



Received: 28-07-2024
Accepted: 08-09-2024

ISSN: 2583-049X

Common Fixed Point Theorem in Controlled Metric Spaces

¹ Shivani Chourasiya, ² Kavita Shrivastava

^{1,2} Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Dr. Harisingh Gour Vishwavidyalaya, Sagar M.P, India
(shivnichourasiya10@gmail.com, kavita.rohit@rediff.com)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.62225/2583049X.2024.4.5.3225>

Corresponding Author: Shivani Chourasiya

Abstract

In the current paper, we derive common fixed-point theorem for generalized rational contractions that involve specific control functions in a controlled metric space. Numerous

renowned findings from the literature are modified by our findings.

Keywords: Fixed Point, Rational Contraction, Controlled Metric Spaces

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In 1906, Fréchet established the concept of a metric space. In 1922 Banach ^[1] gave contraction mapping principle. Many researchers generalized and extended this principle in different spaces like semi-metric, pseudo-metric, extended b-metric, partial, b-metric, and controlled metric spaces [see, 6-10] Czerwik ^[2] gave the notion of b-metric space as follows:

Definition 1.1. ^[2] Let $\Delta \neq \emptyset$ and $\Omega: \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. If,

1. $\Omega(\mu, \nu) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mu = \nu$;
2. $\Omega(\mu, \nu) = \Omega(\nu, \mu)$ for all $\mu, \nu \in \Delta$;
3. $\Omega(\mu, \omega) \leq s [\Omega(\mu, \nu) + \Omega(\nu, \omega)]$ for all $\mu, \nu, \omega \in \Delta$

Then (Δ, Ω) is said to be a b-metric space.

In 2017 Kamran *et al.* ^[3] defined the notion of an extended b-metric space as follows:

Definition 1.2. ^[3] Let $\Delta \neq \emptyset$ and $\sigma: \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ and $\Omega: \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. If,

1. $\Omega(\mu, \nu) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mu = \nu$;
2. $\Omega(\mu, \nu) = \Omega(\nu, \mu)$ for all $\mu, \nu \in \Delta$;
3. $\Omega(\mu, \nu) \leq \sigma(\mu, \nu) [\Omega(\mu, \omega) + \Omega(\omega, \nu)]$ for all $\mu, \nu, \omega \in \Delta$

Then (Δ, Ω) is said to be an extended b-metric space.

In 2018, Mlaiki *et al.* ^[5] gave a new type of extended b-metric space called controlled metric space as follows:

Definition 1.3. ^[5] Let $\Delta \neq \emptyset$ and $\sigma: \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ and $\Omega: \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. If,

1. $\Omega(\mu, \nu) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mu = \nu$;
2. $\Omega(\mu, \nu) = \Omega(\nu, \mu)$ for all $\mu, \nu \in \Delta$;
3. $\Omega(\mu, \nu) \leq \sigma(\mu, \omega)\Omega(\mu, \omega) + \sigma(\omega, \nu)\Omega(\omega, \nu)$ for all $\mu, \nu, \omega \in \Delta$

Then (Δ, Ω, σ) is said to be a controlled metric space.

Definition 1.4. ^[5] Let $\{\mu_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence in (Δ, Ω, σ) .

1. $\{\mu_n\}_{n \geq 0} \rightarrow 0$ in Δ , is convergent if $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N = N(\epsilon) \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\Omega(\mu_n, \mu) < \epsilon, \forall n \geq N$.
2. $\{\mu_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is Cauchy, if $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N = N(\epsilon) \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\Omega(\mu_m, \mu_n) < \epsilon, \forall m, n \geq N$.
3. If every Cauchy sequence in (Δ, Ω, σ) is convergent then (Δ, Ω, σ) is complete.

Theorem 1.1. ^[5] Let (Δ, Ω, σ) be a complete controlled metric space. Let $T: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ be such that

$$\Omega(T\mu, T\nu) \leq Y \Omega(\mu, \nu), \forall \mu, \nu \in \Delta$$

Where $\gamma \in [0, 1)$. For $\mu_0 \in \Delta$, take $\mu_n = T^n \mu_0$. Suppose that,

$$\sup_{m \geq 1} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma(\mu_{i+1}, \mu_{i+2}) \sigma(\mu_{i+1}, \mu_m)}{\sigma(\mu_i, \mu_{i+1})} < \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

Assume that $\forall \mu \in \Delta$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(\mu_n, \mu)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(\mu, \mu_n)$ exist and are finite. Then, T has a unique fixed point. In 2020 Lateef^[4] generalized the above result as follows:

Theorem 1.2.^[4] Let (Δ, Ω, σ) be a complete controlled metric space. Let $T: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ be such that

$$\Omega(T\mu, Tv) \leq \gamma \Omega(\mu, v) + \delta \frac{\Omega(\mu, T\mu) \Omega(v, Tv)}{1 + \Omega(\mu, v)},$$

$\forall \mu, v \in \Delta$, where $\gamma, \delta \in [0, 1)$ such that $\lambda = \gamma + \delta < 1$. For $\mu_0 \in \Delta$, take $\mu_n = T^n \mu_0$. Suppose that,

$$\sup_{m \geq 1} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma(\mu_{i+1}, \mu_{i+2}) \sigma(\mu_{i+1}, \mu_m)}{\sigma(\mu_i, \mu_{i+1})} < \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

Assume that $\forall \mu \in \Delta$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(\mu_n, \mu)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(\mu, \mu_n)$ exist and are finite. Then, T has a unique fixed point. In this paper, we generalized the above result in a new type of rational contraction in controlled metric space.

2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1 Let (Δ, Ω, σ) be a complete controlled metric space. Let $T: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ be such that

$$\Omega(T\mu, Tv) \leq \gamma \max \left\{ \Omega(\mu, v), \frac{\Omega(\mu, T(\mu)) \Omega(v, T(v))}{1 + \Omega(T(\mu), T(v))} \right\} + \delta \{ \Omega(\mu, T(\mu)) + \Omega(v, T(v)) \} \tag{2.1}$$

$\forall \mu, v \in \Delta$, where $\gamma, \delta \in [0, 1)$ such that $\lambda = \gamma + \delta < 1$. For $\mu_0 \in \Delta$, take $\mu_n = T^n \mu_0$. Suppose that,

$$\sup_{m \geq 1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma(\mu_{i+1}, \mu_{i+2}) \sigma(\mu_{i+1}, \mu_m)}{\sigma(\mu_i, \mu_{i+1})} < \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

Assume that $\forall \mu \in \Delta$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(\mu_n, \mu)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(\mu, \mu_n)$ exist and are finite. Then, T has a unique fixed point.

Proof.

Let $\mu_0 \in \Delta$. We construct $\{\mu_n\}$ in Δ by $\mu_{n+1} = T\mu_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\exists \mu_n \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $\mu_{n+1} = \mu_n$, then $T\mu_n = \mu_n$. Hence the proof is finished. Now, we assume that $\mu_{n+1} \neq \mu_n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus by (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1}) &= \Omega(T\mu_{n-1}, T\mu_n) \\ &\leq \gamma \max \left\{ \Omega(\mu_{n-1}, \mu_n), \frac{\Omega(\mu_{n-1}, T(\mu_{n-1})) \Omega(\mu_n, T(\mu_n))}{1 + \Omega(T(\mu_{n-1}), T(\mu_n))} \right\} \\ &\quad + \delta \{ \Omega(\mu_{n-1}, T(\mu_{n-1})) + \Omega(\mu_n, T(\mu_n)) \} \\ &= \gamma \max \left\{ \Omega(\mu_{n-1}, \mu_n), \frac{\Omega(\mu_{n-1}, \mu_n) \Omega(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1})}{1 + \Omega(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1})} \right\} \\ &\quad + \delta \{ \Omega(\mu_{n-1}, \mu_n) + \Omega(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1}) \} \\ &\leq (\gamma + \delta) \Omega(\mu_{n-1}, \mu_n) + \delta \Omega(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1}) \\ \Omega(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1}) &\leq \left(\frac{\gamma + \delta}{1 - \delta} \right) \Omega(\mu_{n-1}, \mu_n) = \lambda \Omega(\mu_{n-1}, \mu_n) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Omega(\mu_{n-1}, \mu_n) &= \Omega(T\mu_{n-2}, T\mu_{n-1}) \\
 &\leq \gamma \max \left\{ \Omega(\mu_{n-2}, \mu_{n-1}), \frac{\Omega(\mu_{n-2}, T(\mu_{n-2}))\Omega(\mu_n, T(\mu_n - 1))}{1 + \Omega(T(\mu_{n-2}), T(\mu_{n-1}))} \right\} \\
 &\quad + \delta \{ \Omega(\mu_{n-2}, T(\mu_{n-2})) + \Omega(\mu_{n-1}, T(\mu_{n-1})) \} \\
 &= \gamma \max \left\{ \Omega(\mu_{n-2}, \mu_{n-1}), \frac{\Omega(\mu_{n-2}, \mu_{n-1})\Omega(\mu_n, \mu_n)}{1 + \Omega(\mu_{n-1}, \mu_n)} \right\} \\
 &\quad + \delta \{ \Omega(\mu_{n-2}, \mu_{n-1}) + \Omega(\mu_{n-1}, \mu_n) \} \\
 &\leq (\gamma + \delta)\Omega(\mu_{n-2}, \mu_{n-1}) + \delta\Omega(\mu_{n-1}, \mu_n) \\
 \Omega(\mu_{n-1}, \mu_n) &\leq \left(\frac{\gamma + \delta}{1 - \delta} \right) \Omega(\mu_{n-2}, \mu_{n-1}) = \lambda\Omega(\mu_{n-2}, \mu_{n-1})
 \end{aligned}$$

Repeating this process we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Omega(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1}) &\leq \lambda\Omega(\mu_{n-1}, \mu_n) \\
 &\leq \lambda^2\Omega(\mu_{n-2}, \mu_{n-1}) \leq \dots \leq \lambda^n\Omega(\mu_0, \mu_1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\Omega(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1}) \leq \lambda^n\Omega(\mu_0, \mu_1)$.

For all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ($n < m$), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Omega(\mu_n, \mu_m) &\leq \sigma(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1})\Omega(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1}) + \sigma(\mu_{n+1}, \mu_m)\Omega(\mu_{n+1}, \mu_m) \\
 &\leq \sigma(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1})\Omega(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1}) + \sigma(\mu_{n+1}, \mu_m)\sigma(\mu_{n+1}, \mu_{n+2})\Omega(\mu_{n+1}, \mu_{n+2}) \\
 &\quad + \sigma(\mu_{n+1}, \mu_m)\sigma(\mu_{n+2}, \mu_m)\Omega(\mu_{n+2}, \mu_m) \\
 &\leq \sigma(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1})\Omega(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1}) + \sigma(\mu_{n+1}, \mu_m)\sigma(\mu_{n+1}, \mu_{n+2})\Omega(\mu_{n+1}, \mu_{n+2}) \\
 &\quad + \sigma(\mu_{n+1}, \mu_m)\sigma(\mu_{n+2}, \mu_m)\sigma(\mu_{n+2}, \mu_{n+3})\Omega(\mu_{n+2}, \mu_{n+3}) \\
 &\quad + \sigma(\mu_{n+1}, \mu_m)\sigma(\mu_{n+2}, \mu_m)\sigma(\mu_{n+3}, \mu_m)\Omega(\mu_{n+3}, \mu_m) \\
 &\leq \\
 &\quad \vdots \\
 &\leq \sigma(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1})\Omega(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1}) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=n+1}^{m-2} \left(\prod_{j=n+1}^i \sigma(\mu_j, \mu_m) \right) \sigma(\mu_i, \mu_{i+1})\Omega(\mu_i, \mu_{i+1}) + \left(\prod_{i=n+1}^{m-1} \sigma(\mu_i, \mu_m) \right) \sigma(\mu_{m-1}, \mu_m)\Omega(\mu_{m-1}, \mu_m) \\
 &\leq \sigma(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1})\lambda^n\Omega(\mu_0, \mu_1) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=n+1}^{m-2} \left(\prod_{j=n+1}^i \sigma(\mu_j, \mu_m) \right) \sigma(\mu_i, \mu_{i+1})\lambda^i\Omega(\mu_0, \mu_1) + \left(\prod_{i=n+1}^{m-1} \sigma(\mu_i, \mu_m) \right) \sigma(\mu_{m-1}, \mu_m)\lambda^{m-1}\Omega(\mu_0, \mu_1)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sigma(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1})\lambda^n \Omega(\mu_0, \mu_1) + \sum_{i=n+1}^{m-1} \left(\prod_{j=n+1}^i \sigma(\mu_j, \mu_m) \right) \sigma(\mu_i, \mu_{i+1})\lambda^i \Omega(\mu_0, \mu_1) \\
 &\Rightarrow \Omega(\mu_n, \mu_m) \leq \sigma(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1})\lambda^n \Omega(\mu_0, \mu_1) + \sum_{i=n+1}^{m-1} \left(\prod_{j=n+1}^i \sigma(\mu_j, \mu_m) \right) \sigma(\mu_i, \mu_{i+1})\lambda^i \Omega(\mu_0, \mu_1)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Let, } \Gamma_k = \sum_{i=n+1}^{m-1} \left(\prod_{j=n+1}^i \sigma(\mu_j, \mu_m) \right) \sigma(\mu_i, \mu_{i+1})\lambda^i \Omega(\mu_0, \mu_1)$$

Then we get,

$$\Omega(\mu_n, \mu_m) \leq \Omega(\mu_0, \mu_1)[\lambda^n \sigma(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1}) + (\Gamma_{m-1} - \Gamma_n)]$$

Using $\sigma(\mu, \nu) \geq 1$, and ratio test, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_n$ exists. Thus $\{\Gamma_n\}$ is Cauchy.

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \Omega(\mu_n, \mu_m) = 0$$

Thus, $\{\mu_n\}$ is a Cauchy in (Δ, Ω, σ) . Let there exists $\mu^* \in \Delta$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Omega(\mu_n, \mu^*) = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

i.e. $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then from (2.1) and condition 3. of controlled metric space, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Omega(\mu^*, T\mu^*) &\leq \sigma(\mu^*, \mu_{n+1})\Omega(\mu^*, \mu_{n+1}) + \sigma(\mu_{n+1}, T\mu^*)\Omega(\mu_{n+1}, T\mu^*) \\
 &= \sigma(\mu^*, \mu_{n+1})\Omega(\mu^*, \mu_{n+1}) + \sigma(\mu_{n+1}, T\mu^*)\Omega(T\mu_n, T\mu^*) \\
 \Omega(\mu^*, T\mu^*) &\leq \sigma(\mu^*, \mu_{n+1})\Omega(\mu^*, \mu_{n+1}) + \\
 &\Sigma(\mu_{n+1}, T\mu^*)\gamma \max \left\{ \Omega(\mu_n, \mu^*), \frac{\Omega(\mu_n, T(\mu_n))\Omega(\mu^*, T(\mu^*))}{1 + \Omega(T(\mu_n), T(\mu^*))} \right\} + \delta \{ \Omega(\mu_n, T(\mu_n)) + \Omega(\mu^*, T(\mu^*)) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and by using (2.2), we get a contradiction to $\Omega(\mu^*, T\mu^*) > 0$. T

Thus $\Omega(\mu^*, T\mu^*) = 0 \Rightarrow \mu^* = T\mu^*$. Hence it is proved.

Corollary 2.1 Let (Δ, Ω, σ) be a complete controlled metric space. Let $T: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ be such that

$$\Omega(T\mu, T\nu) \leq \gamma \max \left\{ \Omega(\mu, \nu), \frac{\Omega(\mu, T(\mu))\Omega(\nu, T(\nu))}{\Omega(\mu, T(\nu)) + \Omega(\nu, T(\mu)) + \Omega(\mu, \nu)} \right\} + \delta \{ \Omega(\mu, T(\mu)) + \Omega(\nu, T(\nu)) \} \tag{2.1}$$

$\forall \mu, \nu \in \Delta$, where $\Omega(\mu, T(\nu)) + \Omega(\nu, T(\mu)) + \Omega(\mu, \nu) \neq 0$ and $\gamma, \delta \in [0, 1)$ such that $\lambda = \gamma + \delta < 1$ for $\mu_0 \in \Delta$, take $\mu_n = T^n \mu_0$. Suppose that,

$$\sup_{m \geq 1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma(\mu_{i+1}, \mu_{i+2})\sigma(\mu_{i+1}, \mu_m)}{\sigma(\mu_i, \mu_{i+1})} < \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

Assume that $\forall \mu \in \Delta$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(\mu_n, \mu)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(\mu, \mu_n)$ exist and are finite. Then, T has a unique fixed point.

3. References

1. Banach S. Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales. *Fundamenta mathematicae*. 1922; 3(1):133-181.
2. Czerwik S. Contraction mappings in b -metric spaces. *Acta mathematica et informatica universitatis ostraviensis*. 1993; 1(1):5-11.
3. Kamran T, Samreen M, Ain UL, Q. A generalization of b -metric space and some fixed point theorems. *Mathematics*. 2017; 5(2):19.
4. Lateef, Durdana. Fisher type fixed point results in controlled metric spaces. *J. Math. Comput. Sci.* 2020; 20(3):234-240.
5. Mlaiki N, Aydi H, Souayah N, Abdeljawad T. Controlled metric type spaces and the related contraction principle. *Mathematics*. 2018; 6(10):194.
6. Oltra S, Valero O. Banach's fixed point theorem for partial metric spaces, 2004.
7. Petrov E, Bisht RK. Fixed point theorem for generalized Kannan type mappings. *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo Series*. 2024; 2:1-18.
8. Ushabhavani C. On Certain Fixed Points for (α, ϕ, F) -Contraction on S_b -Metric Spaces with Applications. *Advances in Nonlinear Variational Inequalities*. 2024; 27(2):360-374.
9. Van An T, Van Dung N, Kadelburg Z, Radenović S. Various generalizations of metric spaces and fixed point theorems. *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matemáticas*. 2015; 109:175-198.
10. Wardowski D. Fixed points of a new type of contractive mappings in complete metric spaces. *Fixed point theory and applications*, 2012, 1-6.