



Received: 28-11-2023
Accepted: 08-01-2024

International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies

ISSN: 2583-049X

Physicochemical Quality of Selected Borehole Water in Nembe Local Government Area, Bayelsa State

¹ Amalagha Ayebaesin, ² Okereke Christopher Chike, ³ Iwuala Chimezie Christian, ⁴ Amadi Agwu Nkwa, ⁵ Igwe
Leaticia Nnedimma

^{1, 2, 3, 4, 5} Department of Public Health, Federal University of Technology Owerri, Nigeria

Corresponding Author: Amalagha Ayebaesin

Abstract

This study was carried out to assess portability in relation to physicochemical quality characteristics of borehole water in Nembe Local Government Area of Bayelsa State. A cross-sectional study design was employed for the study which involves the collection and analysis of data from a population or a representative subset at a defined time. In this regard, a detailed physical and chemical analysis of public borehole water samples was carried out in five randomly selected communities (Ogbolomabiri, Bassambiri, Igopiri, Otatubu and Etieama) in the study area. A number of parameters such as pH, colour, turbidity, conductivity, total suspended solids (TSS), total dissolved solids (TDS), and chemical properties such as dissolved oxygen, biological oxygen demand, chlorides, total hardness, copper and iron were analyzed for each water sample collected during the study. The analysis was done using descriptive statistics method with the aid of SPSS. The obtained values of each parameter i.e. pH ranges from 4.65 ± 0.4 to 6.86 ± 0.61 , TDS ranges from 38.3 ± 3.05 to 543.6 ± 3.5 (NTU), Turbidity ranges from 5.7 ± 1.52 to 42.6 ± 2.51 (mg/l), TSS ranges from 1.10 ± 0.37 to 37.3 ± 2.5 (mg/l), EC ranges from 55.0 ± 2.64 to 829.3 ± 2.5 ($\mu\text{s}/\text{cm}$), Colour ranges from $8.3 \pm$

2.5 to 341.0 ± 4.00 (Pt-Co), Cl- ranges from 15.67 ± 1.52 to 241.0 ± 3.00 (mg/l), DO ranges from 4.8 ± 0.30 to 7.06 ± 1.10 (mg/l), BOD ranges from 1.57 ± 1.02 to 2.66 ± 0.40 (mg/l) and Total hardness ranges from 5.6 ± 1.62 to 179.7 ± 2.51 (mg/l) were compared with the standard values set by the World Health Organization (WHO). The values of each parameter were found to be within the safe limits set by the WHO except for water samples in Otatubu borehole whose colour was brown, colour units 341.0 ± 4.00 (Pt-Co) and turbidity unit 42.6 ± 2.51 (mg/l), exceeded the WHO recommended limit for drinking water hence water from that borehole is not safe for drinking while that of iron and copper showed insignificant result of <0.001 (mg/l) each. Overall, the water from the other locations was found to be safe as drinking water. However, it is advisable further investigation should be carried out to determine the concentration of other potential water contaminations such as microbial and radiological materials for a longer period of time, including human body fluids, in order to assess the overall water quality of the Local Government Area investigated.

Keywords: Physicochemical, Water, Hygiene, Contamination, Quality

Introduction

Access to safe and hygienic drinking water is a fundamental human and a critical determinant of public health. In Nembe local government area located in Bayelsa state, borehole water sources have become primary water supply option for a significant portion of the population. Borehole are often relied upon to mitigate issues related to inadequate access to potable water from a centralized water treatment facilities and natural sources seen as rivers or well.

The physicochemical quality of water from borehole is of paramount importance as it directly impacts the healthiness and well-being of general public who depend on it. Several factors may affect the physicochemical properties of borehole water, including geological characteristics, land use patterns and potential sources of contamination in the vicinity such as defecating in nearby bushes or rivers, proximity of borehole from latrines, the effects of oil exploration and exploitation, oil bunkering and oil spill coupled with the direct sewage contamination of natural water bodies due to the cultural habit of defecating inside rivers.

However, the quality of borehole water in Nembe Local Government Area has not been comprehensively studied and

documented in recent years. Rapid urbanization, industrial activities and agricultural practices in the area may introduce various pollutants into the groundwater, potentially endangering the safety of this vital water source. In Nembe Local Government Area, concerns have arisen as regard the physicochemical quality of borehole water which serves as a primary source of drinking water for a significant portion of the population. It is against this background that the researcher have designed this study to determine the physicochemical quality of selected borehole water with the view to address several pressing issues and challenges related to the quality of borehole water in Nembe Local Government Area (LGA). With the view to ascertain the following objectives: to ascertain the physical quality of borehole water in Nembe Local Government Area, Bayelsa State. To find out the chemical quality of borehole water in Nembe Local Government Area, Bayelsa State. To compare the physicochemical quality of borehole water from sampled communities in Nembe Local Government Area, Bayelsa State with the World Health Organisation's (WHO) recommended standard.

Materials and Methods

A cross-sectional study design was employed for the study which involves the collection and analysis of data from a population or a representative subset at a defined time. The total number of five (5) boreholes were randomly selected from (5) different communities or zones within Nembe LGA for the research, which are zone 1: Ogbolomabiri (Nembe city), zone 2: Bassambiri (Opu Nembe), zone 3: Igopiri, zone 4: Otatubu, and zone 5: Etieama. Borehole water sample were collected three times at each sampling points in each of the zones. Simple random sampling technique was adopted to select borehole water samples from different borehole water sources that serve most indigenes of the communities. Sterile plastic bottles were used to collect water samples. The collection process for borehole water involved turning on the tap and allowing the tap water to run for at least 1-2 minutes before collecting samples, sealing and labelling the bottles, and then the samples were place in an iced cooler before transporting to the laboratory for analysis within 24hours from the time of sample collection. The borehole water samples were collected at five different sampling points which are zone 1,2,3,4 and 5 as specified earlier. Three water samples were collected at each sampling points and the mean values of the parameters at the five sampling points were determined and used for data computation. The physical parameters were analysed at the point of collection of borehole water samples while the chemical parameters were analysed in a standard laboratory called Analytical Concept Ltd in Port Harcourt, Rivers State. The parameters that were analysed are physical parameters (pH, Turbidity, Total Dissolved Solids, Total suspended solids, Electrical conductivity and Colour), chemicals parameters (Chloride,

Copper, Iron, Dissolved Oxygen, Biochemical Oxygen Demand and Total Hardness). The analytical method were pH, EC and TDS (Electrometric Method) -RoHS-3508 Multi-Parameter Tester, Colour(Colorimetric Method)-HACH DR890 Colorimeter (USA), Turbidity (Absorptometric Method) - HACH DR890 Colorimeter (USA), Total Hardness (Titration Method), Dissolved Oxygen (DO) (Titration Method), Chloride (Argentometric Titration Method), Total suspended solids (Gravimetric after Filtration Method), Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) (Incubation Method), then Iron and Copper - GBC 908PBMT Atomic Adsorption Spectrophotometer AAS (Australia). The collected data for the study was cross tabulated in an excel worksheet and was analysed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS, version 20.0). The analysis was done using descriptive statistics and the results were displayed in frequency tables and charts. P-value less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Result

Mean pH of borehole water samples analysed from different communities in Nembe LGA of Bayelsa State

The mean pH of some selected borehole water samples in five (5) different communities in Nembe LGA of Bayelsa State was analysed. The results for the pH ranged from 4.6-6.8 (Table 1) The results showed that the highest mean pH was observed in water samples collected from Etieama community with a mean pH of 6.89, while the least mean pH was observed in samples collected from Ogbolomabiri community 4.6. Whereas the water samples collected from Igopiri, Otatubu and Bassambiri communities showed acidic mean pH value of 6.70, 6.58 and 5.29 respectively, which was below the WHO limit of 6-7 for drinking water. The results showed no significant differences in pH between water samples collected from Ogbolomabiri and Bassambiri respectively. Also, there were no significant differences in pH between water samples collected from Otatubu, Igopiri and Etieama communities at $p=0.05$. The result is as shown in Table 1 below.

Mean turbidity of borehole water samples analysed from different communities in Nembe LGA of Bayelsa State

The turbidity values of the borehole water samples varied between 0.00 NTU and 42.6 NTU (Table 1). The recorded turbidity values show that all water sample exception of Ogbolomabiri water sample had turbidity values higher than the WHO limit for drinking water (5NTU). Water samples from Otatubu recorded the highest turbidity value of 42.67 NTU, followed by water samples from Igopiri community with a turbidity of 9.6NTU. Water samples from Ogbolomabiri community had a turbidity of 0.00NTU. There were no significant differences in turbidity between water samples from Igopiri and Bassambiri communities.

Table 1: Showing LSD of the Physical Parameters of Borehole Water Analysed from Different Communities in Nembe LGA of Bayelsa State

Communities	Parameter	pH	Total Dissolved Solids TDS (NTU)	Turbidity (mg/l)	Total Suspended Solids TSS (mg/l)	Elect. Conductivity EC ($\mu\text{s}/\text{cm}$)	Colour (Pt-Co)
Ogbolomabiri		4.65 \pm 0.4 ^a	45.3 \pm 2.08 ^b	0.00 \pm 0.00 ^a	2.3 \pm 1.5 ^{ab}	65.0 \pm 7.93 ^a	8.3 \pm 2.5 ^a
Igopiri		6.70 \pm 0.66 ^c	389.3 \pm 4.01 ^c	9.7 \pm 2.50 ^c	5.8 \pm 1.52 ^c	573.0 \pm 27.7 ^b	97.8 \pm 2.51 ^d
Otatubu		6.58 \pm 0.63 ^{bc}	543.6 \pm 3.5 ^a	42.6 \pm 2.51 ^d	37.3 \pm 2.51 ^d	829.3 \pm 2.51 ^d	341.0 \pm 4.00 ^e
Etieama		6.86 \pm 0.61 ^c	436.7 \pm 3.51 ^d	5.7 \pm 1.52 ^b	4.6 \pm 1.53 ^{bc}	655.3 \pm 4.50 ^c	54.0 \pm 3.00 ^c
Bassambiri		5.29 \pm 1.10 ^{ab}	38.3 \pm 3.05 ^c	7.8 \pm 1.53 ^{bc}	1.10 \pm 0.37 ^a	55.0 \pm 2.64 ^a	37.6 \pm 2.6 ^b
W.H.O		6.5-8.5	500	5	500	750	5

Mean total dissolved solids of borehole water samples analysed from different communities in Nembe LGA of Bayelsa State

The mean total dissolved solids (TDS) obtained from all the borehole water samples varied from 38.30 mg/L to 543 mg/L (Table 1). All the measured borehole water samples recorded TDS concentration values within the permissible limit of WHO (500mg/L) for drinking water, except for borehole water samples from Otatubu (543.67mg/L) which exceeded the WHO limit of TDS for drinking water. The results showed significant differences in TDS in all the water samples from the different communities analysed at $p=0.05$. The result is as shown in Table 1 above.

Mean total suspended solids of borehole water samples analysed from different communities in Nembe LGA of Bayelsa State

The concentration of Total Suspended Solids (TSS) obtained from all the borehole water samples. All the measured borehole water samples recorded TSS concentration values within the permissible limit of WHO (500mg/L) for drinking water. The highest mean TSS was 37.3 mg/L from water samples collected at Otatubu community, followed by water samples from Igopiri, while the least mean TSS concentration of 1.1mg/L was recorded for water samples from Bassambiri community. The result showed significant differences in TSS between water samples collected from Otatubu and all other locations; meanwhile, there were no significant differences in TSS between water samples from Bassambiri and Ogbolomabiri and between Etieama and Igopiri communities respectively at $p=0.05$.

Mean electrical conductivity of borehole water samples analysed from different communities in Nembe LGA of Bayelsa State

Electrical conductivity value for all the sampling sites varied from 55 to 829 μ S/cm (Table 1). The measured EC value indicates that all examined water samples had values which were within the permissible limit of WHO 750 μ S/cm for drinking water except for water samples collected from Otatubu borehole. The highest EC value of 829.3 μ S/cm was

recorded in water samples collected from Otatubu borehole, followed by water samples collected from Etieama (655.3 μ S/cm) while the lowest EC values were observed in water samples collected from Ogbolomabiri (65 μ S/cm) and Bassambiri (55.0 μ S/cm) respectively. The results showed no significant differences in EC between water samples from Ogbolomabiri and Bassambiri but there was a significant differences in the water samples from Otatubu, Igopiri and Etieama communities analysed at $p=0.05$. The result is as shown in Table 1 above.

Mean colour of borehole water samples analysed from different communities in Nembe LGA of Bayelsa State

The water samples from all the locations were clear and with no observable colour except for water samples collected from Otatubu. The laboratory analysis showed that the water samples from Otatubu recorded the highest colour value of 341 °H, followed by water samples from Igopiri (97 °H) and Etieama (54 °H). The least recorded colour value was found in water samples from Ogbolomabiri borehole with a value of 8.3 °H. The results are as shown in Table 1 above. The values for the colours obtained were above the WHO standard of colour for drinking water which is 5 °H. There was significant differences in colour from all the water samples analysed from the communities at $p=0.05$. The result is as shown in Table 1 above

Mean chloride concentration of borehole water samples analysed from different communities in Nembe LGA of Bayelsa State.

The concentration of chloride ion in the borehole water samples varied between 15.8mg/L and 241mg/L respectively (Table 2). Chloride ion level that was recorded in all water samples analysed were within permissible limit of W.H.O for drinking water, 250mg/L. The highest Chloride Ion was observed in borehole water from Otatubu (241mg/L), followed by water samples from Etieama (192.7 mg/L) and Igopiri with a chloride concentration of 171mg/L while the lowest chloride content was observed in water samples from Bassambiri 15.6 mg/L. The result showed significant differences in chloride between all the communities sampled at $p=0.05$.

Table 2: Showing LSD of Chemical Parameters of Borehole Water Analysed from Different Communities in Nembe LGA of Bayelsa State

Parameters Communities	Chlorides Cl (mg/l)	Dissolved Oxygen DO (mg/l)	Biochemical Oxygen Demand, BOD (mg/l)	Total Hardness (mg/l)	Copper Cu (mg/l)	Iron, Fe (mg/l)
Ogbolomabiri	21.0 \pm 2.00 ^b	4.8 \pm 0.30 ^a	2.09 \pm 1.10 ^a	7.67 \pm 1.52 ^a	<0.001	<0.001
Igopiri	171.67 \pm 2.51 ^c	7.06 \pm 1.10 ^b	2.50 \pm 0.88 ^a	140.33 \pm 2.50 ^b	<0.001	<0.001
Otatubu	241.0 \pm 3.00 ^e	5.50 \pm 0.87 ^{ab}	1.57 \pm 1.02 ^a	179.7 \pm 2.51 ^d	<0.001	<0.001
Etieama	192.6 \pm 2.51 ^d	5.63 \pm 0.41 ^{ab}	1.9 \pm 0.30 ^a	158.3 \pm 3.51 ^c	<0.001	<0.001
Bassambiri	15.67 \pm 1.52 ^a	5.90 \pm 1.51 ^{ab}	2.66 \pm 0.40 ^a	5.6 \pm 1.62 ^a	<0.001	<0.001
W.H.O	250	5.0-7.0	5	300	2	0.3

Mean dissolved oxygen (DO) of borehole water samples analysed from different communities in Nembe LGA of Bayelsa State

The mean Dissolved Oxygen (DO) of some selected borehole water samples in different communities in Bayelsa State was analysed. The results obtained are presented in Table 2 above. The results obtained from the laboratory showed that the DO of water samples from Igopiri was higher (7.06mg/L) than the water samples obtained from all the other communities. The results showed no significant difference in DO between the water samples obtained from

all the communities sampled. The values for the DO in this study were within the W.H.O range for drinking water (5-7mg/L).

Mean biological oxygen demand (BOD) of borehole water samples analysed from different communities in Nembe LGA of Bayelsa State

The mean Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD) of some selected borehole water samples in different communities in Bayelsa State was analysed. The result is shown in Table 2 above. The results obtained showed that the BOD in all the

sampled communities is within the permissible range of BOD for drinking water (less than 5mg/L). The result showed no significant difference in BOD between all the communities sampled at $p=0.05$.

Mean total hardness of borehole water samples analysed from different communities in Nembe LGA of Bayelsa State

The values of total hardness concentrations recorded from the borehole water samples ranges from 75.6 mg/L and 179.7mg/L (Table 2). These amounts of total hardness concentrations are within the maximum allowable limit of WHO for drinking water 300mg/L. The results showed significant differences in water sampled between Igopiri, Otatubu and Etieama and whereas there were no significant differences in total hardness between Bassambiri and Ogbolomabiri communities at $p=0.05$.

Conclusion

The goal of this study was to assess the level of physicochemical parameters of water samples from boreholes in different communities of Bayelsa State. The results showed that the concentrations of most physicochemical parameters of the water samples, such as, pH, total hardness, total suspended solids, total dissolved solids, chloride, BOD and DO were within the permissible limit of the World Health Organization (WHO) for drinking water with the exceptions of some physicochemical parameters such as turbidity, colour, electrical conductivity in Otatubu borehole water samples which were above the WHO permissible limit for drinking water. Although some of the chemical parameters fell below the approved standards, they were judged to be acceptable since they were not above the required maximum permissible limits which could have been more risky and dangerous to health. Conclusively, a water sample from the borehole in Otatubu community is not suitable for drinking.

Recommendations

Although, most of the analysed physicochemical parameters in some of the communities were within the range of WHO standard for drinking water, it is essential that the boreholes be clean and hygienic so as to promote safety of water for drinking. Generally, the water qualities of four borehole water samples analysed from the communities are within WHO drinking water standard with the exception of some physicochemical parameters in Otatubu community borehole water sample analysed. It is recommended to check the quality of the water sources regularly in the study area. This assessment of some physicochemical quality of borehole water in the study area is necessary but not enough to determine its overall suitability for drinking purpose. Hence, further research can be done to assess other biological and radiological parameters to confirm the overall suitability of the borehole water for drinking purpose.

References

1. Abbas SH, Ismail IM, Mostafa TM, Sulaymon AH. Biosorption of heavy metals: A review. *Journal of Chemical Science and Technology*. 2014; 3:74-102.
2. Alley ER. *Water Quality Control Handbook*. Vol. 2. New York: McGraw Hill, 2017.
3. American Public Health Association. *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*. 21sted. Washington, DC: American Public Health Association, 2015.
4. APHA. *Standard Method for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*, American Public Health Association 23rd Ed., Washington, DC, USA, 2017.
5. Ayeni AO, Balogun II, Soneye ASO. Seasonal assessment of physicochemical concentration of polluted urban river: Case of Ala River in South-western Nigeria. *Research Journal of Environmental Science*. 2022; 5(1):22-33.
6. Biswas AK, Seetharam KE. *Achieving Water Security for Asia*, I. 2018; 24(1):145-176.
7. British Dietetic Association. *Healthy Water Living*. Archived from the original on 1 January 2007, 2017. Retrieved February 2020-03-17.
8. Buchholz RA. *Principles of Environmental Management. The Greening of Business*, 2nd Prentice Hall, London, UK, 2018, 15-42.
9. Chapman D, Kimstach V. Selection of water quality variables, in *Water Quality Assessments. A Guide to the Use of Biota, Sediments and Water in Environmental Monitoring*, University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2nd edition, 202, 243-3181.
10. Chatterjee A. *Water Supply Waste Disposal and Environmental Pollution Engineering (Including Odour, Noise and Air Pollution and its Control)*. 7th ed. Delhi: Khanna Publishers, 2020.
11. Christine AA, Kibet JK, Kiprop AK, Were ML. The assessment of bore-hole water quality of Kakamega County, Kenya. *Applied Water Science*. 2018; 8(1):47.
12. Davis ML. *Water and Wastewater Engineering-Design Principles and Practice*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 2021.
13. Dawit M. Nagari A, Hailu H. Ground water quality assessment of the rural administrative, Dire Dawacity, eastern Ethiopia. *Journal of Hydrogeology & Hydrologic Engineering*. 2017; 6(3):2.
14. Diersing Nancy. *Water Quality: Frequently Asked Questions*. Florida Brooks National Marine Sanctuary, Key West, FL, 2019.
15. Ebenezer A. *Assessment and mapping of groundwater quality in the Thiririka sub-catchment Kiambu County, Kenya*, 2014.
16. Edema MO, Omemu AM, Fapetu OM. *Microbiology and Physicochemical analysis of different source of drinking water*, 2021.
17. Edzwald JK. *Water Quality and Treatment a Handbook on Drinking Water*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 2010.
18. Efe SI, Mogborukor JO. Acid Rain in Niger Delta Region: Implication on Water Resources Quality and Crisis. *AFRREV STECH: An International Journal of Science and Technology*, 2014.
19. Ekeh IB, Sikoki F. The State and Seasonal Variability in Some Physicochemical Parameters of the new Calabar River, Nigeria. *Supplementa and ActaHydrobiologica*. 2016; 5:45-60.
20. Environmental Protection Agency. *Factoids: Drinking water and ground water statistics for April, 2018*, 2018. <http://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPDF.cgi/P100N2VG.PDF?Dockey=P100N2VG.PDF>External
21. Environmental Protection Agency. *What are Water Quality Standards?* Washington, D.C: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), March, 2016.
22. Federal Government of Nigeria. *Legal Notice on*

- Publication of the 2006 Census Report. Federal Government of Nigeria official Gazette. 2017; 4(94):1-8.
23. Food Safety and Standards Authority of India (FSSAI). Manual of Methods of Analysis of Food and Water, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India. New Delhi, India, 2015.
 24. Ganiyu SA, Olurin OT, Otun SB. Assessment of physicochemical properties of hand-dug wells around abandoned dumpsite in Ibadan Metropolis, southwestern Nigeria. *The Journal of Solid Waste Technology and Management*. 2017; 43(3):195-206.
 25. Grafton QR, Hussey K. *Water Resources Planning and Management*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2018.
 26. Gupta BK, Gupta RR. Physicochemical and Biological study of drinking water in Satna Madhya Pradesh, *Pollution Research*. 2020; 18(4):523-525.
 27. Hagra MA. Water Quality Assessment and Hydrochemical Characteristics of Groundwater in Punjab, Pakistan. *Ijrras*. 2013; 16(2):254-262.
 28. Hammer MJ. *Water and Wastewater Technology*. 7th ed. Upper Saddle River: Pearson education, 2018.
 29. Hanif MA, Nadeem R, Rashid U, Zafar MN. Assessing pollution levels of effluents of industries cities zone of Faialsalabad, Pakistan. *Journal of Applied Sciences*. 2015; 5(10):1713-1717.
 30. Hydrology Project Technical Assistance (HPTA). Standard Analytical Procedures for Water Analysis Laboratory Manual ID: 1.1 Version 2. Government of India & Government of the Netherlands, 1999.
 31. Ite AE, Ibok UJ. Gas Flaring and Venting Associated with Petroleum Exploration and Production in the Nigeria's Niger Delta. *American Journal of Environmental Protection*. 2013; 1(4):70-77.
 32. Jeffrey UtzMD. What percentage of the human body is composed of water? Archived 25 November 2013 at the Way back Machine the MadSci Network, 2013.
 33. John YM, Kareem SA, Kefas HM, Polycarp A. Quality assessment of hand dug well in Hong town. *International Journal of Scientific Research Engineering & Technology (IJSRET)*. 2014; 3(2):306-311.
 34. Johnson DL, Ambrose SH, Bassett TJ, Bowen ML, Crummey DE, Isaacson JS. Meanings of environmental terms. *Journal of Environmental Quality*. 2014; 26:581-589.
 35. Kassa G. Assessment of groundwater quality for drinking purpose in Guya village its surrounding area, Tigray, northern Ethiopia. *Assessment*. 2015; 7(10).
 36. Kponee KZ, Chiger A, Kakulu II. Petroleum contaminated water and health symptoms: A cross-sectional pilot study in a rural Nigerian community. *Environ Health*. 2015; 14:86.
 37. Lang F, Busch GL, Ritter M. Functional significance of cell volume regulatory mechanisms. *Physiol Rev*. 2019; 78:247-306.
 38. Maduka O, Ephraim B. The Quality of Public Sources of Drinking Water in Oil Bearing Communities in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria, 2019. Doi: <http://www.doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/NYMJ8>
 39. Morris BL. Groundwater and its susceptibility to degradation: A global assessment of the problem and options for management, Early Warning and Assessment Report Series, United Nations Environment Programme, Nairobi, Kenya, Report RS 03-3, 2013, 126.
 40. Nathanson Jerry A. Water pollution. *Encyclopedia Britannica*, 22 Dec. 2020, 2020. <https://www.britannica.com/science/water-pollution>. Accessed 19 August 2021.
 41. Newton M. *Land Water and Development Sustainable Management of River Basin Systems* (2nd edition). Routledge Publishers, America, 2016, 28-29.
 42. Nwankwo CN, Ogagarue DO. Effects of gas flaring on surface and ground waters in Delta State Nigeria. *J Geol Min Res*. 2018; 3(5):131-136.
 43. Obasi RA, Talabi AO. The physicochemical characteristics of water in hand dug wells around Ekiti state university Ado-Ekiti, south-west Nigeria. *British Journal of Earth Sciences Research*. 2015; 4(2):8-15.
 44. Okorafor KA, Agbo BE, Johnson AM, Chiorhe M. Physicochemical and bacteriological characteristics of selected steams and borehole in Akamkpa and Calabar municipality. Nigeria. *Arch Appl Sci Res*. 2012; 4(5):2115-2121.
 45. Orewole IO, Mkainde OW, Ogunbusi TA, Fajobi EA, Shittu OB. Microbiological and physicochemical analysis of different water samples used for domestic purposes in Abeokuta and Ojota, Lagos, Nigeria. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 2017; 7(5):617-621.
 46. Rahmanian NSH, Ali B, Homayoonfard, *et al*. Analysis of physicochemical parameters to evaluate the drinking water quality in the State of Perak, Malaysia. *Journal of Chemistry*, vol. 2015, article-716125, 10p.
 47. Samra SCJ, Fawzi SCM. The right to water in rural Punjab: Assessing equitable access to water through the Punjab rural water supply and sanitation project. *Health and Human Rights*. 2019; 13(2):36-49.
 48. Shah C. Which Physical, Chemical and Biological Parameters of Water Determine Its Quality, 2017.
 49. Sonkar AK, Jama A. Physicochemical characteristics of groundwater around Singrauli Coalfield areas, Singrauli district of Madhya Pradesh (India). *Journal of Chemistry*. 2019; 12(2):608-615.
 50. Spanos T, Ene A, Xatzixristou C, Papaioannou A. Assessment of groundwater quality and hydrogeological profile of Kavala area, Northern Greece. *Environmental Physics*. 2015; 60:1139-1150.
 51. Spellman FR. *Hand book of Water and Wastewater Treatment Plant Operations*. 3rd ed. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2013.
 52. Tadesse N, Bairu A, Bheemalingeswara K. Suitability of ground water quality for irrigation with reference to hand dug wells, Hantebet Catchment, Tigray, and Northern Ethiopia. *Momona Ethiopian Journal of Science*. 2016; 3(2).
 53. Taiwo AM, Olujimi OO, Bamgbose O. Surface Water Quality Monitoring in Nigeria: Situational Analysis and Future Management Strategy. In Dr. Voudouris (Ed.). 2022; 602.
 54. Tchobanoglous G, Burton FL, Stensel HD, Metcalf, Eddy. *Wastewater Engineering: Treatment and Reuse*. 4th ed. New Delhi: Tata McGraw-Hill Limited, 2013.
 55. Tomar M. *Quality Assessment of Water and Wastewater*. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2019.
 56. United Nation Water. *A Post-2017 Global Goal for Water: Synthesis of Key Findings and Recommendations from UN-Water*, 2016.

57. World Health Organization. Guidelines for Drinking-Water Quality. 4th ed. Geneva, 2011.
58. World Health Organization. Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2016.
59. World Health Organization/United Nations Children's Fund (WHO/UNICEF). Drinking Water: Equity, Safety and Sustainability. Geneva/New York, 2015.
60. World Health Organization. Water Supply and Sanitation Council, Global Water Supply and Sanitation Assessment Report, New York; UNICEF, 2018.