookstoves. Working Paper, 2013.
13. Ouedrago B. Household energy preferences for cooking in urban Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. *Energy policy*. 2006; 34(18):3787-3795.
14. Ozcan KM, Gulay E, Ucdogruk S. Economic and Demographic determinants of household energy use in Turkey. *Energy Policy*. 2013; 60:550-557.
15. Person B, Loo JD, Owuor M, Oganje L, Jefferds MED, Cohen AL. It good for my Family's Health and Cooks Food in a Way that my Heart Loves: Qualitative Findings and Implications for Scaling up an Improved Cook stove Project in Rural Kenya. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2012; 9(5):1566-1580.
16. Pine K, Edwards R, Masera O, Schilman A, Marron-Mares A, Riojas-Radriguez H. Adoption and use of improved biomass stoves in Rural MEXICO. *Energy for Sustainable Development*. 2011; 15(2):176-183.
17. Pohekar SD, Kumar D, Ramachandran M. Dissemination of cooking energy alternatives in India. *Renewable and Sustainable energy Reviews*, 2005, 379-393.
18. Puzzolo E, Stanistreet S, Pope D, Bruce NG, Rehfuess EA. Factors Influencing the Large Scale Update by Households of Cleaner and More Efficient Household Energy Technologies. A systematic Review. Evidence for policy and practice information and co-ordinating Centre. London: University of London, 2013.
19. Rehfuess EA, Puzzolo E, Stanistreet D, Pope D, Bruce NG. Enablers and barriers to large scale uptake of improved solid fuel stoves: A systematic review. *Environmental health perspectives*. 2014; 122(2):120-130.
20. Rysankova D, Putti VR, Hyseni B, Kammila S, Kappen JF. Clean and Improved Cooking Cooking in Sub-Saharan Africa. A Landscape report, 2014.