



Received: 19-09-2024

Accepted: 29-10-2024

ISSN: 2583-049X

**Stability and Fixed Point Results on Partial b-Metric Space with regard to Quasi
Contraction via (δ, \ddot{U}) -Convex Structure**¹Gajendra Singh Dangi, ²Sandeep Kumar Malhotra¹Research Scholar, Govt. Dr. SPM Science & Commerce College, Bhopal, M.P India²Professor, Govt. Dr. SPM Science & Commerce College, Bhopal, M.P India

Corresponding Author: Gajendra Singh Dangi

Abstract

In addition to introducing the idea of (δ, \ddot{U}) -Convex Partial b-Metric Spaces employing convex structure, this paper gives the stability theorems provided by the novel iteration strategy. Motivated by this method, we gave certain stability theorems and proved the existence and uniqueness of fixed points for T-mean non expanding maps. We show that our results considerably generalize previous fixed point results

to the new idea of (δ, \ddot{U}) -Convex Partial b-Metric Spaces. In addition to improving knowledge of fixed point theory, this extension opens up new avenues for its use in increasingly intricate and varied mathematical contexts. As such, our study contributes to the area by providing a solid basis for further research and possible applications in a range of scientific and engineering fields.

Keywords: Stability, (δ, \ddot{U}) -Convex Structure, Convex Partial b-metric Space, Fixed Point**Introduction**

Numerous generalisations of the Bannach contraction have been made in the literature. In 1968, Kannan^[17] produced fixed point results for metric space for the mapping satisfying: $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \mu[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)]$, for all $x, y \in H$ and $\mu \in (0, 1)$. The concept of convexity was introduced by Takahashi^[25] in 1970, and a few fixed point solutions for non expanding mapping in metric space were found. By presenting a new contraction that, for all $x, y \in H$ and $a + b + c \leq 1$, where a, b, and c are nonnegative, obeyed the following formula: $d(Tx, Ty) \leq ad(x, Tx) + bd(y, Ty) + cd(x, y)$. Reich^[22] extended and generalised the Bannach contraction finding in 1971. Bakhtin^[2] and Czerwik^[10] introduced the idea of b-metric space at separate times and demonstrated a number of fixed point theorems in b-metric spaces. Matthews^[20] presented the concept of partial metric space and established a few fixed point theorems related to the Bannach contraction theorem in a distinct path of research. The concept of metric-like space, in which a point's self-distance need not be equal to zero, was first proposed by Amini-Harandi^[15] in 2012. The author demonstrated a number of fixed point findings in partial metric and b-metric-like spaces within this innovative idea. Convex metric space was another generalisation. Later, fixed point results in convex metric space and convex b-metric spaces were examined by Beg and Abbas^[3, 4, 5], Chang, Kim and Jin^[5], Ciric^[7], Ding^[11], and several more scholars. Many scholars have since examined the partial metric space that results from the fixed point. Georgescu^[13] investigated iterated function systems in the context of α -complete metric spaces in 2017 using partial generalised contractions. Fixed point results on orthogonal full metric spaces were established in 2020 by Sawangsup K. Sintunavarat W. and Cho Y.J.^[23]. Chen^[19] presented a fixed point theorem with strong convergence in 2021 for convex graphical rectangle b-metric space. The fixed point theorems for Reich contraction mapping in convex b-metric space that satisfy the Mann iteration sequence and weak T-stability were established by Karaca *et al.* in 2021^[18]. The concept of T-mean nonexpansive mapping was first presented by A.A. Mebawondu *et al.* in 2022. They demonstrated FP in metric space and provided other cases of T-mean nonexpansive mapping that did not occur on metric space. The new idea of (T,S)-stability in metric spaces was recently presented by Calderon *et al.*^[6] in 2023, and they also produced some results on metric spaces.

Motivated by these groundbreaking findings, we investigate the existence of fixed points by presenting the concept of (δ, \ddot{U}) -convex partial b-metric space. We also establish stability theorems for iteration schemes in the context of partial b-metric space and prove fixed point results for various contractions for T-mean non expansive mappings. It is possible to expand these findings in different metric spaces.

1. Preliminaries:

We recall some basic definition which will be utilized in our paper:

Definition 2.1 [15]: Let H be a nonempty set the function $\Omega_p: H \times H \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called a partial metric on H if for all $u, v, w \in H$, Then the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $0 \leq \Omega_p(u, u) \leq \Omega_p(u, v)$ (small self distance)
- (ii) $\Omega_p(u, v) = \Omega_p(v, u)$ (symmetry)
- (iii) $\Omega_p(u, u) = \Omega_p(u, v) = \Omega_p(v, v)$ iff $u = v$ (equality)
- (iv) $\Omega_p(u, v) \leq \Omega_p(u, w) + \Omega_p(w, v) - \Omega_p(w, w)$ (triangularity)

Then the pair (H, Ω_p) is called partial metric spaces on H .

Definition 2.2 [15]: Let H be a nonempty set the function $\Omega_{pb}: H \times H \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ with $s \geq 1$ is called a partial b -metric on H if for all $u, v, w \in H$. Then the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $0 \leq \Omega_{pb}(u, u) \leq \Omega_{pb}(u, v)$ (small self distance)
- (ii) $\Omega_{pb}(u, v) = \Omega_{pb}(v, u)$ (symmetry)
- (iii) $\Omega_{pb}(u, u) = \Omega_{pb}(u, v) = \Omega_{pb}(v, v)$ iff $u = v$ (equality)
- (iv) $\Omega_{pb}(u, v) \leq s[\Omega_p(u, w) + \Omega_p(w, v) - \Omega_p(w, w)]$ (triangularity).

Then the pair (H, Ω_{pb}) is called partial b -metric spaces on H . the number s is called the coefficient of (H, Ω_{pb}) .

Definition 2.3 [24]: Let a set $C \subseteq R$ and $\delta, \bar{U} \in [0, 1]$ then C is called (δ, \bar{U}) -convex if

$$\alpha x \delta + (1 - \alpha) \bar{U} y \in C \text{ for all } x, y \in C \text{ and } \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

Definition 2.3 [24]: Let a set $C \subseteq R$ and $\delta, \bar{U} \in [0, 1]$ then a mapping $F: C \subset R \rightarrow R$ is called (δ, \bar{U}) -convex if C be a (δ, \bar{U}) -convex set and

$$T(\alpha x \delta + (1 - \alpha) \bar{U} y) \leq \alpha \delta T(x) + (1 - \alpha) \bar{U} T(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in C \text{ and } \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

Definition 2.4 [24]: Let H be a nonempty set and $I = [0, 1]$. Define the function $\Omega_{cb}: H \times H \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ and a continuous function $\varpi: H \times H \times J \times I \rightarrow H$. then ϖ is called (δ, \bar{U}) -convex structure on H if:

$$\Omega_{pb}(t, \varpi(\theta, \theta, \varphi; \alpha, \delta, \bar{U})) \leq \alpha \delta \Omega_{pb}(t, \theta) + (1 - \alpha) \bar{U} \Omega_{pb}(t, \theta) \text{ for all } t \in H$$

$$\text{and } (\theta, \theta, \varphi; \alpha, \delta, \bar{U}) \in H \times H \times J \times I, \text{ where } J \subseteq I.$$

Definition 2.5 [24]: Let the function $\varpi: H \times H \times J \times I \rightarrow H$ be a (δ, \bar{U}) -convex structure on a B -Partial metric space (H, Ω_{pb}) and $I = [0, 1]$ then (H, Ω_{pb}, ϖ) is called (δ, \bar{U}) -convex b -Partial metric space.

Definition 2.6 [24]: (H, Ω_{pb}, ϖ) be a (δ, \bar{U}) -Convex b -Partial metric space with a function $\vartheta: H \rightarrow H$, for $\vartheta_k \in H$ and $\varsigma_k \in [0, 1]$ then generalize Mann's iteration sequence (ϑ_k) is defined as:

$$\vartheta_{k+1} = \varpi(\vartheta_k, \Omega_{pb} \vartheta_k; \varsigma_k, \delta, \bar{U}), \text{ for all } k \in N.$$

Definition 2.7 [15]: Let (H, Ω_{pb}) be a partial b -metric space with coefficient s and $\{\vartheta_k\}$ be a sequence in H then

- (i) The sequence $\{\vartheta_n\}$ is said to be convergent in (H, Ω_{pb}) and convergence to $\vartheta^* \in H$, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_n, \vartheta^*) = \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \vartheta^*)$.
- (ii) The sequence $\{\vartheta_n\}$ is called Cauchy sequence in (H, Ω_{pb}) if $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_n, \vartheta_m) = \text{exists and finite}$.
- (iii) (H, Ω_{pb}) is said to be a complete if every Cauchy sequence is Convergent in H , there exists ϑ^* such that $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_n, \vartheta_m) = \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \vartheta^*) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_n, \vartheta^*)$.

Definition 2.8: Let (H, Ω_{pb}) be a partial b -metric space then a mapping $\Omega_{pb}: H \rightarrow H$ is said to be sequentially convergent if we have each sequence $\{\vartheta_n\}$ if $\{\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_n)\}$ is convergent then $\{\vartheta_n\}$ is also convergent.

Definition 2.9: Let T, S be a self mappings on partial b -metric space and ϑ^* be the FP of S . Let $\{T(\vartheta_n)\}$ be a sequence generated by an iteration scheme, that is

$$T(\vartheta_{n+1}) = h(T, S, \vartheta_n), n \in N$$

Where ϑ_0 is initial point and h is function. Assume that a sequence $\{T(\vartheta_n)\}$ Converges to $\{T(\vartheta^*)\}$ and set $b_n = \Omega_{pb}(T(\vartheta_{n+1}), h(T, S, \vartheta_n)), n \in N$ then the iteration scheme, that is

$$T(\vartheta_{n+1}) = h(T, S, \vartheta_n), n \in N \text{ is called } (T, S) \text{-stable if and only if}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(\vartheta_n) = T(\vartheta_{n+1}).$$

If we take $T = I$ then the definition 2.9 reduced to the concept of stability of an iteration scheme.

Lemma 1: Let T be a self mappings on partial b -metric space and continuous at $u \in H$ then each sequence $\{\vartheta_n\}$ such that $\vartheta_n \rightarrow u$, we have $T(\vartheta_n) \rightarrow T(u)$, that is $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Omega_{pb}(T(\vartheta_n), T(u)) = \Omega_{pb}(T(u), T(u))$.

Lemma 2: Let $\{\vartheta_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ be two non negative sequence and $0 \leq \theta < 1$

Such that $\vartheta_{n+1} \leq \theta\vartheta_n + b_n$, for all $n \in N$

If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \vartheta_n = 0$.

Now the following theorems prove by using (δ, \ddot{U}) -convex partial b -metric space

Our Main Results

Theorem 3.1: Let $(H_C, \Omega_{pb}, \varpi)$ be a complete (δ, \ddot{U}) -convex Partial b -metric space with constants $s \geq 1$ and $\Omega: H_C \rightarrow H_C$ be a continuous kannan type contraction mapping defined as:

$$\Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta, \Omega\eta) \leq \Lambda \{ \Gamma(\vartheta, \Omega\vartheta) + \Gamma(\eta, \Omega\eta) \}, \text{ for } \vartheta, \eta \in H_C$$

and for some $\Lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$. Take $\vartheta_0 \in H_C$ such that $\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_0, \Omega\vartheta_0) = \mathfrak{M} < \infty$ and

$$\text{define } \vartheta_k = \varpi(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}; \varsigma_{k-1}, \delta, \ddot{U}) \text{ for } k \in N \text{ and } 0 < \varsigma_{k-1} < \frac{1}{s}, \text{ and } \delta, \ddot{U} \leq [0, 1).$$

Then Ω has a unique FP. Moreover $\{T(\vartheta_k)\}$ converges to $\{T(\vartheta^*)\}$, where ϑ^* is FP.

Proof: for $k \in N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \vartheta_{k+1}) &= \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \varpi(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k; \varsigma_k, \delta, \ddot{U})) = \varsigma_k \delta \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \vartheta_k) + (1 - \varsigma_k) \ddot{U} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) \\ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \vartheta_{k+1}) &\leq (1 - \varsigma_k) \ddot{U} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k). \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) &= \Omega_{pb}(\varpi(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}; \varsigma_{k-1}, \delta, \ddot{U}), \Omega\vartheta_k) \\ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) &\leq \varsigma_{k-1} \delta \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) + (1 - \varsigma_{k-1}) \ddot{U} \Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_k) \\ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) &\leq \varsigma_{k-1} s \delta [\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \vartheta_k) + \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) - \Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_k)] + (1 - \varsigma_{k-1}) \ddot{U} \Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_k) \\ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) &\leq \varsigma_{k-1} s \delta [\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \vartheta_k) + \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k)] + (1 - \varsigma_{k-1}) \ddot{U} \Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_k) \\ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) &\leq \varsigma_{k-1} s \delta (1 - \varsigma_{k-1}) \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) + \varsigma_{k-1} s \delta \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) + (1 - \varsigma_{k-1}) \ddot{U} \Lambda \{ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) + \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) \} \\ [1 - \varsigma_{k-1} s \delta - (1 - \varsigma_k) \ddot{U} \Lambda] \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) &\leq (1 - \varsigma_{k-1}) [\varsigma_{k-1} s \delta + \ddot{U} \Lambda] \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) \\ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) &\leq \frac{(1 - \varsigma_{k-1}) [\varsigma_{k-1} s \delta + \ddot{U} \Lambda]}{[1 - \varsigma_{k-1} s \delta - (1 - \varsigma_k) \ddot{U} \Lambda]} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Put $j = \frac{(1 - \varsigma_{k-1}) [\varsigma_{k-1} s \delta + \ddot{U} \Lambda]}{[1 - \varsigma_{k-1} s \delta - (1 - \varsigma_k) \ddot{U} \Lambda]} \leq 1$.

$$\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) \leq j \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1})$$

Since $\delta, \ddot{U} \in [0, 1)$ and $j \leq 1, \Lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$, $0 < \varsigma_{k-1} < \frac{1}{s}$, and $\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_0, \Omega\vartheta_0) = \mathfrak{M} < \infty$ then gives $\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k)$ is non increasing sequence of positive reals. We follows $\{\vartheta_k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Now we shall prove ϑ^* is a fixed point of Ω for this

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*) &\leq s [\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \vartheta_p) + \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_p, \Omega\vartheta^*) - \Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*)] \\ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*) &\leq s [\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \vartheta_p) + \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_p, \Omega\vartheta^*)] \\ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*) &\leq s \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \vartheta_p) + s^2 [\Gamma(\vartheta_p, \Omega\vartheta_p) + \Gamma(\Omega\vartheta_p, \Omega\vartheta^*)] \\ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*) &\leq s \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \vartheta_p) + s^2 \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_p, \Omega\vartheta_p) + s^2 \Lambda [\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_p, \Omega\vartheta_p) + \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*)] \\ [1 - s^2 \Lambda] \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*) &\leq s \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \vartheta_p) + (1 + s^2) \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_p, \Omega\vartheta_p) \\ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*) &\leq \frac{s}{[1 - s^2 \Lambda]} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \vartheta_p) + \frac{(1 + s^2)}{[1 - s^2 \Lambda]} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_p, \Omega\vartheta_p) \end{aligned}$$

As $p \rightarrow \infty$, we get $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*) = 0$

So $\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*) = 0 \Rightarrow \vartheta^* = \Omega\vartheta^*$

Hence ϑ^* is a fixed point of Ω .

Uniqueness: Suppose Θ is another fixed point of Ω then we have $\Omega\vartheta^* = \vartheta^*$ and $\Omega\Theta = \Theta$.

Now $\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Theta) = \Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta^*, \Omega\Theta)$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \Lambda \{ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*) + \Omega_{pb}(\Theta, \Omega\Theta) \} \\ &\leq \Lambda \{ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \vartheta^*) + \Omega_{pb}(\Theta, \Theta) \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Gamma(\vartheta^*, \Theta) = 0 \Rightarrow \vartheta^* = \Theta$$

Hence proof.

Theorem 3.2: Under the hypotheses of theorem 3.1, the sequence $\Omega(\vartheta_n)$ is (Ω, S) – stable.

Proof: Suppose $\{\vartheta_k\}$ is an arbitrary sequence in H and $b_n = \Omega_{pb}(\Omega(\vartheta_{n+1}), \Omega(S(\vartheta_n)))$

Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{pb}(\Omega(\vartheta_{n+1}), \Omega(\vartheta^*)) &\leq \Omega_{pb}(\Omega(\vartheta_{n+1}), \Omega(S(\vartheta_n))) + \Omega_{pb}(b_n, \Omega(\vartheta^*)) \\ \Omega_{pb}(\Omega(\vartheta_{n+1}), \Omega(\vartheta^*)) &\leq b_n + \frac{(1 - \zeta_{k-1})[\zeta_{k-1} s\delta + \ddot{U}\Lambda]}{[1 - \zeta_{k-1} s\delta - (1 - \zeta_k)\ddot{U}\Lambda]} \Omega_{pb}(\Omega(S(\vartheta_n)), \Omega(S(\vartheta^*))) \end{aligned}$$

But we have, $b_n = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Omega(\vartheta_n) = \Omega(\vartheta^*)$ by lemma 2.

Conversely, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Omega_{pb}(\Omega(\vartheta_{n+1}), \Omega(\vartheta^*)) = 0$ then by help of lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_n &= \Omega_{pb}(\Omega(\vartheta_{n+1}), \Omega(S(\vartheta_n))) \\ &\leq \Omega_{pb}(\Omega(\vartheta_{n+1}), \Omega(\vartheta^*)) + \Omega_{pb}(\Omega(\vartheta^*), \Omega(S(\vartheta_n))) \\ &\leq \Omega_{pb}(\Omega(\vartheta_{n+1}), \Omega(\vartheta^*)) + \Omega_{pb}(\Omega(S(\vartheta_n)), \Omega(S(\vartheta^*))) \\ &\leq \Omega_{pb}(\Omega(\vartheta_{n+1}), \Omega(\vartheta^*)) + \Lambda\{\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_n, \Omega\vartheta_n) + \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*)\} \\ &\leq \Omega_{pb}(\Omega(\vartheta_{n+1}), \Omega(\vartheta^*)) + \Lambda\{\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_n, \vartheta_n) + \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \vartheta^*)\} \\ b_n &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\Omega(\vartheta_n)$ is (Ω, S) – stable.

Theorem 3.3: Let $(H_c, \Omega_{pb}, \varpi)$ be a complete (δ, \ddot{U}) -convex Partial b -metric space with constants and $\Omega: H_c \rightarrow H_c$ be a self mapping defined as:

$$\Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta, \Omega\eta) \leq \Lambda \text{Max}\{\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta, \eta), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta, \Omega\vartheta), \Omega_{pb}(\eta, \Omega\eta)\}, \text{ for } \vartheta, \eta \in H_c$$

and for some $\Lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$. Take $\vartheta_0 \in H_c$ such that $\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_0, \Omega\vartheta_0) = \mathfrak{M} < \infty$ and define $\vartheta_k = \varpi(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}; \zeta_{k-1}, \delta, \ddot{U})$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \zeta_{k-1} < \frac{1}{s^2}$, $\delta, \ddot{U} \leq [0, 1)$. Then Ω has a unique fixed point of H_c .

Proof: for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \vartheta_{k+1}) &= \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \varpi(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k; \zeta_k, \delta, \ddot{U})) = \zeta_k \delta \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \vartheta_k) + (1 - \zeta_k) \ddot{U} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) \\ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \vartheta_{k+1}) &\leq (1 - \zeta_k) \ddot{U} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k). \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) &= \Omega_{pb}(\varpi(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}; \zeta_{k-1}, \delta, \ddot{U}), \Omega\vartheta_k) \\ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) &\leq \zeta_{k-1} \delta \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) + (1 - \zeta_{k-1}) \ddot{U} \Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_k) \\ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) &\leq \zeta_{k-1} s\delta [\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) + \Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_k) - \Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1})] + (1 - \zeta_k) \ddot{U} \Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_k) \\ &\leq s\delta \zeta_{k-1} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) + \{s\delta \zeta_{k-1} + (1 - \zeta_{k-1}) \ddot{U}\} \Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_k) \\ &\leq s\delta \zeta_{k-1} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) \\ &+ \{s\delta \zeta_{k-1} + (1 - \zeta_{k-1}) \ddot{U}\} \Lambda \text{Max}\{\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \vartheta_k), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k)\} \\ &\leq s\delta \zeta_{k-1} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) \\ &+ \{s\delta \zeta_{k-1} + (1 - \zeta_{k-1}) \ddot{U}\} \Lambda \text{Max}\left\{ \begin{aligned} &(1 - \zeta_{k-1}) \ddot{U} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}), \\ &\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) \end{aligned} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Where $\beta = (1 - \zeta_{k-1}) \ddot{U} \leq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq s\delta \zeta_{k-1} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) \\ &+ \{s\delta \zeta_{k-1} + \beta\} \Lambda \text{Max}\left\{ \begin{aligned} &\beta \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}), \\ &\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) \end{aligned} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

There are two possibilities:

Case (i) if $\text{Max}\left\{ \begin{aligned} &\beta \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}), \\ &\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) \end{aligned} \right\} = \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1})$

Then

$$\leq s\delta \zeta_{k-1} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) + \{s\delta \zeta_{k-1} + \beta\} \Lambda \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1})$$

$$\leq \{s\delta\zeta_{k-1} + \{s\delta\zeta_{k-1} + \beta\}\Lambda\} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1})$$

$$\text{Put } K_1 = \{s\delta\zeta_{k-1} + \{s\delta\zeta_{k-1} + \beta\}\Lambda\} \leq 1$$

$$\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) \leq K_1 \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1})$$

Since $0 < \zeta_{k-1} < \frac{1}{2}$, $\delta, \ddot{U} \leq 1, \Lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ then $K_1 \leq 1$.

Case (ii) if $Max\left\{\begin{matrix} \beta\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}), \\ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) \end{matrix}\right\} = \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k)$
 Then

$$\leq s\delta\zeta_{k-1} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) + \{s\delta\zeta_{k-1} + \beta\}\Lambda \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k)$$

$$[1 - \{s\delta\zeta_{k-1} + \beta\}\Lambda] \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) \leq s\delta\zeta_{k-1} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1})$$

$$\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) \leq \frac{s\delta\zeta_{k-1}}{[1 - \{s\delta\zeta_{k-1} + \beta\}\Lambda]} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1})$$

$$\text{Put } K_2 = \frac{s\delta\zeta_{k-1}}{[1 - \{s\delta\zeta_{k-1} + \beta\}\Lambda]} \leq 1$$

$$\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) \leq K_2 \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1})$$

Since $0 < \zeta_{k-1} < \frac{1}{2}$, $\delta, \ddot{U} \leq 1, \Lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ then $K_1 \leq 1$.
 Let $K = Max\{K_1, K_2\}$ then

$$\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) \leq K \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1})$$

Since $\delta, \ddot{U}, \zeta_{k-1} \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $K \leq 1, \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_0, \Omega\vartheta_0) = \mathfrak{M} < \infty$ then applying $k \rightarrow \infty$ then we get $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) = 0$ and since,
 $\Gamma(\vartheta_k, \vartheta_{k+1}) \leq (1 - \zeta_k) \ddot{U} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) < \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k)$
 Thus, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \vartheta_{k+1}) = 0$

Now we show that $\{\vartheta_k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose $\{\vartheta_k\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence and let two subsequences $\{\vartheta_{p_t}\}$ and $\{\vartheta_{q_t}\}$ of $\{\vartheta_k\}$ such that $q_t > p_t > t$ satisfying $\Gamma(\vartheta_{p_t}, \vartheta_{q_t}) \geq \epsilon$ and $\Gamma(\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}, \vartheta_{q_t}) < \epsilon$ then by *triangularity* property

$$\epsilon \leq \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_t}, \vartheta_{q_t}) \leq s[\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_t}, \vartheta_{q_{t+1}}) + \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{q_{t+1}}, \vartheta_{q_t}) - \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{q_{t+1}}, \vartheta_{q_{t+1}})]$$

Taking $t \rightarrow \infty$ and applying above values then we get

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_t}, \vartheta_{q_t}) \leq \epsilon$$

Now, $\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_t}, \vartheta_{q_{t+1}}) = \Omega_{pb}(\varpi(\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}, \Omega\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}; \zeta_{p_{t-1}}, \delta, \ddot{U}), \vartheta_{p_{t+1}})$

$$\leq \delta\zeta_{p_{t-1}} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}, \vartheta_{p_{t+1}}) + (1 - \zeta_{p_{t-1}}) \ddot{U} \Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}, \vartheta_{p_{t+1}})$$

$$\leq \delta\zeta_{p_{t-1}} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}, \vartheta_{p_{t+1}})$$

$$+ (1 - \zeta_{p_{t-1}}) \ddot{U} s[\Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}, \vartheta_{p_t}) + \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_t}, \vartheta_{p_{t+1}})]$$

$$\{1 - (1 - \zeta_{p_{t-1}}) \ddot{U} s\} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_t}, \vartheta_{p_{t+1}}) \leq \delta\zeta_{p_{t-1}} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}, \vartheta_{p_{t+1}})$$

$$+ (1 - \zeta_{p_{t-1}}) \ddot{U} s \Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}, \vartheta_{p_t})$$

Therefore letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ then we obtain $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Sup \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_t}, \vartheta_{p_{t+1}}) \leq \epsilon$, which is contradiction. Thus $\{\vartheta_k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence^{H_c}. By completeness of H_c there exists $\vartheta^* \in H_c$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_p, \vartheta^*) = 0$.
 Now we claim ϑ^* is a fixed point of Ω .

$$\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*) \leq s[\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \vartheta_p) + \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_p, \Omega\vartheta^*) - \Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*)]$$

$$\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*) \leq s^2[\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \vartheta_p) + \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_p, \Omega\vartheta_p)] + s[\Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta_p, \Omega\vartheta^*)]$$

$$\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*) \leq s^2[\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \vartheta_p) + \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_p, \Omega\vartheta_p)] + sMax\{\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_p, \vartheta^*), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_p, \Omega\vartheta_p), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*)\}$$

$$\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*) \leq s^2[\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \vartheta_p) + \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_p, \Omega\vartheta_p)] + sMax\{\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_p, \vartheta^*), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_p, \Omega\vartheta_p), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*)\}$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ then we get

$$\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*) \leq sMax\{\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*), s\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*)\}$$

$$\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*) \leq s^2\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*)$$

So $\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*) = 0 \Rightarrow \vartheta^* = \Omega\vartheta^*$

Hence ϑ^* is a fixed point of Ω .

Uniqueness: Suppose Θ is another fixed point of Ω then we have $\Omega\vartheta^* = \vartheta^*$ and $\Omega\Theta = \Theta$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Theta) &= \Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta^*, \Omega\Theta) \\ &\leq \Lambda \text{Max}\{\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Theta), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*), \Omega_{pb}(\Theta, \Omega\Theta)\} \\ &\leq \Lambda \text{Max}\{\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Theta), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \vartheta^*), \Omega_{pb}(\Theta, \Theta)\} \\ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Theta) &\leq \Lambda \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Theta) \\ (1 - \Lambda) \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Theta) &\leq 0 \\ (1 - \Lambda) \neq 0, \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Theta) = 0 &\Rightarrow \vartheta^* = \Theta \end{aligned}$$

Hence proof.

Now by using definition 2.9: We achieve the following stability result.

Theorem 3.4: Under the hypotheses of theorem 3.1, the sequence $\Omega(\vartheta_n)$ is (Ω, S) - stable.

Proof: Suppose $\{\vartheta_k\}$ is an arbitrary sequence in H and $b_n = \Omega_{pb}(\Omega(\vartheta_{n+1}), \Omega(S(\vartheta_n)))$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0 \text{ then} \\ \Omega_{pb}(\Omega(\vartheta_{n+1}), \Omega(\vartheta^*)) &\leq \Omega_{pb}(\Omega(\vartheta_{n+1}), \Omega(S(\vartheta_n))) + \Omega_{pb}(b_n, \Omega(\vartheta^*)) \\ \Omega_{pb}(\Omega(\vartheta_{n+1}), \Omega(\vartheta^*)) &\leq b_n + (1 - \zeta_{k-1}) \ddot{\Omega}_{pb}(\Omega(S(\vartheta_n)), \Omega(S(\vartheta^*))) \end{aligned}$$

But we have, $b_n = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Omega(\vartheta_n) = \Omega(\vartheta^*)$ by lemma 2.

Conversely, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Omega_{pb}(\Omega(\vartheta_{n+1}), \Omega(\vartheta^*)) = 0$ then by help of lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_n &= \Omega_{pb}(\Omega(\vartheta_{n+1}), \Omega(S(\vartheta_n))) \\ &\leq \Omega_{pb}(\Omega(\vartheta_{n+1}), \Omega(\vartheta^*)) + \Omega_{pb}(\Omega(\vartheta^*), \Omega(S(\vartheta_n))) \\ &\leq \Omega_{pb}(\Omega(\vartheta_{n+1}), \Omega(\vartheta^*)) + \Omega_{pb}(\Omega(S(\vartheta_n)), \Omega(S(\vartheta^*))) \\ &\leq \Omega_{pb}(\Omega(\vartheta_{n+1}), \Omega(\vartheta^*)) + (1 - \zeta_{k-1}) \ddot{\Omega}_{pb}(\Omega(\vartheta_n), \Omega(\vartheta^*)) \\ b_n &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\Omega(\vartheta_n)$ is (Ω, S) - stable.

Theorem 3.5: Let $(H_C, \Omega_{pb}, \varpi)$ be a complete $(\delta, \ddot{\Omega})$ -convex Partial b-metric space with constants $s \geq 1$ and $\Omega: H_C \rightarrow H_C$ be a quasi-contraction mapping defined as:

$$\Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta, \Omega\eta) \leq \Lambda \text{Max}\{\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta, \eta), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta, \Omega\vartheta), \Omega_{pb}(\eta, \Omega\eta), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta, \Omega\eta), \Omega_{pb}(\eta, \Omega\vartheta)\}, \text{ for } \vartheta, \eta \in H_C$$

and for some $\Lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$. Take $\vartheta_0 \in H_C$ such that $\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_0, \Omega\vartheta_0) = \mathfrak{M} < \infty$ and

define $\vartheta_k = \varpi(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}; \zeta_{k-1}, \delta, \ddot{\Omega})$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \zeta_{k-1} < \frac{1}{s^2}, \delta, \ddot{\Omega} \leq [0, 1)$. Then Ω has a unique FP.

Proof: for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \vartheta_{k+1}) &= \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \varpi(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k; \zeta_k, \delta, \ddot{\Omega})) = \zeta_k \delta \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \vartheta_k) + (1 - \zeta_k) \ddot{\Omega}_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) \\ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \vartheta_{k+1}) &\leq (1 - \zeta_k) \ddot{\Omega}_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k). \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) &= \Omega_{pb}(\varpi(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}; \zeta_{k-1}, \delta, \ddot{\Omega}), \Omega\vartheta_k) \\ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) &\leq \zeta_{k-1} \delta \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) + (1 - \zeta_{k-1}) \ddot{\Omega}_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_k) \\ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) &\leq \zeta_{k-1} s \delta [\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) + \Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_k) - \Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1})] + (1 - \zeta_{k-1}) \ddot{\Omega}_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_k) \\ &\leq s \delta \zeta_{k-1} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) + \{s \delta \zeta_{k-1} + (1 - \zeta_{k-1}) \ddot{\Omega}\} \Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_k) \\ &\leq s \delta \zeta_{k-1} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) \\ &+ \{s \delta \zeta_{k-1} + (1 - \zeta_{k-1}) \ddot{\Omega}\} \Lambda \text{Max} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \vartheta_k), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}), \\ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_k), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq s \delta \zeta_{k-1} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) \\ &+ \{s \delta \zeta_{k-1} + (1 - \zeta_{k-1}) \ddot{\Omega}\} \Lambda \text{Max} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (1 - \zeta_{k-1}) \ddot{\Omega}_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}), \\ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_k), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq s \delta \zeta_{k-1} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) \\ &+ \{s \delta \zeta_{k-1} + (1 - \zeta_{k-1}) \ddot{\Omega}\} \Lambda \text{Max} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (1 - \zeta_{k-1}) \ddot{\Omega}_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}), \\ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k), \{\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \vartheta_k) + \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k)\}, \\ \Omega_{pb}(\varpi(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}; \zeta_{k-1}, \delta, \ddot{\Omega}), \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq s \delta \zeta_{k-1} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & +\{s\delta\zeta_{k-1} + (1 - \zeta_{k-1})\ddot{U}\} \Lambda \text{Max} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (1 - \zeta_{k-1})\ddot{U}\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}), \\ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k), \{(1 - \zeta_{k-1})\ddot{U}\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) + \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k)\}, \\ \Omega_{pb}(\varpi(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}; \zeta_{k-1}, \delta, \ddot{U}), \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) \end{array} \right\} \\
 & \leq s\delta\zeta_{k-1} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) \\
 & +\{s\delta\zeta_{k-1} + (1 - \zeta_{k-1})\ddot{U}\} \Lambda \text{Max} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (1 - \zeta_{k-1})\ddot{U}\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}), \\ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k), \{(1 - \zeta_{k-1})\ddot{U}\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) + \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k)\}, \\ \zeta_{k-1} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) + (1 - \zeta_{k-1})\Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) \end{array} \right\} \\
 & \leq s\delta\zeta_{k-1} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) \\
 & +\{s\delta\zeta_{k-1} + \xi\} \Lambda \text{Max} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \xi \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k), \\ \{\xi \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) + \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k)\}, \zeta_{k-1} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) \end{array} \right\} \\
 \text{Where } \xi & = (1 - \zeta_{k-1})\ddot{U} \leq 1 \\
 \Gamma(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) & \leq s\delta\zeta_{k-1} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) + \{s\delta\zeta_{k-1} + \xi\} \Lambda \text{Max} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \xi \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}), \\ \{\xi \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) + \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k)\} \end{array} \right\} \\
 \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) & \leq s\delta\zeta_{k-1} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) + \{s\delta\zeta_{k-1} + \xi\} \Lambda \xi \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) + \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) \\
 [1 - \{s\delta\zeta_{k-1} + \xi\} \Lambda] \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) & \leq [s\delta\zeta_{k-1} + \{s\delta\zeta_{k-1} + \xi\} \Lambda \xi] \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}) \\
 \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) & \leq \frac{[s\delta\zeta_{k-1} + \{s\delta\zeta_{k-1} + \xi\} \Lambda \xi]}{[1 - \{s\delta\zeta_{k-1} + \xi\} \Lambda]} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1})
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\delta, \ddot{U} \in [0, 1], \Lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, $0 < \zeta_{k-1} < \frac{1}{s^2}$, and $\xi \leq 1, \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_0, \Omega\vartheta_0) = \mathfrak{M} < \infty$. then applying $k \rightarrow \infty$ then we get $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) = 0$ and since, $\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \vartheta_{k+1}) \leq (1 - \zeta_k)\ddot{U}\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k) < \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \Omega\vartheta_k)$

Thus, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_k, \vartheta_{k+1}) = 0$

Now we show that $\{\vartheta_k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose $\{\vartheta_k\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence and let two subsequences $\{\vartheta_{p_t}\}$ and $\{\vartheta_{q_t}\}$ of $\{\vartheta_k\}$ such that $q_t > p_t > t$ satisfying $\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_t}, \vartheta_{q_t}) \geq \epsilon$ and $\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}, \vartheta_{q_t}) < \epsilon$ then by *triangularity* property $\epsilon \leq \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_t}, \vartheta_{q_t}) \leq s[\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_t}, \vartheta_{q_{t+1}}) + \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{q_{t+1}}, \vartheta_{q_t}) - \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{q_{t+1}}, \vartheta_{q_{t+1}})]$

Taking $t \rightarrow \infty$ and applying above values then we get

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_t}, \vartheta_{q_t}) \leq \epsilon$$

Now, $\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_t}, \vartheta_{q_{t+1}}) = \Omega_{pb}(\varpi(\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}, \Omega\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}; \zeta_{p_{t-1}}, \delta, \ddot{U}), \vartheta_{p_{t+1}})$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \leq \delta\zeta_{p_{t-1}} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}, \vartheta_{p_{t+1}}) + (1 - \zeta_{p_{t-1}})\ddot{U}\Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}, \vartheta_{p_{t+1}}) \\
 & \leq \delta\zeta_{p_{t-1}} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}, \vartheta_{p_{t+1}}) \\
 & + (1 - \zeta_{p_{t-1}})\ddot{U}s[\Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}, \Omega\vartheta_{p_{t+1}}) + \Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta_{p_{t+1}}, \vartheta_{p_{t+1}})] \\
 & \leq \delta\zeta_{p_{t-1}} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}, \vartheta_{p_{t+1}}) + (1 - \zeta_{p_{t-1}})\ddot{U}s\Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta_{p_{t+1}}, \vartheta_{p_{t+1}}) \\
 & + (1 - \zeta_{p_{t-1}})\ddot{U}s \Lambda \text{Max} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}, \vartheta_{p_{t+1}}), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}, \Omega\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}), \\ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_{t+1}}, \Omega\vartheta_{p_{t+1}}), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}, \Omega\vartheta_{p_{t+1}}), \\ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_{t+1}}, \Omega\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}) \end{array} \right\} \\
 & \leq \delta\zeta_{p_{t-1}} s [\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}, \vartheta_{p_t}) + \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_t}, \vartheta_{p_{t+1}})] + (1 - \zeta_{p_{t-1}})\ddot{U}s\Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta_{p_{t+1}}, \vartheta_{p_{t+1}}) \\
 & + (1 - \zeta_{p_{t-1}})\ddot{U}s \Lambda \text{Max} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} s\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}, \vartheta_{p_t}) + s\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_t}, \vartheta_{p_{t+1}}), \\ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}, \Omega\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_{t+1}}, \Omega\vartheta_{p_{t+1}}), \\ s\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}, \vartheta_{p_{t+1}}) + s\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_{t+1}}, \Omega\vartheta_{p_{t+1}}), \\ s\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_{t+1}}, \vartheta_{p_{t-1}}) + s\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}, \Omega\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}) \end{array} \right\} \\
 & \leq \delta\zeta_{p_{t-1}} s [\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}, \vartheta_{p_t}) + \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_t}, \vartheta_{p_{t+1}})] + (1 - \zeta_{p_{t-1}})\ddot{U}s\Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta_{p_{t+1}}, \vartheta_{p_{t+1}}) \\
 & + (1 - \zeta_{p_{t-1}})\ddot{U}s \Lambda \text{Max} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} s\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}, \vartheta_{p_t}) + s\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_t}, \vartheta_{p_{t+1}}), \\ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}, \Omega\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_{t+1}}, \Omega\vartheta_{p_{t+1}}), \\ s\{s[\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}, \vartheta_{p_t}) + \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_t}, \vartheta_{p_{t+1}})]\} + s\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_{t+1}}, \Omega\vartheta_{p_{t+1}}), \\ s\{s[\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_{t+1}}, \vartheta_{p_t}) + \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_t}, \vartheta_{p_{t-1}})]\} + s\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}, \Omega\vartheta_{p_{t-1}}) \end{array} \right\} \\
 & \leq \delta\zeta_{p_{t-1}} s\epsilon + (1 - \zeta_{p_{t-1}})\ddot{U}s \Lambda \text{Max}\{s\epsilon, s^2\epsilon\} \\
 & \leq \delta\zeta_{p_{t-1}} s\epsilon + (1 - \zeta_{p_{t-1}})\ddot{U}s \Lambda s^2\epsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ then we get $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma(\vartheta_{p_t}, \vartheta_{q_{t+1}}) < \epsilon$. This is contradiction. Thus $\{\vartheta_{p_t}\}$ being Cauchy sequence in H. By completeness of H, there exist $\vartheta^* \in H$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_{p_t}, \vartheta^*) = 0$.

Now we shall prove ϑ^* is a fixed point of Ω for this

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*) &\leq s[\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \vartheta_p) + \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_p, \Omega\vartheta^*) - \Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*)] \\ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*) &\leq s[\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \vartheta_p) + \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_p, \Omega\vartheta_p)] + s^2[\Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta_p, \Omega\vartheta^*)] \\ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*) &\leq s[\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \vartheta_p) + \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_p, \Omega\vartheta_p)] + \Lambda s^2 \text{Max}\{\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_p, \vartheta^*), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_p, \Omega\vartheta_p), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_p, \Omega\vartheta^*), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta_p)\} \\ \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*) &\leq s[\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \vartheta_p) + \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_p, \Omega\vartheta_p)] + \Lambda s^2 \text{Max}\left\{\begin{matrix} \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_p, \vartheta^*), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_p, \Omega\vartheta_p), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*), \\ s\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_p, \vartheta^*) + s\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*), s\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \vartheta_p) + s\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_p, \Omega\vartheta_p) \end{matrix}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ then we get

$$\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*) \leq \Lambda s^2 \text{Max}\{\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*), s\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*)\}$$

$$\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*) \leq \Lambda s^2 \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*)$$

$$\text{So } \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*) = 0 \Rightarrow \vartheta^* = \Omega\vartheta^*$$

Hence ϑ^* is a fixed point of Ω .

Uniqueness: Suppose Θ is another fixed point of Ω then we have $\Omega\vartheta^* = \vartheta^*$ and $\Omega\Theta = \Theta$.

$$\text{Now } \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Theta) = \Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta^*, \Omega\Theta)$$

$$\leq \Lambda \text{Max}\{\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Theta), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\vartheta^*), \Omega_{pb}(\Theta, \Omega\Theta), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Omega\Theta), \Omega_{pb}(\Theta, \Omega\vartheta^*)\}$$

$$\leq \Lambda \text{Max}\{\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Theta), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \vartheta^*), \Omega_{pb}(\Theta, \Theta), \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Theta), \Omega_{pb}(\Theta, \vartheta^*)\}$$

$$\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Theta) \leq \Lambda \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Theta)$$

$$(1 - \Lambda) \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Theta) \leq 0$$

$$(1 - \Lambda) \neq 0, \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta^*, \Theta) = 0 \Rightarrow \vartheta^* = \Theta$$

Hence proof.

Theorem 3.6: Let $(H_c, \Omega_{pb}, \varpi)$ be a complete (δ, \tilde{U}) -convex Partial b-metric space with constants $s \geq 1$ and $\Omega: H_c \rightarrow H_c$ be a Reich type contraction mapping defined as:

$$\Omega_{pb}(\Omega\vartheta, \Omega\eta) \leq \theta \Omega_{pb}(\vartheta, \eta) + \Lambda \{\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta, \Omega\vartheta) + \Omega_{pb}(\eta, \Omega\eta)\}, \text{ for } \vartheta, \eta \in H_c$$

and for some $\Lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$. Take $\vartheta_0 \in H_c$ such that $\Omega_{pb}(\vartheta_0, \Omega\vartheta_0) = \mathfrak{M} < \infty$ and

define $\vartheta_k = \varpi(\vartheta_{k-1}, \Omega\vartheta_{k-1}; \varsigma_{k-1}, \delta, \tilde{U})$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \varsigma_{k-1} < \frac{1}{s}$, and $\delta, \tilde{U} \leq [0, 1)$. Then Ω has a unique FP.

Proof: similar prove as Theorem 3.1.

Conclusion

In this study, we introduced the idea of (δ, \tilde{U}) -convex structure and proved fixed point results for various contraction types in relation to partial b-metric spaces. We also showed that type T-mean non expansive mappings can be studied in various spaces, including hyperbolic metric spaces, and we investigated the existence of fixed points and provided stability with T-iteration schemes.

References

1. Alsulami HH, Gulyaz S, Karapinar E, Erhan IM. An Ulam stability result on quasi b-metric-like spaces, Open Math. 2016; 14:1087-1103.
2. Bakhtin IA. The contraction mapping principle in quasi metric spaces. Functional Anal. Unianowsk Gos. Ped. Inst. 1989; 30:26-37.
3. Beg I, Abbas M. Common fixed points and best approximation in convex metric spaces. Soochow J. Math. 2007; 33(4):729-738.
4. Beg I, Abbas M. Fixed point theorem for weakly inward multivalued maps on a convex metric space. Demonstr. Math. 2006; 39(1):149-160.
5. Beg I. An iteration scheme for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings on uniformly convex metric spaces. Nonlinear Anal. Forum. 2001; 6(1):27-34.
6. Calderon K, Padcharoen A, Merrno JM. Some stability and strong convergence results for the algorithm with perturbations for a T-Ciric quasi contraction in CAT(0) spaces, J. Inequal. Appl., 2023.
7. Chang SS, Kim JK, Jin DS. Iterative sequences with errors for asymptotically quasi nonexpansive mappings in convex metric space. Arch. inequal. App. 2004; 2:365-374.
8. Chen L, et al. Several fixed point theorems in convex b-metric spaces and applications, Mathematics. 2020; 8:2422. [Cross Ref].
9. Ciric L. On some discontinuous fixed point theorems in convex metric space. Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal. 1993; 43(188):319-326.
10. Czerwik S. Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces. Acta. Math. Inform. Univ. Os- traviensis. 1993; 1:5-11.
11. Ding XP. Iteration process for nonlinear mappings in convex metric spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 1998; 32:114-122.

12. Dragomir SS, Toader GH. Some Inequalities for m -Convex Functions. *Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Math.* 1993; 38(1):2128.
13. Georgescu F. Iterated function systems consisting of generalized convex contraction in the framework of complete strong b -metric space, *Ann. West Univ. Timis. Math. Comput. Sci.* 2017; 55:119-142. [Cross Ref].
14. Gholamhossein A, Mehdi A, Mohammad RM. m -convex structure on b -metric spaces. *Filomat.* 2014; 35(14):4767-4776.
15. Harandi AA. Metric-like spaces, partial metric spaces and fixed points, *Fixed point Theory A*, 2012.
16. Jain K, Kaur J. Some fixed point results in b -metric spaces and b -metric-like spaces with new contractive mappings, *Axioms.* 2021; 12.
17. Kanna R. Some results on fixed points, *Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society.* 1968; 60:71-76.
18. Karaca N, Yildirim I. Fixed point theorem for Reich contraction mapping in convex b -metric spaces, *Aligarh Bull. Math.* 2021; 40:29-39.
19. Lili Chen, Chaoba Li, Radoslaw Kaczmarek, Yanfeng Zhao. Several fixed point theorems in convex b -metric spaces and applications. *MDPI, Mathematics.* 2020; 8:242.
20. Mathews SG. Partial metric topology and application., *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.* 1994; 728:183-197.
21. Mebawondu AA, Izuchukwu C, Abass HA, Mewomo OT. Some results on generalized mean non expansive mapping in complete metric spaces, *Bol. Soc. Parana. Mat.* 2022; 40:1-16.
22. Reich M. Some remarks concerning contraction mapping, *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin.* 1971; 14(1):121-124.
23. Sawangsup K, *et al.* fixed point theorem for orthogonal F -contraction mappings on O -complete metric spaces, *J. fixed point theory Appl.* 2020; 22:1-14. [Cross Ref.]
24. Sharma DK, Tiwari Jayesh. δ -convex structure on rectangular metric spaces concerning Kannan type contraction and Reich type contraction, *J. Korean Soc. Ath. Educat. Ser. B. Pure Appl. Math.* 2022; 29(4):293-306.
25. Takahashi W. Convexity in metric space and nonexpansive mappings. I *Kodai Math. Semin. Rep.* 1970; 32:142-149. 1994; 728:183-197.